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What are the objectives of the proposed project?

Select a design capable of separating FPO particles

Perform a detailed design and integration with test facility

Achieve particle removal with a low pressure loss

Evaluate material properties of particles and impact on separator surfaces

Assess economic potential of the separator technology
Pressurized atmosphere of water and CO$_2$ under “volume expanded combustion”

- FPO combustion is more locally controllable with more uniform temperatures
- Pressurized firing with oxy-combustion also improves cycle efficiency

Chemical balance in combustion is near stoichiometric

- Achieved through CO$_2$ recycle, water, and oxygen balance control

Almost zero carbon content in incombustible products

- Traditional: flying and falling ash particles
  - Must be filtered and collected from gas stream
- FPO: slag with near-zero carbon content
  - Drains out the bottom of the combustor
  - Particulate still exists in exhaust but at reduced quantities and sizes
What is the FPO Cycle?

Slurry of milled coal and water combusted under pressure

Hot combustor gas is quenched through mixing

Enters OTSG

Portion of flow leaves the process with energy before the OTSG and is expanded

A large percentage of combustion products are recycled

• Some recycled flow used for quenching
• The remainder of recycled flow is mixed with pressurized oxygen and injected into the combustor
What is the State of the Technology?

5 MWth plant in Italy
- Capable of 4 bar (58 psi) pressure
- Over 18,000 hours of testing experience
- Technology proven with high and low rank coals
- Test location for the particle separator

Techno-Economic assessment at the commercial scale
- In process under another DOE FPO development process
- Continued assessment will be developed by the same team at EPRI and SwRI
Demonstrated improved performance of pressurized cycle with recovered energy

Applicable to technologies other than FPO

Limits of the turbo-expander inlet temperature could be improved to the red line

• Requires demonstrated ability to withstand high temperatures

Goal to minimize pressure drop in order to maximize pressure ratio of expander
Previous year work developed selection criteria and evaluated technologies. A large set of candidates was narrowed down to four based on capabilities. Cyclone and Ceramic barrier filter were selected.

- The two technologies are differing enough to merit further evaluation.

### Project Status: Particle Separator Technology Selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Inertia Separator</th>
<th>Cyclone</th>
<th>Ceramic Barrier Filter</th>
<th>Electrostatic Precipitator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program Goals</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Attributes</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Permitting</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business and Financing</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Status: Particle Properties
Project Status: Particle Properties

**Graphs:**
- **Mass**
- **Number**

**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Status: Flue Gas Properties

Pressure: 4.63 barg

Temperature: 500°C

Flue gas density: 3.45 kg/m³

Flue gas flow rate: 0.45-0.9315 kg/s

Requirement of >90% removal at 0.9 µm

Gas Composition (% by mass)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>89.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>3.434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>1.484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>2.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>3.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Stokes Number indicates how well a particle moves along a local flow streamline within a suspending fluid.

For a small Stokes Number ($\ll 1$), particles tend to follow a local streamline.

For a large Stokes Number ($\gg 1$), particles travel like a ballistic object, and their trajectory crosses flow streamlines.

\[
Stk = \frac{t_0 u_0}{l_0} \quad t_0 = \frac{\rho_p d_p^2}{18 \mu_g}
\]
Baker Hughes/GE was contracted to develop a turbo-expander for FPO

Design based on Baker Hughes/GE line of flue gas expanders that are derived from modular reaction steam turbines

Custom design developed from the template to match FPO Commercial conditions

Scale based on Techno-economic analysis done under NETL projects
Project Status: Turbo-expander Flow Path

Custom design of rotating and stationary airfoils

GE’s proprietary streamline curvature code used to determine flow path velocities

Stage 1 design between 169-220 ft/s

Stage 8 design between 326-415 ft/s
Number of stages examined as a possible variable (3, 4, and 8)
Three stage expander at pilot scale may be more practical
Scale-up is more direct if number of stages are similar between pilot and commercial scales
Speed was varied between 3,600 rpm synchronous and 5,150 rpm
Recommended particle size cut-off based charted for each case

Highest velocities and highest chance for erosion generally in the last stage

8 stage configuration has least restrictive requirement

Requirement of removal of >90% of particles with diameter of 0.9 µm
CORE Separator from Easom is being considered

Uses a concentrator followed by a reverse flow cyclone in a recycle loop

Design pressure drop of 14 kPa per system

Two systems will produce a 92% collection efficiency at 0.9 µm

Total pressure drop of 28 kPa
Ceramic material is preferred for good performance at design temperature of 500°C

Commercial demonstrations up to 899°C and 24.13 barg
- Commercial FPO operates at 11 barg

Boldrocchi was approached for a quote
Project Status: Test Setup
Project Status: Technological Challenges

Cyclone
• Struggles at efficient separation for the particle range (1 to 3 μm)
• Efficiency drops below 10 μm

Filtration
• Alkaline sulfates present a challenge to filters
• Pre-coat treatment typically required with large amounts of additive
• Clogging could become a problem

Residual Particulate
• Particle morphology is not typically abrasive
• Evidence in centrifugal blower and gas lamination valve with 550 m/s velocities
Project Status: Challenges and Changes

Original Planned Test: Summer 2020 in Gioia del Colle, Italy

Coronavirus shut down all activity in Italy

Test facility in Italy is one-of-a-kind FPO pilot

Project extension being sought to accommodate future testing in Italy
OVERVIEW

PARTICLE SEPARATOR TECHNOLOGY SELECTION

• Operating Conditions
• Technologies Reviewed
• Identify Vendors

APPLICATIONS FOR HIGH-TEMPERATURE PARTICLE SEPARATION IN FOSSIL POWER PLANTS

• Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC)
• Syngas Cleanup
• Fluidized Bed Combustion
• Circulating Fluidized Bed Combustion (CFBC)
• Waste-to-Energy Boilers
• Biomass Boilers

PERFORMANCE AND COST DATA
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Particle Separation within FPO

Preferred Technologies Selected

Particle Removal Criteria Developed

Test Planned, but Delayed

Evaluation of Technology Implementation and Market Impact Underway
Thank You