Low-Cost and Recyclable Oxygen Carrier and Novel Process for Chemical Looping Combustion DE-FE0031534

Junior Nasah, PI September 28, 2020







Project Partners

- 1. University of North Dakota (Prime)
- 2. Envergex LLC
- 3. Carbontec Energy Corporation
- 4. Microbeam Technologies, Inc.
- 5. BARR Engineering











Presentation Overview

- Background Chemical Looping Combustion
- Project Objectives
- Project Results
- Current status of project / highlights
- Future work
- Conclusions & Questions





Chemical Looping Combustion

- What is Chemical Looping Combustion (CLC)?
- Advanced coal combustion process
- CO₂ capture-ready process
- Higher combustion efficiency
- Oxygen Carrier (OC) = Metal Oxide

Challenges Facing CLC:

- 1. Incomplete coal conversion
- 2. Incomplete char conversion
- 3. Attrition (loss) of metal oxide (MO)



Main Project Objectives

- Funding Objective: Advance CLC technologies towards meeting 90% CO₂ capture and 99% carbon conversion.
- Project Objectives:
 - Develop low cost, low attrition and "recyclable" oxygen-carrier
 - $_{\odot}$ Develop a 10 kW unit that:
 - Uses unique hydrodynamics of spouted fluid bed (SFB) to improve coal char reduction
 - Incorporates particle char separator (PCS) technology to improve char conversion
 - 90% CO2 Capture (90% fuel conversion)





Project Updates

Project Objectives:

Develop low cost, low attrition and "recyclable" oxygen-carrier

• Develop a 10 kW unit that:

 Use unique hydrodynamics of spouted fluid bed (SFB) to improve coal char reduction

o Incorporate particle char separator (PCS) technology to improve char conversion

90% CO2 Capture (90% fuel conversion)

Oxygen Carrier Development

Formulations:

- Active looping ingredient: Taconite, bauxite waste, ilmenite, steel processing wastes, manganese oxides
- Attrition inhibitors: iron based x 2, calcium based x 4 and carbon based x 1.

Raw material sourcing: commodity suppliers, bulk availability

Formulation Method:

- Communition via ball milling
- Micro-pelletization, tumbler and screens
- Strength curing





Oxygen Carrier Screening

- Screening occurs during strength curing
- Final screening used jet attrition system¹ under cyclic conditions:
 - Bed Temperature: 900°C
 - Reducing conditions: H₂, CO, CO₂
 each 5 vol%.
 - Oxidizing conditions: **10 vol% O₂**
 - Bed Velocity: 40, 50, 65 cm/s
 - Kinetic power: 75 W/kg
 - Jet velocity: 270, 350, 440 m/s





1. Nelson, T. et al. (2019) Int. J. of Greenhouse Gas Control, 91, 102837

Oxygen Carrier Benchmark Testing



Oxygen Carrier Benchmark – Down-selection

- Modified attrition system to a spout-cyclonic reactor¹
- System simulates attrition in cyclone systems
- Low-efficiency cyclones recommended



^{1.} Nelson, T. et al. (2019) Int. J. of Greenhouse Gas Control, 91, 102837



Annular region (low velocity)

Oxygen Carrier – Down-selection

Milestone: FEL3 and FEH31 down-selected

- FEH31 down-selected due to difference in formulation (taconite only)
- Further testing performed to down-select:
 - Extended attrition performance
 - Reactivity



Oxygen Carrier Testing – Extended Attrition

- Testing conditions
 - 900°C
 - Jet velocity 180 m/s
 - Cyclic capacity: 1 wt% (90 cycles), 2 wt% (50 cycles)
 - Kinetic Power 25 W/kg
- Best long term performance: FEL3
 - bulk density stabilized at 60 cycles.



Oxygen Carrier Benchmarking - Reactivity

Reactivity: Evaluated by TGA (TA instruments)

- Evaluated CO conversion
 - CO / CO2 Ratio: 0.33 & 1
 - CO concentration: 4% and 10%
- Rate of O₂ consumption (R_{O2}, mmol/g/min)
- Extent of OC reduction (X, wt.%)

$$X = \frac{M_{t=0} - M_t}{M_{t=0}} * 100$$

$$R_{o_2} = \frac{1}{M_{t=0}} * \frac{dN}{dt}$$

N = millimol of O-atom; N= $M_t \frac{M_t(g)}{16000 \left(\frac{g}{mmol}\right)}$

M = Mass (grams) at "t"; t = Time (minutes)

NORTH DAKOTA

Oxygen Carrier Benchmarking - Reactivity

Reactivity:

- Engineered OC show 5 to 8 times higher reactivity
- At higher CO levels, deeper reductions observed
- At ~2.5 wt%, reaction order
 changes; diffusion or rate
 controlled?



Oxygen Carrier Final Down-Select

Milestone: Down-selected FEL3 (best

attrition performance)

- FEH31 selected as alternate.
- Currently evaluating recyclability of FEL3
 - Material subjected to CLC char testing is being re-formulated using formulation process.



Oxygen Carrier Future Work

- Scaled-up manufacturing of FEL3
 - Produce 1000 lbs
 - Procured Jet mill for communition step (top picture)
 - Negotiating lease of micro-pelletizer for making pellets. In discussion with Lancaster Products for lease of a micro-pelletizer
 - Rotary kiln for curing step (bottom picture)
- Delay in procuring active looping ingredient has resulted in project schedule delay







Project Update

• Project Objectives:

Develop low cost, low attrition and "recyclable" oxygen-carrier

• Develop a 10 kW unit that:

- Use unique hydrodynamics of spouted fluid bed (SFB) to improve coal char reduction
- Incorporate particle char separator (PCS) technology to improve char conversion

o 90% CO2 Capture (90% fuel conversion)

10 kW Construction

- Reducer Design Spout Fluid
 Bed design¹
- Char Stripper Particle Char separator²
- Volatile reducer moving bed; coal feed location





1. Van der Watt, J. G. et al. (2018) J. Energy Resour. Technol., 140 (11), 112002 2. Nasah, J. et al. (2019) Int. J. of Greenhouse Gas Control, 88, 361-370

10 kW Construction

- Cold flow model (left) to verify solid circulation
- 10 kW unit (right) constructed
- Propane burner (not shown) added to oxidizer to minimize heat loss



10 kW Operation

Solids Circulation (~300 lbs/hr):

- Target temperature achieved in riser (2)
- Temperature reducer
 (4, 5) ~ 800°C)
- Solids residence time in oxidizer < 1 sec.



10 kW Oxidizer Modification

• Oxidizer Design – Several

additions to minimize heat loss and wall temperatures

- First added propane burner
- Re-designed oxidizer to
 include refractory-lined wall
 and increase residence time
- Modification ongoing, target completion 10/01/2020



Current Project Status / Highlights

- Evaluated > 40 OC formulations using a mechanical mixing method
- Best performers benchmarked against ilmenite
- Down-selected **one engineered OC (FEL3)** and one alternate (FEH31), reactivity **up to 8 times** better than baseline ilmenite
- One year project extension requested to accommodate delays in execution.





Future Work

- Recyclability of FEL3 currently being evaluated.
- Completion of 10 kW Modification on track (October)
- Testing with benchmark Ilmenite will resume upon completion
- Scaled-up production of 1000 lb of FEL3 pending procurement of final ingredient



Thank you!

Questions?

