

Advanced Cost-effective Coal-Fired Rotating Detonation Combustor for High Efficiency Power Generation DE-FE0031545

Kareem Ahmed¹, Subith Vasu¹, Suresh Menon² ¹University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL ²Georgia Tech, Atlanta, GA

PM: Dr. Matthew Adams

NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY

Industry Partners: Aerojet Rocketdyne (Dr Scott Claflin)NALISSI (Dr. John Hoke)YAFRL (Dr. Fred Schauer)IOLOGYSiemens (Timothy Godfrey)



2020 Transformative Power Generation Meeting







- Background
- Project Objectives
- Technical Approach
- Project Structure and Management
- Project Schedule





Detonations

Pressure Gain Combustion

Detonation

- Exploits pressure rise to augment high flow momentum
- Fundamental mechanism is turbulent flame acceleration
- High flow turbulence intensities and length scales
- Serious challenge for reliable, repeatable and efficient







Temperatur





Why Detonation for Coal ACS?

Origin of Detonation:

- Detonation first discovered during disastrous explosions in coal mines, 19th century.
- Puzzling at first, how the slow subsonic combustion could produce strong mechanical effects. *Michael Faraday "Chemical History of a Candle" 1848*
- First detonation velocity measurement, Sir Frederic Abel 1869
- Coal particles and coal gas interaction, Pellet, Champion, Bloxam 1872
- Berthelot hypothesized shock wave reaction, detonation, 1870

Coal Mine Fast-Flame Deflagration Explosion





Museum of Industry, Drummond Mine Explosion, 1873











Universal Mechanisms Controlling Terrestrial and Astrophysical Explosions



Poludnenko, A., Chambers, J. G, Ahmed, K, Gamezo, V., " A unified mechanism for unconfined deflagration-to-detonation transition in terrestrial chemical systems and type la supernovae," Science, Vol. 366, Issue 6465, 2019.







Explore Advanced Cost-Effective Coal-Fired Rotating Detonation Combustor:

The proposed project aims to characterize the operability dynamics and performance of an advanced cost-effective coalfired rotating detonation combustor for high efficiency power generation

- Development of an operability map for coal-fired RDC configuration
- Experimental investigation and characterization of coal-fired combustor detonation wave dynamics
- Computational investigation and characterization of coal-fired combustor detonation wave dynamics
- Measurement and demonstration of pressure gain throughout the coal-fired RDC operational envelope
- Measurement and demonstration of low emissions throughout the coal-fired RDC operational envelope



6



Coal-Fired Rotating



1. Operability Dynamics for Detonation Wave:

- a. Coal Injection
- b. Initiation.
- c. Directionality
- d. Bifurcation

2. <u>Performance:</u>

- a. Pressure Gain
- b. Emissions

Aerojet Rocketdyne (Industry Partner)

Dr. Scott Claflin





DOE – NETL: Aerojet Rocketdyne and University of Central Florida

Vision

The goal is to measure stagnation pressure for fundamental understanding of pressure gain within a rotating detonation engine. This will allow for proper understanding of flow field effects.











First Demonstration of H₂-O₂ Rotating Detonation Rocket Engine

Improved Performance and Reduced Cost and Weight



Sosa, Burke, Ahmed, Micka, Bennewitz, Danczyk, Paulson, Hargus Jr., "Experimental Evidence of H2/O2 Propellants Powered Rotating Detonation Rocket Engine," Combustion and Flame, 2020.







Instrumentation

10

Advanced Optical Diagnostics

- High-speed PIV system (100kHz 1 MHz)
- High speed cameras 21,000-2,100,000 frames per second
- High-speed chemiluminescence CH*, OH* (100kHz 1 MHz)
- Light-field focusing system for flow measurements and visualization
- LabVIEW control hardware and software
- Dynamic pressure transducers (PCB)
- Codes: DMD, POD, PIV, Physics-Based Models (Matlab/Fortran)













Rotating Detonation Engine

Rotating Detonation Engine: Modeled After the AFRL RDE and the NETL (Don Ferguson)







F



RDE Detonation Velocity Measurements





12

F



Detonation Wave Dynamical Control

Dynamic Control of Detonation Waves through Partial Premixing 2 Wave Detonation (Non-premixed) 3 Wave Detonation (5% of fuel premixed)

Detonation Frequency: 3537 Detonation Velocity: 1623 m/s



Dunn, I.B., Thurmond, K., Ahmed, K. and Vasu, S., 2019. Wave Dynamics of a Partially Premixed Rotating Detonation Engine. In AIAA Propulsion and Energy 2019 Forum (p. 4128).



Detonation Frequency: 3298 Detonation Velocity: 1514 m/s











1. Carbon Black (C)

- Size: 29 nm
- Volatility: 1.18%



2. Bituminous Coal (C₁₃₇H₉₇O₉NS)

- Size: 5 μm
- Volatility: 34 to 44%









Coal RDE Test Fires (carbon)







UCF



Detonation Wave Dynamics



J. Bennewitz, B. Bigler, S. Schumaker, W. Hargus Jr, Automated image processing method to quantify rotating detonation wave behavior, Review of Scientific Instruments 90 (2019)









ZND Overlay with Detonation Structure





)F



Particle Reaction











First Evidence of Carbon Driving Detonation





















UCF







F















T [K]

3000

2460

1920

1380

840

Premixed RDE with Coal Particles

- UCF RDE geometry without injectors and air slot
- 1-step 3-species kinetics [1] for gaseous H2-air, Euleriar Lagrangian Approach, Dilute loading
- Detonation is sustained but EL particle tracking cost is excessive and not practical for parametric studies







[1] Kindracki, Jan, et al. Progress in Propulsion Physics 2 (2011): 555-582.

Georgia Coll Tech Eng

College of

Salvadori, M., Dunn, I.B., Sosa, J., Menon, S. and Ahmed, K.A., 2020. Numerical Investigation of Shock-Induced Combustion of Coal-H2-Air mixtures in a Unwrapped Non-Premixed Detonation Channel. In *AIAA Scitech 2020 Forum* (p. 2159).





Coal Modeling Formulation

• Mass Transfer: Limited by the reaction kinetics or diffusion of species ^[1].

$$\frac{dm_c}{dt} = -\dot{m}_c = \frac{d}{dt} (\frac{4}{3}\rho_c \pi r_c^3)$$

• The net mass transfer for carbon particles is thus defined as:

$$\dot{m}_{c} = \frac{P_{O_{2}}}{\frac{1}{k_{s}} + \frac{1}{k_{d}}} \begin{pmatrix} k_{s} = 0.86 \ e^{\left(-\frac{1.495 \times 10^{8}}{RT_{c}}\right)} & k_{s}: \text{Kinetic-link} \\ k_{d}: \text{Diffusion} \\ T_{m}: \text{ mean gassing } \\ \phi: \text{ Mechaniss} \\ D_{d}: \text{Diffusion} \\ D_{d}: D_{d}: D_{d}: D_{d}: D_{d}: \\ D_{d}: D_{d}: D_{d}: D_{d}: D_{d}: D_{d}: D_{d}: D_{d}: D_{d}: \\ D_{d}: D_{d}$$

 k_s : Kinetic-limited k_d : Diffusion-limited T_m : mean gas/particle temperature ϕ : Mechanism factor D_d : Diffusion coefficient

2-steps infinite-rate gas-phase reactions ^[2]

Georgia

Tech

 $C_g + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2$ (partial oxidation) $C_g + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow CO$ (oxidation)

1. Baek, S. W., Sichel, M., and Kauffman, C. W. Combustion and Flame 81, 3-4 (1990), 219–228 2. Balakrishnan, K., and S. Menon. *Combustion Science and Technology* 182.2 (2010): 186-214.



80-Injector Non-Premixed RDE (full rig in UCF)

• Sensitive to initialization

Georgia College of **Tech** Engineering

- High P, T charge
- 1D H_2 /air detonation solution
- Char. Inflow/outflow, adiabatic walls
- Solution carried long enough to establish rotating detonation
- High mass flow rate in this case results in 4-wave stable system
- Study underway with reduced mass flow to achieve 1 or 2 detonations
- Two-phase cases deferred for



Later 1. Baurle, R., Alexopoulos, G., and Hassan, H. Journal of Propulsion and Power 10, 4 (1994), 473–484. 2. Poinsot & Lele, J. Comp. Phys. 1992



Linear Array Detonation Studies

- 15-injector & 8-injector unwrapped array to isolate two-phase detonation features
- Use pre-detonation tube to create shock-to-detonation-transition (SDT), get a DW into chamber, and then investigate if detonation sustains in a 2-phase mixture
- H₂ injected as before but with different coal-air mixture in the oxidizer stream

Parameter	Value
Kinetics	7-steps 7-species H_2 /air mechanism ^[1] 2-steps 3-species infinitely fast <i>C</i> / O_2 ^[3]
Coal	Diffusion and kinetics limited mass transfer ^[2]
\dot{m}_{air}	0.15 kg/s
\dot{m}_{H_2}	0.0052 kg/s – 15 injectors 0.0027 kg/s – 8 injectors
T_{in,H_2} , $T_{in,Air}$	300 K

Georgia 🛯 College of

Tech (/ Engineering)



Baurle, R., Alexopoulos, G., and Hassan, H. Journal of Propulsion and Power 10, 4 (1994), 473–484.
Baek, S. W., Sichel, M., and Kauffman, C. W. Combustion and Flame 81, 3-4 (1990), 219–228
Donahue, L., F. Zhang, and R. C. Ripley. *Shock Waves* 23.6 (2013): 559-573.

