Storage of CO₂ in Multi-phase Systems Containing Brine and Hydrocarbons

Project Number: FE-1122-19-FY19

Rajesh Pawar, Bailian Chen Bill Carey Los Alamos National Laboratory

LA-UR-20-27284

U.S. Department of Energy

National Energy Technology Laboratory

Carbon Capture Front End Engineering Design Studies and CarbonSafe 2020 Integrated Review Webinar

August-17-19 2020

Program Overview

- Funding: DOE \$850K over 3 years
- Overall Project Performance Dates: 10/2017 9/2020
- Project Participants:
 - Rajesh Pawar (LANL) PI
 - ➢ Bailian Chen (LANL) − Co-Investigator
 - ➢ Bill Carey (LANL) − Co-Investigator

CO₂ Storage in Residual Oil Zones

- Residual Oil Zones (ROZs) are defined as those zones where oil is swept over geologic time period (natural flush) and exists at residual saturation
 - Brownfield: ROZ underlies a Main Pay Zone (MPZ).
 - ➢ Greenfield: no Main Pay Zone above ROZ.
- ROZs are being commercially produced using CO₂-EOR
- ROZs are potential CO₂ storage option

GREENFIELD BROWNFIELD (No Overlying Oilfield) (With an Overlying Oilfield) All of the Paleo Conventionally **Trap Naturally Waterflooded** Productive Oi Increasing Depth CONTACT Increasing Dept Residu Oil Zor (ROZ) Dil Ze ROZI Saturatio DASE OF So (BOSO) BASE OF S 20 40 60 80 20 40 60 80 100 Water Saturation (Sw) (%) Water Saturation (Sw) (%) 100 100 Oil Saturation (So) (% Oil Saturation (So) (%)

> Residual Oil Zone Fairway Mapping with Superimposed Major Permian and Pennsylvanian Oilfields and Showing the First Pure ROZ Greenfield ROZ CO₂Project



Green- and Brownfield Residual Oil Zones Illustrating Upper and Lower Transition Zones

Greenfield ROZs are unique

- Unconventional CO₂ storage
- Saline-aquifer like: CO₂ storage and associated credits benefit
- Oil-reservoir like: Oil recovery and associated financial benefit
- Limited pre-existing wells: reduced potential for wellbore leakage
- <u>Challenge</u>: Large uncertainties in the parameters and mechanisms related to CO₂ storage in ROZ

Project Objectives

- Develop quantitative, empirical relationships that can be used to assess CO₂ storage efficiencies & oil recovery potential in greenfield ROZs
- Explore strategies for optimal management of CO₂ storage in greenfield ROZs
- Improve fundamental understanding of CO₂ injection induced multi-phase fluid processes in ROZs
- <u>Technical Approach</u>: Combination of numerical modeling and laboratory experiments

Technical Status

- Pre-FY20
 - Developed empirical models for estimating storage capacity, oil recovery, and CO₂ fate:
 - Demonstrated applicability using Permian basin field data (Chen & Pawar, *Energy*, 2019) and Illinois basin data
 - Identified key uncertain characteristics
 - Investigated the effect of geologic/operational parameters: permeability heterogeneity, well completions, injection rates, well patterns
 - Assessed optimal management of ROZ under various scenarios (Chen & Pawar, JPSE, 2019)
- FY20
 - Explored optimal management of ROZ
 - Initiated experimental work to investigate multi-phase flow mechanisms

Technical Progress

Optimal Management of ROZ

- <u>Objective</u>: Explore optimal reservoir management strategies to maximize different objectives
 - ▶ Compare maximization of NPV, CO₂ storage, and oil recovery
 - NPV based on combined revenue from CO₂ credits plus oil recovery minus cost of injected CO₂ and disposing produced water
 - Optimization variables: injection/production well open fraction and well controls (producer BHP & CO₂ injection rate)
 - Investigate impact of reservoir heterogeneity

Reservoir Description

- 6 reservoir layers with different permeability:
 - ➢ Top two layers: 100 mD
 - Middle two layers: 10 mD
 - Bottom two layers: 50 mD



- Single five-spot well pattern: 4 injectors + 1 producer
- 10 years reservoir lifetime
- Compositional reservoir simulations using Eclipse, E300
- Optimization algorithm: <u>Sto</u>chastic <u>Simplex Approximate G</u>radient (StoSAG), B. Chen PhD dissertation
- Total number of optimization variables:
 - ➢ Joint optimization: 5*20+5*6=130 ("5": # of wells, "6": # of layers)
 - ➢ Well control only optimization: 5*20=100 ("20": control steps)

Maximization of NPV



- Optimization strategies significantly improved NPV
- The higher NPV obtained from joint optimization is due to a combination of higher CO₂ storage and higher oil production



Optimal Well Controls



- Producers operate at the highest BHP (expect for the first year under joint opt.) to avoid large amount of CO₂ breakthrough at early stage
- Injectors operate at the highest (or close to highest) rate to inject as much amount of CO_2 as possible

Optimal Well Completions



(Note open fraction for well control only opt. is a constant "=1")

- Optimal completions for injectors is entire well length open
- Only top layers (i.e., L1, L3 and L5) of each formation are partially open under joint optimization
- Partially perforated producer at the top layers of each formation leads to less amount of CO₂ breakthrough and more oil being displaced from each formation due to larger vertical oil sweep efficiency

Comparing Different Optimization Objectives

Optimize NPV v/s Maximize CO2 storage v/s Maximize oil recovery



- Both, maximization of NPV and maximization of oil recovery objectives result in higher NPV than maximization of CO₂ storage objective
- Maximization of CO_2 storage objective results in ~30% higher storage

Impact of Reservoir Heterogeneity



- Permeability heterogeneity:
 - Top two layers: as shown above for each model
 - \blacktriangleright Middle two layers: equal to the perm. field of layer 1 multiplied by 0.5
 - Bottom two layers: equal to the perm. field of layer 1 multiplied by 2.0
- Four five-spot well patterns

Impact of Reservoir Heterogeneity

- Increase in reservoir heterogeneity affects the net benefit from joint optimization: NPV increase incremental to minimal
- Horizontal heterogeneity impact greater than vertical heterogeneity

Characterize multi-phase fluid flow in ROZs

- <u>Approach</u>: Simulate CO₂ injection in a residual oil zone using core-flooding experiments at saturations, pressures and temperatures typical to residual oil zone containing fields
- <u>Challenge:</u> How to create residual oil saturation conditions in laboratory?

Experimental Approach

- Step 1: Basic characterization
 - Specimens: Dolomite (nearly 100% dolomite with minor calcite)
 - > Porosity: 17%
 - Wettability: Weakly water wet
- Step 2: Create residual oil specimens
 - Use decane as oil: 3 phase system:
 (air, brine, oil)
 - Centrifuge methodology
 - Coreflood methodology
- Step 3: Coreflood experiments
 - Supercritical CO₂ conditions

Initial state

After 5 min

Establishing Residual Oil Conditions (on two specimens as function of centrifuge speed or rpm)

Step 2: Oil Saturation; Brine Residual

% porosity filled with brine

- Brine spontaneously displaces air
- Decane does not spontaneously displace brine but requires significant pressure drive
- Brine does not spontaneously displace oil but requires significant pressure drive

Establishing Residual Oil Conditions: Redesign of experiments

Summary

Project Summary: Lessons Learned

- In spite of increased commercial CO₂-EOR operations in ROZs, critical understanding of CO₂ storage & oil production mechanisms as well as long-term CO₂ fate and risks needs to be further developed
 - Lack of appropriate data
 - Large uncertainty
- Need to develop and test laboratory experimental procedures to simulate ROZ in-situ conditions
- Focused field-specific studies needed to improve predictions
 & predictive capabilities

Project Summary

- Key Findings (FY20):
 - Optimal reservoir management strategies can significantly improve CO₂ storage and utilization in ROZs
 - Reservoir heterogeneity can affect effectiveness of optimal reservoir management strategies
- Next Steps:
 - Test optimal reservoir management strategies with field data
 - Complete experimental characterization with coreflood

Thank You!

Organization Chart

- Rajesh Pawar, PI
- Bailian Chen, Post-doc
- George Guthrie, LANL Program Manager

Gantt Chart

 Preliminary estimates of CO₂ storage potential in representative ROZs across US with associated uncertainties.

Milestones

- 2. Empirical model to estimate CO₂ storage and utilization potential in ROZs.
- 3. Re-assessment of ROZ potential in conjunction with CO₂ storage.
- 4. Strategy to explore uncertain parameters in ROZ fields through core scale experiments.
- 5. Empirical models for applications to estimate CO₂ storage capacity, long-term fate and oil recovery potential from ROZs including identification of potentially impactful uncertain parameters.
- 6. Application of empirical models to fields with ROZs.
- 7. Initial Experiments.
- 8. Update empirical models based on experimental results.

9. Application of updated empirical models to ROZ fields through synergistic collaborations. Public dissemination of empirical models to CCUS stakeholders.

Chart Key

Go / No-Go

Bibliography

- Bailian Chen and Rajesh J. Pawar, 2019. Capacity assessment and co-optimization of CO₂ storage and enhanced oil recovery in residual oil zones. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 182, 106342.
- Bailian Chen and Rajesh J. Pawar, 2019. Characterization of CO₂ storage and enhanced oil recovery in residual oil zones. Energy, 183: 291-304.
- Bailian Chen and Rajesh J. Pawar, 2020. Joint optimization of well completion and control for CO₂ enhanced oil recovery and storage. SPE-200316-MS.