

Phase II Field Demonstration at Plant Smith Generating Station: Assessment of Opportunities for Optimal Reservoir Pressure Control, Plume Management and Produced Water Strategies DE-FE0026140

> **Robert C. Trautz** Electric Power Research Institute 3420 Hillview Ave., Palo Alto, California rtrautz@EPRI.com



U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory 2018 Annual Review Meeting for Crosscutting Research April 10-13, 2018

© 2017 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.

#### **Acknowledgment and Disclaimer**



Acknowledgment: "This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-FE0026140."

Disclaimer: "This presentation was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof."











#### **Presentation Outline**

- Project Goals and Objectives
- Project Location
- Technical Objectives
- Scope

3

- Experimental Design
- Infrastructure Design
- Permitting
- Water Treatment User Facility
- Accomplishments to Date
- Project Summary



Photographs of existing Gulf Power wellfield. Photos clockwise from upper left: Eocene Injection well EIW-4; graveled access road; pump station under construction; cleared and permitted drilling pad location for future well



#### **Project Overview—Goals and Objectives**

Objective : Develop cost effective pressure control, plume management and produced water strategies for: 1) Managing subsurface pressure; 2) Validating treatment technologies for high salinity brines

**Pressure management** practices are needed to avoid these risks. Brine extraction is a possible remedy for reducing or mitigating risk





# Phase I Site Screening and Down Selection Resulted in Selection of Plant Smith

- Evaluated existing geologic, geophysical and hydrologic data in the vicinity of each site, including
  - Well records, logs, core data, regional structural and stratigraphic studies and subsurface production/injection data
- Examined existing surface infrastructure at each plant
- Gaged plant commitment to hosting the BEST project
- Selected Plant Smith

5



Plant Bowen, Euharlee GA Plant Daniel, Escatawpa MS Plant Gorgas, near Parrish AL Plant Miller, near West Jefferson AL Kemper Co Energy Facility, MS



# **Plant Smith Overview**

Multiple confining units

6

- Thick, permeable saline aquifers
  - Eocene Series (870-2,360)
  - Tuscaloosa Group (4,920-7,050 ft)
  - Represent significant CO<sub>2</sub> storage targets in the southeast US
- Large Gulf Power Co. waste water injection project under construction (infrastructure)
- Water injection pressures will be managed as a proxy for CO<sub>2</sub> injection (~500k-1M gal/day)

No CO<sub>2</sub> injection will take place





# During Phase I EPRI Conducted a Life-Cycle Analysis of Extracting and Treating Brine, Transmitting Treated Water

- Used Plant Smith waters as the basis for the analysis
- Performed techno-economic assessment of a hypothetical CCS water extraction project
  - Extraction
  - Transportation
  - Pre- and primary-treatment assuming zero liquid discharge
  - Residual waste disposal
- Computed power required over 30 years of operation
- Calculated CapEx/OpEx costs for entire system



Added cost of water treatment can be significant



# Phase II Field Demonstration Experimental Design— Passive and Active Pressure Management

- Passive pressure relief in conjunction with active pumping can reduce pressure buildup, pumping costs and extraction volume
- Existing "pressure relief well" and "new" extraction well will be used to validate passive and active pressure management strategies

Pressure relief well has the potential to reduce extraction volume by 40%



Hypothetical CO<sub>2</sub> storage project showing "active" extraction and "passive" pressure relief well



## Goals of Subsurface Pressure Management Via Passive + Active Brine Extraction at Plant Smith

- Scenario—Minimize risks for injection-induced seismic events and leakage along hypothetical faults by controlling
  - Pressure buildup
  - Plume migration
- Limit the size of the Area of Review
- Limit the volume extracted

a

 Develop and test effectiveness of adaptive optimization methods and tools to manage overall reservoir system response





# Adaptive Pressure Management will Ensure Proper Control of Pressure and Plume Migration

- The adaptive management workflow integrates modeling + optimization + monitoring + inversion
- The adaptive workflow for optimized management of CO<sub>2</sub> storage projects utilizes the advanced automated optimization algorithms and suitable process models

# Why is adaptive management needed?

 Incomplete knowledge of subsurface properties exist, especially during the planning stages of CO<sub>2</sub> projects

• During operations, the subsurface system behavior needs to be monitored continuously, and the models need to be frequently updated



#### **Base Case Injection and Pressure Management Scenario**

- Involves an 18-month injection of ~1,090 m<sup>3</sup>/d (200 gal/min) of water disposal
- Injection into relatively thin and confined layers ensures generation of easily detectable differential pressures
- Strong contrast between low salinity injected fluid (~1,200 mg/L) and the Tuscaloosa brine (about 166,000 mg/L on average) enables geophysical plume monitoring





# Pressure and Salinity Changes for the Base Case Pressure **Management Scenario**

- Developed a preliminary reservoir model based on the existing data and simulated density and viscositydependent brine flow
  - Injection =200 gal/min
  - Max. Extraction Rate ~20 gal/min
  - Starting at time = 6 months
- Passive extraction may reduce the total volume extracted up to 40%, according to the base case scenario





# Optimization Algorithms Provide Minimum Extraction Rates as a Function of Time to Satisfy the Constraints for the Given Reservoir Conditions



13

#### **Monitoring – Inversion for Pressure & Salinity**





#### Reservoir Parameter Estimation Inverts Hydrological and Geophysical (EM) Data Simultaneously





#### **Crosswell Electromagnetic (EM) Method**





## **Crosswell EM Measurements Before and After Injection**





ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE

#### Plant Smith Crosswell Survey Requires Higher Power Transmitter for Imaging Plume

- Existing transmitter does not have sufficient power to be effective at Plant smith
  - SNR expected to be 2 at 10 Hz, we need at least 5
- Designed a new, more powerful and resilient transmitter
- The new tool will:
  - Maximize Tx output at 10-200 Hz
    - Required range for Plant Smith surveys
  - Be compliant to well conditions at Plant Smith
    - Pressure temperature, well diameter
  - Suitable for deployment for standard wirelines rigs





# **New EM Borehole Transmitter: Design and Manufacture**

- Core is transformer steel
- Length is 4.5 m, diameter 8.5 cm
- Number of wire turns about 8000
  - Moment 4000-8000
  - Weight ~100 kg (heavy!)
  - Pressure rating 2 km, temp 125 C.
- Operation design 5-500 Hz
- Well separation max ~ 1 km
- Will (soon) have downhole signal generation
- Manufacture completion mid May 2008
- First field test planned for mid June 2018





## **Well Field Infrastructure Design**

- Developed detailed technical specifications for:
  - Well pads
  - Extraction well
  - Injection well including four casing/tubing options
  - Flowline
  - Submersible pump
  - Power requirements
- Plant Smith site visit and pre-bid meeting with perspective drillers
  - Four drilling firms attended
  - Only two Florida-based firms responded with bids
  - Large disparity between prices



BEST project infrastructure layout showing the proposed location of the extraction well (TEMW-A), injection well (TIW-2) and flowline, and the existing passive-relief well (TIW-1)



#### Permitting

- Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) has primacy over Class I non-hazardous waste wells
- State has rigorous UIC standards to protect water resources
  - Well construction (casing/tubing diameters & thickness, cement thickness, materials of use)
  - Temporary monitoring wells to evaluate potential impacts during drilling
  - Permanent monitor well to evaluate potential impact from injection
  - Construction standards are being applied to BEST project's extraction well



Permanent monitor well installed within 150 ft of injection well is sampled quarterly for water quality impacts associated with injection

#### BEST obtained a minor modification to Gulf Power's existing well permit



## Water Treatment User Facility Design

 Preliminary design provides different water qualities for testing by DOE researchers and commercial water treatment vendors

- High salinity (166,000 mg/L TDS) Tuscaloosa water only
- Low salinity fresh or waste water (30-1,000 mg/L TDS) from Plant Smith
- Intermediate salinity (30-166,000 mg/L TDS) by mixing in a blending tank



EPEI ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE

### **Next Steps**

#### BP3 (2018-2020) plans include:

- Installation of the well field infrastructure
- Site characterization
- Final design and installation of the water treatment user facility
- Equipment commissioning
- 6 months of injection followed by 12 months of injection and extraction
- BP4 (2020-2021) plans include:
  - Site restoration
  - Final reporting



Newly constructed drill pad at Plant Smith for injection well TIW-2





# **Together...Shaping the Future of Electricity**



© 2017 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.

24