

Methods to Enhance Wellbore Cement Integrity with Microbially-Induced Calcite Precipitation (MICP) DE-FE0024296 Project Period: October 1, 2014 – September 30, 2019

Adrienne Phillips

Al Cunningham, Robin Gerlach, Lee Spangler

Montana State University

U.S. Department of Energy

National Energy Technology Laboratory Mastering the Subsurface Through Technology Innovation, Partnerships and Collaboration: Carbon Storage and Oil and Natural Gas Technologies Review Meeting

August 29, 2019



Presentation Outline

- Technical Status
- Accomplishments to date
- Lessons learned
- Synergy opportunities
- Summary



Project goal: develop improved methods for sealing compromised wellbore cement in leaking natural gas and oil wells, thereby reducing the risk of unwanted upward gas migration through laboratory and field testing. With the following objectives:

- 1: Laboratory testing of MICP sealing, develop a field test protocol for effective MICP placement and control.
- 2: Prepare for and conduct an initial MICP field test aimed at sealing a poor well cement bond (Gorgas)
- 3: Analyze results from first field test, conduct a second (and third) MICP test to improve MICP injection methods (Rexing #1 and 2)

Mitigating subsurface leakage





After Nordbotten and Celia, Geological Storage of CO₂, 2012

Cement is viscous

Microbes are small – thereby creating a niche treatment technology for small aperture fractures that can be delivered via <u>low-viscosity</u> fluids





Schultz, L, Pitts, B, Mitchell, AC, Cunningham, A, Gerlach, R. Imaging biologically induced mineralization in fully hydrated flow systems. Microscopy Today 2011, 19, (5), 12-15

Phillips AJ, Gerlach, R, Lauchnor, E, Mitchell, AC, Cunningham, A, Spangler, L. (2013) Engineered applications of ureolytic biomineralization: a review. Biofouling. 29 (6) 715-733



Accomplishments Objective 1: Fractu



Kirkland, C, Norton, D, Firth, O, Gerlach, R, and Phillips, AJ. (2019) Visualizing MICP with X-ray μ -CT to enhance cement defect sealing, International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 86: 93-100



Objective 2: Scale Up





▲ 6.6234

Objective 2: Wellbore sealing



First field test (April 2016)

Gorgas well

Side wall coring and injection test



Objective 2: Cement channel sealing

Gorgas well Bailer delivery Concentrated solutions followed by brine Inject over 4 days 25 calcium pulses 10 microbial injections

3 measures of success Injectivity reduced Pressure decay USIT Logs







Objective 2: Pressure-flow



Apparent permeability reduced 1.5 orders of magnitude

Reduced injectivitypressure increased and flow rate decreased

Threshold pressure



Objective 2: Mechanical Integrity Test





Objective 2: USIT logs on Gorgas Well





Objective 3: Rexing #4 Well



- December 2017 and September 2018
- Water flooding to increase oil recovery
- Vertical channel formed in the cement
- Water traveling through the channel into a thief zone above the targeted oil formation
- Opportunity to treat in an oil field- return to production
- Realistic and typical of established/problem wells



Objective 3: Rexing Well





Kirkland, C, Thane, A, Cunningham, A, Gerlach, R, Hiebert, R, Kirksey, J, Spangler, L, Phillips, AJ. Improving waterflood efficiency using microbially-induced calcium carbonate precipitation (MICP): a field demonstration (Submitted July 2019 Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, #PETROL17950)

Rexing: Flow-pressure





Mobile Mineralization Unit





Mobile Laboratory







Mobile Laboratory





48 gal. of microbes grown every 8-12 hours

Continuous Method Injection Strategy





First half- resuspended cells

Inject 270 gallons of resuspended cells, 450 gallons of water spacers and 560 gallons of U+C

Second half- little gain so switch to live cells

Inject 156 gallons of live cells, 360 gallons of water spacers and 394 gallons of U+C

Pressure-flow results





Lessons Learned



- Success at Gorgas- wellbore integrity
 - Pressure-flow, USIT and mechanical integrity
- Move to Rexing
 - MICP can be applied in situations where corrosion, cement deterioration greater
 - MICP can be applied in situations where there are oil and brine mixtures present
 - Needed to develop new continuous injection strategy for larger volumes
 - Upscaling large volumes of microbes can be accomplished with use of custom bioreactors
 - Reached pressure-flow injection goal

Synergies (and Synergy Opportunities)



- Additional R&D projects:
 - Wellbore Leakage Mitigation Using Advanced Mineral Precipitation Strategies – Montana State University- (DE-FE0026513)
- Possible synergies with other NETL & FE projects, e.g.
 - Programmable Sealant-Loaded Mesoporous Nanoparticles for Gas/Liquid Leakage Mitigation - C-Crete Technologies, LLC – Rice University, Rouzbah Shasavari (DE-FE0026511)
 - Nanoparticle Injection Technology for Remediating Leaks of CO₂ Storage Formation, University of Colorado Boulder, Yunping Xi
 - Bill Carey (LANL) Wellbore and Seal Integrity
 - Others

Synergy Opportunities



Mesoscale high pressure vessel for scale up work – radial flow, samples up to ~70 cm diameter, ~50 cm height



Phillips, AJ, Eldring, J, Hiebert, R, Lauchnor, E, Mitchell, AC, Gerlach, R, Cunningham, A, and Spangler, L. High pressure test vessel for the examination of biogeochemical processes. J. Petrol. Sci. Eng. 126, February 2015:55-62, DOI: <u>10.1016/j.petrol.2014.12.008</u>

MSU

 Center for Biofilm Engineering



Project Summary

Three project objectives were successfully completed

MICP treatment of wellbore cement was successfully demonstrated

- Laboratory to field
- Two locations
- Gorgas: USIT logs
- Rexing: restored to preinjection pressure

Advanced commercialization potential





Engineered Applications- Biomineralization



Phillips AJ, Gerlach, R, Lauchnor, E, Mitchell, A, Cunningham, A, Spangler, L. (2013) Engineered applications of ureolytic biomineralization: a review. *Biofouling.* 29 (6) 715-733

Appendix

These slides will not be discussed during the presentation, but are mandatory.

Benefit to the Program

- Environmentally-Prudent Unconventional Resource
 Development
- FOA objective to minimize environmental impacts and improve the efficiency of UOG development wells.
- Topic Area 2: technology development activities related to:
 - Development of science and technology related to the assurance of the long-term integrity of boreholes and
 - Demonstration of technologies for the effective mitigation of impacts to surface and groundwater resources, ambient air quality/impact, as well as other ecological impacts.
- Project must include a field data collection, validation, and/or demonstration phase

Project Overview: Goals and Objectives

Project goal: develop improved methods for sealing compromised wellbore cement in leaking natural gas and oil wells, thereby reducing the risk of unwanted upward gas migration through laboratory testing, simulation modeling and field testing.

- Objective 1: Laboratory testing of MICP sealing, develop a field test protocol for effective MICP placement and control.
- Objective 2: Prepare for and conduct an initial MICP field test aimed at sealing a poor well cement bond.
- Objective 3: Analyze results from first field test, conduct a second MICP test using improved MICP injection methods.

Organization Chart



	1	-	2 .	3 1	4	5 6	o 7	8	9	101	1 12	13	14 1	5 16	171	8 19	20	21	222	23 24	9 Z S	26.	27 2	8 25	1 30	31	32 3:	3 34	35 38	37	38 3	94	141	42 4	43 4	4 43	3 40	474	8 49	505	11 5	2 53 5	54 3
ement & Planning						4																																					
ement Plan	⊢	N	4			\perp	\perp											\rightarrow														\perp	$ \rightarrow $	_	\rightarrow	\perp		\square	\perp	\square	\perp	\downarrow	
ment Plan		C	C																																								
			1	м																																							
meso-scale laboratory experiments																																Т	\square			T		\square	T	\square	Т	ТТ	Т
	Г	Т															\square															\top	\square		+	+			\top	\square	+		+
est injection protocol for the first	L																		D																								
n field demonstration will be developed	L																		-																								
d wellbore cement data																				+		\vdash		+	-		-		-		\vdash	+	++	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	++	+	++	+
nary tests to assess injection strategies		+	+	+	+	+		+			-			+	++	+		-		+		\vdash	+	+	+		+		+		\vdash	+	++	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	++	+	++	+
n integrity																																											
a integrity						-	-							-		_				+		\vdash		+	-		+		+		\vdash		++		+	+		\vdash		++	+	++	+
Fuction and testing of weilbore cement	-	+	+	+	+	-ľ	4											-		+		\vdash	+	+-	-		+		+	+	\vdash	+	++	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	++	+	++	+
weilbore cement remediation field test	⊢	+	+	+	-	+	+-				+		-	-	++	-		-	_	-		\vdash	+	+-			+		+	-	\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	++	+	++	+
relibore cement remediation field test		+	+	+	+	+	+				_		_	-	++	-		_	_			\vdash	+	_			\rightarrow		\rightarrow	-	\vdash	+	+	\rightarrow	+	+	+	\vdash	+	++	+	++	\rightarrow
ions of the amounts of injection	L																																										
im, urea, growth nutrient) required for	L																			n																							
lation field demonstration using the	L																			1																							
ie i																																											
r injection of materials																																											
ellbore cement remediation test and	Г	Τ	Т	Т	Т		T																																				T
	L																																										
well bore integrity coment sealing field	1	T	+			+	1-	1			1			1		1														1		1-	+		+	\top			1-	\square		++	1
and and and a second second second	L																			M																							
tom first field test to develop	t	+	+	+	+	+	+	+-	-		+-		+	+		-	\vdash	+	+														++	+	+	+	+	\vdash	+	++	+	++	+
aling strategy																																											
aing strategy	⊢	+	+	+	+	+	+				+	\vdash	+	+	++	+	+	\rightarrow	\rightarrow				-	_								-	4	\rightarrow	+	+	+	\vdash	+-	++	+	++	+
imental work plan for the laboratory	L																							D																			
talog.	⊢	+	+	+	+	4	4					\vdash	\rightarrow	+	\vdash	+	$ \vdash $	\rightarrow	_				_				\rightarrow		\rightarrow	-	\square	+	+	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	+	4	\vdash	4	++	+	++	+
id improve conceptual strategies	⊢		\perp			\square												\rightarrow															\square		\square	\perp	\square	\square		\square		\downarrow	
ete analysis of field data from first field	L																								2.4																		
ete design of injection protocol for		Т																																									
	L																																										
nal laboratory tests to improve	Г	Т	Т	Т	Т	T	T				1																												T	\square	T		T
ategies	L																																										
struction of the Mobile	t	+	+	+	+	+	+	+-			+-		+	+	++	+	+	+	-	+		\vdash	+	+											+	+	+	\vdash	+	++	+	++	+
It	L																																										
d wellbase coment comediation field	⊢	+	+	+	+	+	+	+			+	\vdash	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+		\vdash	+	+	+		+		+	+	\vdash				+	+	+	\vdash	+	++	+	++	+
d weilbore cement remediation heid	L																																										
	⊢	+	+	+	+	+	+				+	\vdash	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+		\vdash	+	+-			+		+	-	\vdash	-			+	+	+	\vdash	+-	++	+	++	+
r injection of materials	⊢	+	+		+	+	4-				+		\rightarrow		++	—	+	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	_		\vdash	+	_			\rightarrow		\rightarrow	-	\vdash			-	+	+	+	\vdash	4	++	+	++	+
d MICP well bore cement remediation	L																																										
nt	⊢	+	+	+	+	4	4					\vdash	_	+	++	+	$ \vdash $	\rightarrow	_	_		\vdash	+	_			\rightarrow		\rightarrow	-	\vdash			-	\rightarrow	4	4	\vdash	4	++	+	++	+
nd field testing	⊢		\perp			\square	4											\rightarrow																м			4	\square	4	\square		\downarrow	
d field test results and perform scale-	L																																										
	L																																										
nd improve conceptual strategies	Г	\top					1-							1				_															\square						1	\square			
ary experiments tests to improve																																											\pm
mineralization unit	Γ	Γ	T		T	T	T												T													T		T	T			N	1	T			T
	Г	\top									1-													1									\square							\square			+
cti da protocol	t	+	+	+	+	+		+			+		+	1-	++	1-	+	-	+	+		\vdash		+-	+		+		-		\vdash		++		+					++		++	
or field test #3	t	+	+	+	+	+	+	+			+		+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+		\vdash	+	+-	+		-		-		\vdash	+	++		+			D		++	+	++	+
wellbars coment remediation field	⊢	+	+	+	+	+	+	+			+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	-	+		\vdash	+	+-	+		+		+	+	\vdash	+	++	+	+	+-		- F			+	++	+
wendore cement remediation held	L																																										
	⊢	+	+		+	+	4-				+		\rightarrow	-	++		+	_	\rightarrow	_		\vdash		_			\rightarrow		\rightarrow	-	\vdash		+		\rightarrow	+	4		4-	\mapsto		++	+
r Injection of materials	+	+	+	+	+	4	+				-	+	_	+	++	-	\square	+	-			\vdash		-								+	+	\rightarrow	+	4-		\vdash	4	++	4	+	+
AICP well bore cement remediation test	L																																										
							4																																				
ete third field test																																								1	M		
field test results																																											
nsive scientific/technical report will be	Г	Τ	T		T	T	T																									T			T	T					2		
the MICP sealing technology's ability to																																								3	Y.		
cement problems.																																											
ation and technology transfer			+																																								+
and the second s		1					T	-					-																			-		+					-		+		-
		1	1								1.0	1		1		1	1													1								(T	1				

Bibliography

- 1. Kirkland, C, Thane, A, Cunningham, A, Gerlach, R, Hiebert, R, Kirksey, J, Spangler, L, Phillips, AJ. Improving waterflood efficiency using microbially-induced calcium carbonate precipitation (MICP): a field demonstration (Submitted July 2019 Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, #PETROL17950)
- Kirkland, C, Norton, D, Cunningham, A, Thane, A, Gerlach, R, Hiebert, R, Hommel, J, Kirksey, J, Spangler, L, Phillips, AJ. (2019) Biomineralization and wellbore integrity: a microscopic solution to subsurface fluid migration (SSRN published online April 2019) https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3366088
- Kirkland, C, Norton, D, Firth, O, Gerlach, R, and Phillips, AJ. (2019) Visualizing MICP with X-ray μ-CT to enhance cement defect sealing, International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 86: 93-100 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1750583618308831
- 4. Cunningham, A, Class, H, Egbibo, A, Gerlach, R, Phillips, AJ, Hommel, J. (2018) Field-scale modeling of microbially induced calcite precipitation, Computational Geosciences. 23 (2): 399–414 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10596-018-9797-6
- Phillips, AJ, Troyer, E, Hiebert, R, Kirkland, C, Kirksey, J, Rowe, W, R, Gerlach, R, Cunningham, A, Esposito, R, Spangler, L. (2018) Enhancing wellbore cement integrity with microbially induced calcite precipitation (MICP): a field scale demonstration Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 171: 1141-1148 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0920410518306788
- Kirkland, CM, Zanetti, S, Grunewald, E, Walsh, DO, Codd, SL, Phillips, AJ. (2017) Detecting microbially induced calcite precipitation (MICP) in a model well-bore using downhole low-field NMR Environmental Science and Technology, 51 (3): 1537–1543 http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.est.6b04833
- 7. Beser, D, West C, Daily, R, Cunningham, A, Gerlach, R, Fick, D, Spangler, L and Phillips, AJ. (2017) Assessment of ureolysis induced mineral precipitation material properties compared to oil and gas well cements. American Rock Mechanics Association 51st Annual Meeting Proceedings, June 25-28, 2017, San Francisco, CA. (Paper # 588)

Wellbore Analog and Fracture Fixture Experiment



3x concentrated calcium pulses delivered via a perforated pipe inside the clear 6" wellbore.





Carbonate seal on cement side of the fracture fixture formed right at the interface of the 0.2mm gap

Measured height of the mineral precipitation

Laboratory- Wellbore Analog- Visualization



MICP Experiment – 250 µm gap 5 days, 5 orders of magnitude





Accomplishments to Date

- Laboratory testing to develop injection strategies
- Three field demonstration with successful results
- Scale up:
 - TRL
 - Mobile laboratory



Temperature logs





Mineral on Pipe and Microbial Community Analysis









Laboratory - Wellbore Analog- Surface Casing



Resistance to gas flow Subsurface pressures



Production data





Day 225 =field work 9/18 Day 240=return to injection Day 299= re-perforation- followed by return to injection



Injection pressure at Rexing #4red box after 9/18 field work

1200-1500 psi @16 bbl/day

Accomplishment to date: Mobile Mineralization Unit





Two methods for preparing microbes



Centrifuged microbes grown at MSU















NMR measured water content in the reactor decreased to 76% of its initial value. Destructive sampling confirmed final porosity was approximately 88% of the original value.



Figure 3. The biomineralized sand annulus was destructively sampled to quantify calcite precipitation. The outer pipes of the bioreactor were cut away to expose the biomineralized sand annulus. A saw was used to cut the annulus into quarters, producing the large crack shown here.



MSU
Center for Biofilm Engineering

Objective 1: X-ray CT



Objective 1: Lab scale: composite cores

CENTER FOI





Objective 3: Rexing #4 Well



