



## Assessment of Leakage Pathways Using Joint EM-Seismic, Borehole and Surface Technologies

Project Number: ESD14-095 (Task#3)

**Michael Wilt**, Pierpaolo Marchesini Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Addressing the Nation's Energy Needs Through Technology Innovation – 2019 Carbon Capture, Utilization, Storage, and Oil and Gas Technologies Integrated Review Meeting August 26-30, 2019





## **Coauthors/Collaborators**

#### <u>Co-Authors</u>:

David Alumbaugh Evan Um Thomas Daley Barry Freifeld Edward Nichols Liange Zheng

### Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

### Acknowledgement:

Don Lawton ] University of Calgary, Carbon Management Canada (CMC), Kirk Osadetz ] Containment and Monitoring Institute (CaMI) (Calgary, Canada)





## **Presentation Outline**

- Background on CaMI Field Research Station (FRS)
- Why Joint EM and Seismic Geophysical Monitoring ?
- LBNL Progresses on Data Analysis:
  - Crosswell EM Data
  - Crosswell Seismic Data
- Next Steps:
  - Wireline System Upgrade
  - Repeat Survey Campaigns





## Motivation

- 2011 White Paper on Field Testing Needs for Geological Carbon Sequestration (Daley et al., 2011) listed 3 priority field tests:
- A deep (supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>) injection into a high permeability, nearvertical fault or fracture zone
- An intermediate injection simulating secondary accumulation from leakage of gas-phase CO<sub>2</sub>
- A shallow injection studying groundwater impacts from leakage





## Motivation

- 2011 White Paper on Field Testing Needs for Geological Carbon Sequestration (Daley et al., 2011) listed 3 priority field tests:
- A deep (supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>) injection into a high permeability, nearvertical fault or fracture zone
- An intermediate injection simulating secondary accumulation from leakage of gas-phase CO<sub>2</sub>
- A shallow injection studying groundwater impacts from leakage

Crucial experiment testing monitoring gas-phase  $CO_2$  at intermediate depth as an analog for a leak into a 'thief zone'





## Motivation

Crucial experiment testing monitoring gas-phase  $CO_2$  at intermediate depth as an analog for a leak into a 'thief zone'

### **CaMI/UofC – Field Research Station (FRS)**

- A world-leading site for development and demonstration of MMV technologies for fluid containment and conformance
- Undertake controlled CO<sub>2</sub> release at 300 m (Phase 1) & 500 m (Phase 2) depth; up to 1000 t/yr
- Determine CO<sub>2</sub> detection thresholds for different monitoring technologies
- Improve and develop monitoring technologies for tracking the CO<sub>2</sub> plume migration and for cap rock assessment
- Monitor gas migration at shallow to intermediate depths and impacts on intermediate depth groundwater (CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>)
- Determine fate of CO<sub>2</sub> & CH<sub>4</sub> (trapping/dissolution)
- University & industry field training & research
- Integrating engineering and geoscience
- Public outreach & education

Primary LBNL Focuses

- From Lawton, 2016
- 5





## Field Research Station (FRS)



© 2004. Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, Natural Resources Canada. Sa Majesté la Reme du chef du Canada, Ressources naturelles Canada. USA/É-UdA





## Field Research Station (FRS)



6) 2004. Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, Natural Resources Canada. Sa Majesté la Reine du chef du Canada, Ressources naturelles Canada. USA/É-UďA





# Why Joint EM + Seismic?

- Seismic is <u>high-resolution but has uncertainty at high CO<sub>2</sub> saturation</u> and uncertainty in rock physics interpretation
- EM (conductivity) has strong sensitivity at all saturations and a single rock physics model (Archie's relation) and <u>complements seismic for estimating saturation</u> within the injected plume
- Ideally combine EM, seismic, and flow models in joint inversion for CO<sub>2</sub>
- Note: Geochemical alterations to rock frame are not currently integrated in either EM or seismic models (but working on that..)







rrrr





1) Time varying (sinusoidal) electric current input into solenoid transmitter







- 1) Time varying (sinusoidal) electric current input into solenoid transmitter
- 2) Time varying current produces time varying magnetic field







- 1) Time varying (sinusoidal) electric current input into solenoid transmitter
- 2) Time varying current produces time varying magnetic field
- 3) Time varying magnetic fields 'induce' secondary currents in conductive media







- 1) Time varying (sinusoidal) electric current input into solenoid transmitter
- 2) Time varying current produces time varying magnetic field
- 3) Time varying magnetic fields 'induce' secondary currents in conductive media







- Models:
  - Background from logging data
  - 40m-by-40m-10m CO<sub>2</sub> structure at the center of the two wells, 30 Ohm-m
- Logging interval
  - CO<sub>2</sub> at 290-300m
  - Logging interval 330-200m
  - Source frequency: 200Hz and 450Hz
- Assume noise level of 1% of signal amplitude







## **Crosswell EM Sensitivity**













- Uniform 8 Ohm-m Starting Model
- Preferential Lateral Smoothing and positivity



1 percent data fit









- Uniform 8 Ohm-m Starting Model
- Preferential Lateral Smoothing and positivity



1 percent data fit









## LBNL Crosswell EM System

#### Analog system (based on earlier LLNL hardware with new transmitter)

Transmitter

- Axial Tx and receivers (2 level)
- Frequency range 3 Hz-20 kHz
- Steel casing
  - High conductivity and magnetic permeability significantly attenuates signal
  - Currently the system can only handle one steel cased well occupied by the receivers
  - The transmitter must be ۲ deployed in a non-steel cased well







### Baseline Crosswell EM Data Collection at CaMI

- A single fiberglass-steel well pair, spacing is 50m\*
- Baseline (complete)
  - 200 Hz
  - 450 Hz (too noisy to use)

\* Fiberglass well has electrodes mounted on outside of casing for ERT Survey, thus additional care must be taken in terms of transmitter data coverage







### **Recent Inversions with Deviation Corrections**







## **Crosswell Seismic Updates**







## **Crosswell Seismic Updates**





Z

X(m) 20



## QC for Forward Modeling and Inversion



\* Rays and traveltime contours: generated with O(3) space accurate fast sweeping FD (finite difference) eikonal code on staggered grid





## QC for Forward Modeling and Inversion







## QC for Forward Modeling and Inversion







## Geosciences Crosswell EM and Seismic **Technology Updates**

#### **IGBT v2.0 Source Amplifier:** 1) more power; 2) lower frequencies



- Old Technology: 100s Hz - IGBT v2.0: < 200 Hz

IGTB v2.0 – Insulated-Gate Bipolar Transistor – operates piezo source at higher power and lower frequencies, necessary for integrating with DAS and working at longer distances





## New Amplifier used also as EM Source

- When coupled with new antenna it produces much stronger moment
- Seismic and EM systems operate from same logging vehicle using same cable







## **Optimized Seismic Acquisition**

On-the-Fly seismic Acquisition : 1) 37% less time; 2) less stress on the wireline system







## Crosswell EM System now Uses fully independent Tx and Receiver Sections

- GPS synch and wifi/link provides full TX, Rx isolation
- Battery powered seismic recorder can also provide for continuous precision monitoring
  - Continuous active source EM (CASEM)







# CaMI-FRS Updates: Aug.2019

- 18 Tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> injected to date (Aug. 2019)
- Injection will stop for 4-5 days (beginning of September) to change pump
- With newly installed (high-power) pump, injection will be continuous @0.5 Tonnes/day rate (no need for any extra step-up/down testing: all tests passed)
- ERT system is currently recording (daily measurement since July 2019)
- Added new industrial sponsor, Petronas to the current four (Total, Equinor, Chevron, Shell)





## Next Steps

- <u>First repeat surveys at CaMI-FRS</u>, <u>Oct.20 Nov.1</u>, <u>2019</u>: Seismic and EM crosswell campaigns (EM collected at 10 Hz and 450+ Hz)
- Seismic crosswell test with DAS
- Joint inversion:
  - EM with ERT and STB in single physics approach
    Crosswell seismic with EM in a joint-fluid flow approach







# Synergy Opportunities

### ■ <u>EM</u>

 Crosswell EM tomographic survey within BEST (Brine Extraction and STorage) project in Pensacola, Florida. Michael Wilt, David Alumbaugh, Evan Um, Ed Nichols

### Seismic

Crosswell time-lapse tomography and real-time active monitoring of steam/water injection for EOR, Lost Hills, California. Pierpaolo Marchesini and Chevron <u>Highlight</u>: new HV amplifier, capable of low frequencies <100 Hz → acquire crosswell DAS</li>





## Accomplishments to Date

- Collaboration with CMC/CaMI on field site development and monitoring program
- 2<sup>nd</sup> generation source amplifier towards fully-integrated EM-Seismic acquisition and recording system (raised TRL)
- <u>EM</u>: new transmitter; inversion of baseline dataset with updated deviation logs; forward modeling for repeat survey design
- <u>Seismic</u>: development of poro-elastic, anisotropic inversion
   + modeling code; preliminary QC on forward modeling for
   repeat survey design; optimized acquisition strategy





## Acknowledgments

- Funding for LBNL was provided through the Carbon Storage Program, U.S. DOE, Assistant Secretary for Fossil Energy, Office of Clean Coal and Carbon Management, through the National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL), for the project "Core Carbon Storage and Monitoring Research" (CCSMR) under contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231
- We would like to thanks the Geophysical Measurement Facility (GMF)
   @LBNL for technical and field support
- Carbon Management Canada (CMC) Containment and Monitoring Institute (CaMI) Field Research Station (FRS)
- We thank CMC Research Institutes Inc. for access to the CaMI Field Research Station and for logistical support during the field campaigns





# Appendix





## Benefit to the Program

- Program goals being addressed:
  - Develop and validate technologies to ensure 99 percent storage permanence;
  - Develop technologies to improve reservoir storage efficiency while ensuring containment effectiveness.
- Project benefits:
  - Deployment and testing of new monitoring technologies and methodologies;
  - Broader learnings from leveraged international research opportunities;
  - Rapid transfer of knowledge to domestic programs.





## **Project Overview**

- The Core Carbon Storage and Monitoring Research Program (CCSMR) aims to advance emergent monitoring and field operations technologies that can be used in commercial carbon storage projects. This effort aligns with program goals:
  - Improve estimates of storage capacity and sweep efficiency
  - Develop new monitoring tools and technologies to achieve 99% storage confirmation
- Success criteria is if we are able to advance the technology readiness level (TRL) of targeted technologies from a level of TRL 2 – 3 up to 4 – 5 through leveraged field testing opportunities, with field sites being used as in-situ laboratories.





## LBNL's Goal and Objectives

Contribute to a comprehensive monitoring program with:

- Integration and technology maturation of Crosswell EM and Seismic into a multi-physics monitoring approach to improve CO<sub>2</sub> saturation estimates and joint inversion;
- U-Tube fluid sampling;
- Distributed Temperature Sensing (DTS) + heat pulse monitoring;
- Surface and borehole straight + helical Distributed Acoustic Sensing (DAS);
- Distributed Strain Sensing (DSS).





## **Organizational Chart**

Carbon Management Canada (CMC) organized the Containment and Monitoring Institute (CaMI), led by Don Lawton (University of Calgary).

Project field site is CaMI-Field Research Station (FRS), Newell County, Alberta, Canada

#### Research Institutions

British Geological Survey (UK)

CMR (Norway)

GFZ (Germany)

Imperial College (UK)

INRS (Canada)

#### LBNL (USA)

Natural Resources Canada (Canada) NTNU (Norway) Princeton University (USA) SINTEF (Norway) University of Bristol (UK)

University of Calgary (Canada)

University of Edinburgh (UK)

University of Freiberg (Germany)

University of Guelph (Canada)

#### Commercial Partners

Chevron (USA) Equinor (Norway) Petronas (Malaysia) Shell (UK-Netherlands) Total (France)





## **Gantt Chart**

Task	Milestone Description*	Fiscal Year 2019			FY20	Planned Start	Planned Completion Date (Reporting	Actual Start	Actual End	Comment (notes, explanation of deviation from plan)	
	2011212	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		Date	Date)**	Date	Date	
Milestone 2-1 (A)	SOV/DAS automated data processing algorithms and workflows			х			10/1/2018	6/30/2019 (7/31/2019)			AOP Tracked
Milestone 2-2 (B)	Data report on SOV/DAS baseline surveys and initial testing of 2nd Gen SOV				x		10/1/2018	9/30/2019 (10/31/2019)			
Milestone 2-3 (C)	Data acquisition and analysis covering monitoring period					Q1	10/1/2018	12/31/2019 (1/31/2020)			
Milestone 2-4 (D)	CO <sub>1</sub> plume detection - difference of DAS/SOV surveys					Q2	10/1/2018	3/31/2020 (4/30/2020)			
Milestone 3-1 (A)	Forward modeling based on baseline EM and Seismic datasets using a sequential approach and current LBNL simulation code				x		3/1/2019	9/30/2019 (10/31/2019)			
Milestone 3-2 (B)	Cross-well EM and Seismic Repeat Surveys acquisition					Q2	11/1/2019	3/31/2020 (4/30/2020)			
Milestone 3-5 (C)	Surface-to-Borehole EM Repeat Survey acquisition					Q2	11/1/2019	3/31/2020 (4/30/2020)			
Milestone 3-4 (D)	EM and Seismic feasibility tests for continuous active monitoring during injection (CASSM, CESM)					Q3	11/1/2019	6/30/2020 (7/30/2020)			
Milestone 4-1 (A)	Sonde testing plan development and test-cell fabrication plans. Planned Completion (Reporting) date: Q3 6/30/19 (7/31/19)			х			3/1/2018	6/30/19 (7/31/19)			
Milestone 4-1 (B)	Results of testing of high sensitivity sensor. Planned Completion (Reporting) date: Q4 9/30/19 (10/31/19)				x		3/1/2018	9/30/19 (10/31/19)			
Milestone 4-1 (C)	Report on deployment of sonde in deep well. Planned Completion (Reporting) date: Q3 6/30/20 (7/31/20)					Q3	11/1/2019	6/30/20 (7/31/20)			
Milestone 4-2 (D)	Sensitivity analysis of the high-sensitivity sonde and comparison with baseline technology; outline of tech-to-market pathway for new technology. Plazmed Completion (Reporting) date: Q4 9/30/20 (10/31/20)					Q4	11/1/2019	9/30/20 (10/31/20)			
Milestone 5-1 (A)	In-situ assessment of the mechanical coupling between formation, cement, fiber and casing			х			4/22/2019	6/30/19 (7/31/2019)			





## Gantt Chart (continued)

Task	Milestone Description*	Fiscal Year 2019			FY20	Planned Start	Planned Completion Date (Reporting	Actual Start	Actual End	Comment (notes, explanation of deviation from plan)	
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		Date	Date)**	Date	Date	
Milestone 5-2 (B)	Data collection (DSS, P, T) from 01/01/2019 to 01/05/2019			х			4/22/2019	6/30/19 (7/31/2019)			
Milestone 5-3 (C)	Calibration of the hydraulic properties of our numerical model				x		6/30/19	9/30/19 (10/31/2019)			
Milestone 5-4 (D)	Data collection from 01/05/2019 to 01/12/2019				x		9/1/19	9/30/19 (10/31/2019)			
Milestone 5-5 (E)	Comparison with measurements made by the RITE institute using different technology Planned					Q1	TBD	12/31/19 (1/31/2020)			
Milestone 5-6 (F)	Lessons learn on: existing cables design, deployment technology and technology to be used					Q2	TBD	3/31/20 (4/30/2020)			
Milestone 5-7 (G)	Geomechanical numerical simulation using Canai field data					Q2	TBD	3/31/20 (4/30/2020)			
Milestone 5-8 (H)	Development of a new optical cable (TRL4).					Q3	TBD	6/30/20 (7/31/2020)			
* No fewer than two (2) milestones shall be identified per calendar year per task (per previously separate project)											**Note: Milestone reporting accompanies quarterly report, one month after end of quarter.





# Bibliography

- Daley, T. M., Marchesini, P., Wilt, M., Cook, P., Freifeld, B., Lawton, D.
  - Containment and Monitoring Institute (CaMI): Baseline Geophysics for CO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring with Crosswell Seismic and Electromagnetics

EAGE/SEG Research Workshop on Geophysical Monitoring of  $CO_2$  Injection: CCS and  $CO_2$  - EOR, Trondheim, August 28-31, 2017

Marchesini, P., Daley, T.M., Wilt, M., Nichols, E., Cook, P.

#### Baseline Data for Crosswell Seismic and Electromagnetics at CaMI

CaMI Research Integration Workshop, Calgary, June 25-26, 2018

Wilt, M., Marchesini, P., Daley, T.M., Um, E., Cook, P., Nichols, E., Freifeld, B., Lawton, D.

### Crosswell Electromagnetic (EM) and Crosswell Seismic Monitoring of CO<sub>2</sub> Injection: Baseline Field Studies at the CaMI Field Site, Alberta, Canada.

Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies Conference - GHTT-14, Melbourne, October 21-26, 2018

Alumbaugh, D., Wilt, M., Um, E, Key, K., Marchesini, P., Daley, T.

#### Electromagnetic Monitoring of CO<sub>2</sub> Injection at the CaMI Site

Containment and Monitoring Institute and CMC Research Institutes Subscriber Workshop, Calgary, August 19-20, 2019

Marchesini, P., Daley, T., Nihei, K., Wilt, M., Nichols, E, Um, E., Alumbaugh, D.

#### CO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring using Cross-well Seismic at CaMI-Field Research Station

Containment and Monitoring Institute and CMC Research Institutes Subscriber Workshop, Calgary, August 19-20, 2019

• Marchesini, P., Alumbaugh, D., Daley, Wilt, M., Nihei, Um, E.,

#### Geophysical Survey Design for Monitoring CO2 Injection at CaMI-FRS

<sup>37</sup> IEAGHG Monitoring and Environmental Monitoring Research Network Meeting, Calgary, August 21-22, 2019