

**Peer Review**  
**SECA Core Technology Program Workshop**  
**June 18-19, 2002**

**Presenter:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Presenter Organization:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Title:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Core Technology Area:**  Fuel Processing     Manufacturing     Controls & Diagnostics  
 Power Electronics     Modeling & Simulation     Materials

**Reviewer Organization:**     SECA Industry Team     Fuel Cell System Developer  
 National Laboratory     University/College     Small Business  
 Government (non-DOE)  Other \_\_\_\_\_

**REVIEW FACTORS**

**1. Science & Technology Technical Issues**

a. How relevant are the technical issues being addressed in this project?

Not at all     Marginal     Significant     Superior     Outstanding

**Comments/Recommendations for Improvement:**

**2. Objectives & Approach**

a. If the objectives are fully met, how significant will be the results of this project?

Not at all     Marginal     Significant     Superior     Outstanding

**Comments/Recommendations for Improvement:**

b. How effective is the approach in addressing the technical issues of this project?

Not at all     Marginal     Significant     Superior     Outstanding

**Comments/Recommendations for Improvement:**

**Peer Review**  
**SECA Core Technology Program Workshop**  
**June 18-19, 2002**

**3. Results**

a. How well do the results/progress relate to the project objectives?

Not at all  Marginal  Significant  Superior  Outstanding

**Comments/Recommendations for Improvement:**

b. How important are results of this work in the advancement of the Core Technology area?

Not at all  Marginal  Significant  Superior  Outstanding

**Comments/Recommendations for Improvement:**

**4. Applicability**

a. How beneficial are the results of this work in the development efforts of the Industry Teams?

Not at all  Marginal  Significant  Superior  Outstanding

**Comments/Recommendations for Improvement:**

**5. Additional Comments/Recommendations for Improvement:**

**Definitions**

**Not at all** – is viewed to be inferior in quality and amount, possibly duplication of existing work

**Marginal** – provides/likely to provide little useful knowledge or technology advancement

**Significant** – has/will have an influential impact on the core science and technology

**Superior** – is considerable in quantity, quality of advancement of core science and technology

**Outstanding** – marked by eminence and distinction in advancing the state-of-the-art and/or knowledge in the fields of science and engineering