Evidence of Mobilization of REE: Geological Aspects of REE Formation in the United States



Tracy Bank^{1,2} Elliot Roth^{1,2} Bret Howard¹ Phillip Tinker^{1,2} William Garber^{1,2} Megan Macala^{1,2} Evan Granite¹



REE-Y deposits types



Carbonatite Deposits

Bayan Obo, China; Mountain Pass, CA; Bear Lodge, WY; Iron Hill, CO;

- Carbonatites are *peculiar* igneous rocks that contain >50 wt % carbonate minerals
- Only a few hundred known locations on Earth
 - Occur mostly in continental rift zones
- Possibly form after primary magma separates into two immiscible carbonate and silicate melts
- Important sources of Cu, Nb, and REE
- Bayan Obo is also the world's largest carbonatite deposit
 - Several wt % REE oxides mainly as bastnaesite REE(CO₃)F
- Mountain Pass is dominated by Ce and other LREE-enriched bastnaesite







Peralkaline Deposits

Lovozero, Russia; Bokan Mountain, AK

- Peralkaline igneous rocks are oversaturated with Na and K with respect to Al
 - $(Na_2O + K_2O) > Al_2O_3$
- Magma may form from partial melting of metasomatized (hydrothermally altered) mantle
- Peralkaline granites form in island arc and mountain building regions (including Appalachia)
- Sinha et al (1989) identified peralkaline granites in plutonic suites of Appalachia
- REE-bearing minerals include apatite(Ca₅(PO₄)₃), xenotime (YPO₄), monazite (LREEPO₄) and lesser bastnaesite
- Peralkaline and carbonatite deposits often enriched in U and Th





Ion Adsorbed/ Laterite Deposits

Southern China; Eastern USA?

- REE found in soils deposited after weathering of REEbearing granitic source rocks
- Occur primarily in China
 - sometimes called laterite deposits
- REE were mobilized during weathering and adsorbed to kaolinite, halloysite and illite clay minerals
- Ore is relatively low-grade, generally only 0.05% to 0.5% REO, with high heavy REE
- Easily extractable REE are highly profitable due to low extraction costs





REE-enriched regolith, Virginia Photo courtesy Nora Foley, USGS



Relative proportions of REE in carbonatites vs laterites



- Be 31 Ga 32 Ge 37 Rb 39 Y 64 Gd 49 In 55 Cs 73 Ta 58 Ce 60 Nd 63 Eu
 - Laterite deposits contain higher concentrations of HREE
 - Adsorption is not as selective as preciptation
 - Laterite deposits are more valuable than bastnaesite in current market



Are lon-Adsorbed deposits in the US?

- Formation of REE laterites dependent on both enriched source material AND chemical weathering conditions
- Similar granitic source rocks in Eastern US (Appalachia) may have weathered to form REE-enriched laterite deposits
- Warm, humid conditions occurred during the Pennsylvanian time period during coal deposition
- Foley and Ayuso (2015) found REE-enriched regolith (2900 ppm) weathered from the mildly peralkaline Robertson River batholith, VA
- Rozelle et al., 2016 reported highly exchangeable sources of REE from PA clay samples
- Efforts are underway to characterize sedimentary overburden and underclay related to coal seams in PA



Sources of REE-enrichment in sedimentary rocks in coal basins



- Enrichment in coal basins of REE can occur due to:
 - 1) Elevated concentrations in source rock material (ash)

2) Physical and chemical weathering that selectively concentrates REE-minerals during deposition of ash

- 3) Remobilization of REE-bearing minerals during coalification/ diagenesis
- 4) Post-deposition enrichment from solution/ waters
- 5) REE enrichment from volcanic ash



>700 field samples collected to date

Complete characterization including: moisture %, ash %, CHNS, ICP-MS and ICP-OES after LiBO₂ fusion digestion

Summary Statistics indicate:

- Field samples are mostly shale •
- Ash content nearly identical to NASC (Gromet et al., 1984)
- <0.5 to 86 wt% C
 - Ave 12 wt% C
- Max Σ lanthanides = 850 ppm (whole rock), 1135 ppm (dry ash)
- Average Σ lanthanides 33% > NASC





 Σ lanthanides = 173 ppm

CaO

FeO

PA Field Samples



 Σ lanthanides = 234 ppm



Element Correlations in Cored Samples N = 35





400

300

200

100

P (ppm)

y = 0.4251x + 41.671

 $R^2 = 0.5573$



10





REE correlate with Ti/Al

- As erosional rate of source material (granite/granitoid) increases, Ti/Al increases
- In the studied core, ΣREE correlates positively with Ti/Al (R² = .51)
- Suggests that REE in sampled material is physically weathered from source rock
- Suggests REE were transported as nonreactive mineral grains and not as dissolved, soluble species



NE NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOG ABORATORY

- Data from SEM support the theory that REE are contained in discrete grains
- REE likely concentrated in small (<20 µm) semieuhedral monazite grains
- Monazite likely detrital in origin







- High REE spike in sample with no correlation to Ti/Al
- Sample contains 650 ppm REE (dry ash)
 - 603 ppm REE (whole rock)
- High V signal indicates calm conditions on deposition
- High Th/K might indicate clay adsorption
 - Th/K > 12 usually indicates kaolinitic clays
 - Spike Th/K = 73, background Th/K = 6







Average XRD results of field samples

- 34 wt% Quartz
- 26 wt% Muscovite/ Illite
- 13 wt% Kaolinite





NATIONAL

TECHNOLOGY



- Cation Exchange Capacity of sample ~10 meq/100 g
 - CEC of Chinese laterite deposits 10.8-16.6 meq/100 g (Cocker, 2012)
- NH₄Cl and (NH₄)₂SO₄ extraction experiments were completed to determine % REE occurring as sorbed/exchangeable phases
 - 10:1 liquid to solid ratio, 1 hour reaction time, ambient temperature
 - Results measured by ICP-MS
- < 1% of REE extractable using either method
 - Chinese deposits report 30-90% extractable REE
 - Up to 90% extractable REE from Upper Kittaning Bed, PA (Rozelle et al., 2016)
- REE are not *currently* ion adsorbed





Backscattered electron image courtesy Bret Howard



 $100 \, \mu m$

Stacked EDS maps (yellow zones are P and REE enriched)



100 µm



50µm







Backscattered electron image and data courtesy of Bret Howard, NETL





Fine crystals of REE-bearing phosphate in aluminosilicate matrix

17

LA- ICPMS Data

AMS (LA-ICPMS Analysis)





30 x REE enrichment in fine crystals







Re-crystallized Diagenetic Monazite



Before LA



Bret Howard SEM images



After



$24 \ \mu m \ spot$



Slow recrystallization of ion adsorbed REE



NATIONAL

HNOLOG

Comparison of Diagenetic and Detrital REE



Normalized to Upper Continental Crust courtesy Elliot Roth, NETL





Significance of Diagenetic Monazite

- Diagenetic monazites have been reported in literature
 - Milodowski and Zalasiewicz, 1991; Kryza, 2004; Alipour-Asll, et al., 2012
- Crystals form after clay-adsorbed REE precipitate during diagenesis
- Clay adsorbed REE may be from weathered detrital grains or possibly a second source of REE
- Marine limestones surrounding the coal seam indicate seawater may have been a secondary source of REE



Diagenetic Monazite Source: Bruker



NATIONAL



Conclusions

- Field samples with high ΣREE (>600 ppm) have been collected in Pennsylvania
- Most samples with high REE contain physically weathered monazite grains probably from an Appalachian granitoid source
 - Ti/Al correlates strongly with REE and indicates REE were concentrated during periods of high erosion
 - Some of these granitoids may be peralkaline and REE-enriched
- High REE in clay-rich regions are geochemically distinct
- Chemical leaching may have created regionally minor deposits similar to the ionadsorbed clay deposits in China (high Th/K and no correlation to Ti/Al)
- Diagenetic monazite crystals indicate that the adsorbed REE have been reprecipitated and are no longer extractable



Acknowledgements



- This technical effort was performed in support of the National Energy Technology Laboratory's ongoing research under the RES contract DE-FE0004000.
- David Lentz, University of New Brunswick
- Luca Fedele and Robert J. Bodnar- Virginia Tech LA-ICPMS
- Aaron Walsh- CEC measurements
- Mary Anne Alvin, Peter Rozelle project management





Disclaimer

This project was funded by the Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory, an agency of the United States Government, through a support contract with AECOM. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor AECOM, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



26