Monitoring for Faults at a Critical State of Stress – Application to Carbon Storage

Ting Chen Los Alamos National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Mastering the Subsurface Through Technology Innovation, Partnerships and Collaboration: Carbon Storage and Oil and Natural Gas Technologies Review Meeting

August 1-3, 2017

Research Team/Synergy

• LANL

- Ting Chen, George Guthrie, Paul. Johnson, Youzuo Lin, Andrew Delorey, Claudia Hulbert, Bertrand Rouet-Leduc
- External partners (leveraging with)
 - Penn State, U. Tenn., USGS, ETH [Zurich], ENS [Paris].
 U. Rochester, Georgia Tech

Funding leveraging

- Institutional support at Los Alamos (LDRD, 2017-2019)
- Center for Space and Earth Sciences, Los Alamos (2017-2018)
- University partner support (DOE, NSF...)
- Foreign NSF equivalent (Switzerland, France)

Presentation Outline

- Introduction
- Approach
- Example of method application
- Summary

State of Stress



- The state of stress determines "when-andwhere" for geomechanical process of energy-related operations
 - Fracturing / faulting

Induced seismicity

Critical State of stress



- All brittle failure experiments exhibit precursors
- All shear experiments exhibit precursors
- Many avalanches exhibit precursors
- Many earthquakes exhibit precursors (but not all!)

We posit that all slip events exhibit precursors but that we cannot always record or identify them.

Objectives

Monitoring for faults at a critical state of stress

Goal: ensure safe and long-term CO2 storage

- Pre-injection characterization
 - Identify faults of concern in the region
- During-injection monitoring
 Avoid induced seismicity



Approach

Idea: small seismic signals (previously unidentified) may reveal important information regarding the evolution of state of stress on the fault due to CO₂ injection

- Detect small seismic events related to critical state
- Analyze small signals that may inform us of upcoming fault failure

Approach (I)

- Critical state
 - precursor
 - triggering





- Small seismic events
 - Abundant small events can provide a more robust path for:
 - Testing our hypothesis
 - A practical field monitoring approach



Approach (II)

- Small signals before the fault failure
 - Numerical modeling
 - Laboratory experiment
 - Machine learning





Technique

- Challenge: rapid detection of small signals in a noisy background
- Inter-station Waveform Coherence (IWC)
 - array characteristics of local earthquakes
 - amplitude
 - spectrum
 - coherence



Demonstration of IWC

- Tectonic region -Parkfield
- HRSN
- 2012-2014
- NCSN catalog



Detect small events

- SP3 38° 2.25 0.14 SP2 M1.6 0.97 0.67 37° SP1 VCAB .60 2.79 30 sec 36° SP3 Uncataloged 5. SP2 S Earthquake Th SP1 35° 10 sec -122° -120° -118° VCAB SP3 SP1 SP3 VARB SP2 SP1 SP3 SP3 SP3 SP3 SMNB SP2 SP1 SCYB SP2 SP3 SCYB SP2 SP1 MMNBSP2 SP1 LCCB SP3 SP1 SP3 JCSB SP2 SPI SP3 JCNB SP2 SP1 SP3 SP2 SP1 GHIB SP3 FROB SP2 SP1 EADB SP2 SP3 EADB SP2 SP3 CCRB SP2 SP1 2.79 0.14 2.25 0.97 (< 0?) Magnitude 1.6 0.67 10 Minutes 08/01/12 - 7:00
- 6735 events

Solid earth tide



- semi-diurnal period (12.4 hr)
- fortnightly period (14.7 day)

Tidal triggering of events



- Higher seismicity rate during rising fortnightly tides
- Threshold stress behavior drives seismicity

Tidal triggering of events



- During falling fortnightly tides, earthquakes preferentially coincide with the peak semi-diurnal stress.
- Semi-diurnal stress drives seismicity

Interpretation



 tidal triggering of earthquakes transition from occurring during peak tidal extensional normal stresses to peak tidal shear stresses as pore pressures increase from below lithostatic pressure to near lithostatic pressure.

Summary

- We are developing methodologies to probe the state of fault (e.g., IWC, machine learning)
- These methods can provide information on the fault, such as pore pressure and effective normal stress
- By monitoring the change in state of stress on the fault, we may provide time bound on fault failures, and reduce the risk of induced seismicity

Next Step

- Apply to proper dataset
 - tectonic
 - water injection
 - $-CO_2$



Appendix

Benefit to the Program

- Identify the Program goals being addressed.
 - Improve the risk assessment of induced seismicity in carbon sequestration.
- Insert project benefits statement.
 - The research project is developing new methodology to identify and monitor faults at a critical state of stress. If successful, the proof-ofconcept work will demonstrate at field scale a transformational approach to both identifying potential faults of concern during site precharacterization and monitoring a site during injection such that induced seismicity is minimized or even avoided.

Project Overview Goals and Objectives

- Present information on how the project goals and objectives relate to the program goals and objectives.
 - The stress state of the fault is related to risk level of induced seismicity. Monitoring faults at critical state of stress enables advanced risk assessment of induced seismicity for carbon storage.
- Identify the success criteria for determining if a goal or objective has been met. These generally are discrete metrics to assess the progress of the project and used as decision points throughout the project.
 - New methodology for monitoring the stress state of faults
 - Successful application of the methodology to CO_2 storage field

Organization Chart

LANL

Ting Chen, George Guthrie, Paul. Johnson, Youzuo Lin, Andrew Delorey, Claudia Hulbert, Bertrand Rouet-Leduc

External partners (leveraging with) Penn State, U. Tenn., USGS, ETH [Zurich], ENS [Paris]. U. Rochester, Georgia Tech

Gantt Chart



Development of IWC method

Application of IWC to tectonic field

Application of IWC to water injection field

Application of IWC to CO₂ storage field

Discover and interpret new signals related to critical state of fault





Bibliography

- Delorey, A., McBrearty I., and Johnson, P. A., (submitted), Tidal triggering of earthquakes prior to the 2011 Praque, Oklahoma earthquake sequence forecasts increasing seismic hazard.
- Delorey, A., van der Elst, N. J., and Johnson, P. A., 2017, Tidal triggering of earthquakes suggests poroelastic behavior on the San Andreas Fault. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 460, p. 164-170.
- van der Elst, N. J., Delorey, A., Shelly, D. R., and Johnson, P. A., 2016, Fortnightly modulation of San Andreas tremor and low-frequency earthquakes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 201524316.
- Delorey, A., Chao, K., Obara, K., and Johnson, P. A., 2015, Cascading elastic perturbation in Japan due to the 2012 M w 8.6 Indian Ocean earthquake. Science advances, 1(9), e1500468.