

## Motivation

Cation diffusion can directly or indirectly influence degradation of LSM/YSZ

- Diffusion of cations from the interfaces to surfaces under current load.
- May increase the number of TPB and improve the performance in a short time
- Leads to increase of the interface resistance in the long-term operation due to morphological and compositional evolution near the LSM/YSZ interfaces

The interface degradation was identified as:

- loss of LSM coverage
- loss of three-phase-boundary (TPB) length.

**Develop quantitative theoretical models based on *ab initio* energetics to assess cation diffusivity in bulk LSM vs T and P(O<sub>2</sub>)**

- Cation interdiffusion of LSM/YSZ interfaces.
- Property assessment of diffuse interfaces

## Diffusion Model (Random Walk Diffusion)

$$D_{Mn}^{Self} = \left[ \frac{def - complex}{6} \right] \frac{Z}{a_0^2} \cdot v_0 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta S_{migration}}{k_b}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E_{migration}}{k_b T}\right)$$

Carrier concentration of defects (clusters) vs. P(O<sub>2</sub>) and T:

- Point defect equilibrium model
- Defect interactions for defect clusters

Fitting parameters:  $v_0$  and  $\Delta S_{migration}$

Migration barriers of the cation diffusion pathways obtained from DFT NEB calculations

## Equilibrium Defect Chemistry

Concentration of defect complexes involved in cation diffusion in LSM is calculated based on the DFT interaction energies

e.g., for  $V_A'' - V_B''$  defect complex in LSM:

$$V_A'' + V_B'' \rightarrow V_A'' - V_B''$$

$$\Delta G_{association} = \Delta E_{association} (+0.4 \text{ eV from DFT})$$

$$\rightarrow [V_A'' - V_B''] = [V_A''] [V_B''] \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E_{association}}{k_b T}\right), \text{ where } [V_A''] = [V_B''] \text{ from LSM point defect mode}$$

## B-site Cation Diffusion Pathways

### 4 Mn diffusion pathways

80-atom  $2\sqrt{2}a \times 2\sqrt{2}a \times 2a$  supercell (a: perovskite lattice constant)

**Pathway 1 ( $V_A - V_B$ )**  
 Palcut, PCCP 2008; Miyoshi, PCCP 2009; Harvey, EES 2012

**Pathway 2 (Curved  $V_B$ )**  
 De Souza J Mater Chem 1999 (Classical MD)

**Pathway 3 ( $2^{nd} \text{ NN } V_B$ )**  
 De Souza J Mater Chem 1999 (Classical MD)

**Pathway 4 ( $V_O - V_B$ )**  
 at low  $P(O_2)$

## A-site Cation Diffusion Pathways

**Sr-hop** and **La-hop**

- Direct 1<sup>st</sup> NN A-site vacancy migration
- Lower migration barriers of Sr than that of La in  $\text{La}_{0.75}\text{Sr}_{0.25}\text{MnO}_3$  despite a larger ionic radius
- Sr- $V_A$  repulsion increases the effective Sr migration energy to be about 3.2 eV, close to the effective La migration energy,
- Calculated DFT migration barrier for La in  $\text{LaMnO}_{3.65}$ : 2.8 eV

Puchala, Lee, and Morgan, JES 2012

## Cation Self-diffusion Coefficients vs. T and P(O<sub>2</sub>)

Set  $\Delta S_{migration} = 4.5 k_b$  and attempt frequency  $v_0 = 10^{13} \text{ Hz}$

**T dependence at  $P(O_2) = 0.2 \text{ atm}$**

**P(O<sub>2</sub>) dependences of  $D_{Mn}^{Self}$**

| Exp.   | $\Delta E_e$            |
|--|-------------------------|
| 1. Y in YCrO <sub>3</sub> [16]   |                         |
| 2. La in LaCrO <sub>3</sub> [17]   |                         |
| Miyoshi PCCP, 2009   | $D_{Mn}^{(LMO)}$ 0.6 eV |
| $D_{Mn}^{(LMO)}$   | 2.9±0.4 eV              |
| Palcut, PCCP, 2008   |                         |
| 3. Cr (g.b.) in $\text{La}_{0.75}\text{Sr}_{0.25}\text{CrO}_3$ [18]                |                         |
| 4. Cr (g.b.) in $\text{La}_{0.75}\text{Sr}_{0.25}\text{CrO}_3$ [19]                |                         |
| 5. Cr (g.b.) in $\text{La}_{0.75}\text{Sr}_{0.25}\text{CrO}_3$ [19]                |                         |
| 6. Cr (g.b.) in $\text{La}_{0.75}\text{Sr}_{0.25}\text{CrO}_3$ [19]                |                         |
| 7. Cr in $\text{La}_{0.75}\text{Sr}_{0.25}\text{CrO}_3$ [19]                       |                         |
| 8. Cr (g.b.) in $\text{La}_{0.75}\text{Sr}_{0.25}\text{CrO}_3$ [19]                |                         |
| 9. La, Sr, Mg in $\text{La}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{Ga}_2\text{Mg}_2\text{O}_{12}$ [20]  |                         |
| 10. Y, Cr in $\text{La}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{FeO}_{12}$ , Cr in $\text{LaFeO}_3$ [21] |                         |
| 11. Y in $\text{LaFeO}_3$ [21]   |                         |
| 12. Fe in $\text{LaFeO}_3$ [22]  |                         |
| 13. Co in $\text{LaCoO}_3$ [23]  |                         |
| 14. Sr in $\text{BaTiO}_3$ [24]  |                         |
| 15. Zr in $\text{BaTiO}_3$ [24]  |                         |
| 16. Pr in $\text{LaMnO}_3$ [27]  |                         |
| 17. Mn in $\text{LaMnO}_3$ [27]  |                         |

(Broken line: Diffusion couple)

Model predictions capture the experimental P(O<sub>2</sub>) dependences (transition of slopes)

- P(O<sub>2</sub>) dependences governed by nonideality of cation vacancies
- Decrease of  $D_{Mn}^{Self}$  with decrease of P(O<sub>2</sub>), due to lower cation vacancy concentration
- Predict to change from  $D_{Mn}^{(V_A - V_B)}$  at higher P(O<sub>2</sub>) to  $D_{Mn}^{(V_O - V_B)}$  at lower P(O<sub>2</sub>), due to change of dominant point defect population

## Summary

Developed an *ab initio*-based cation diffusion model to quantitatively predict cation self-diffusion coefficients of  $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{MnO}_{3\pm\delta}$  vs. a wide range of T and P(O<sub>2</sub>)

- Good agreement with experimental  $\text{LaMnO}_{3.65}$ ,  $D_{Mn}^{Self}$  vs. T and P(O<sub>2</sub>) dependences
- Results support the  $Mn_{1/2} - V_A - V_B$  model as the dominant Mn diffusion pathway at high P(O<sub>2</sub>)
- Predict to have a crossover of  $D_{Mn}^{(V_A - V_B)}$  vs.  $D_{Mn}^{(V_O - V_B)}$  at intermediate/low P(O<sub>2</sub>)
- $\text{LaMnO}_3$ ,  $D_{Mn}^{Self}$  [ $V_A - V_B$ ] is 2~3 orders magnitude greater than  $\text{La}_{0.75}\text{Sr}_{0.25}\text{MnO}_3$  due to higher cation vacancy conc.
- Predicted apparent activation energies ( $\Delta E_e$ 's) at P(O<sub>2</sub>) = 0.2 bar
  - $D_{Mn}^{Self}$ : 1.5 eV in  $\text{LaMnO}_{3.65}$ ; between the reported exp. values 0.6 eV (Radio-isotope diffusion)
  - $D_{Mn}^{Self}$ : 2.5 eV in  $\text{LaMnO}_{3.65}$  vs. exp. Pr Impurity diffusion in 1.3±0.1 eV (Palcut PCCP 2008)
  - $\Delta E_e$  of  $D_{Mn}^{Self}$  vs. Sr doping: 1.5 eV in  $\text{LaMnO}_{3.65}$  vs. 2.2 eV in  $\text{La}_{0.75}\text{Sr}_{0.25}\text{MnO}_3$

Future Work

- Extend to model cation interdiffusion of LSM/YSZ interface
- Impurity diffusion (Y, Zr, Pr, Co, etc.) in LSM
- The formalism can be applied to other SOFC perovskite systems (e.g. LSCF, LSC, BSCF)

## Acknowledgement

This research was supported in part by an appointment to the National Energy Technology Laboratory Research Participation Program, sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy and administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education.