# Creep-Fatigue-Oxidation Interactions: Predicting Alloy Lifetimes under Fossil Energy Service Conditions

2016 NETL Crosscutting Research Review Meeting April 18-22 2016, Pittsburgh

**Sebastien Dryepondt** 

**Amit Shyam** 

**Oak Ridge National Laboratory** 



# Power Plants Will Need to be Capable of Flexible Operation



- Frequent (~daily) load cycling will result in significant creep-fatigue interaction
- Project will focus on:
  - Long term creep fatigue testing and lifetime modeling
  - Study of microstructurally small cracks under creep-fatigue loading
  - Interactions among creep fatigue and oxidation
- 2 \* Ralf Mohrmann, Proceedings Liege conference 2014



# **Creep-Fatigue Behavior of Gr.91 Steel**

- Gr.91 creep performance is significantly affected by cyclic loading due to microstructure changes (Fournier et al. 2008)



- sub grain coarsening
- dislocation density decrease

As Received Creep-Fatigue Tests at 550°C

- No significant effect of cyclic creep (unloading/loading) due to limited cyclic strain (3500h, ~50 cycles)

# Decrease of lifetime at 650°C, 90MPa with 10h cycle





#### Increase of creep rate at 600°C, 150MPa with 10h cycle



MANAGED BY UT-BATTELLE FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

# Significant increase of creep rate at 550°C, 210MPa with 10h cycle





# Significant Increase of Creep Rate for Longer Creep Tests





## Recovery Observed During Unloading at Each Cycle



Decrease of dislocation density? Coarsening of sub-grain structure?



# **Creep-Fatigue Behavior of Gr.91 Steel**

- Decrease of cycle to rupture with hold time
- No cavity observed after testing
- Main effect of hold time is related to the formation of multi-layer thick scale vs thin scale on pure fatigue specimens
- Need for longer creep fatigue test duration



Fournier et al. (2008)



# Decrease of N<sub>f</sub> with Hold Time for Creep-fatigue Tests at $625^{\circ}C$ , $\pm 0.25^{\circ}$



Faster softening due to 10min hold time (500h test)



### **Decrease of Nf with Hold Time for Creep-fatigue Tests at 625°C**, ±0.5%?



-Faster softening due to hold time but not as drastic as for  $\pm 0.25\%$ 

-Increase of noise for 10h hold test (>2100), RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY 11

### Significant Decrease of Stress During 10h Hold Time



- Close to a steady stress after ~2h
- Creep lifetime at 625°C, 100MPa ~ 5000h



## Slow Evolution of "Steady Stress" for 10h Hold Time Test





### **Thicker Oxide Scale for Tests Performed at ±0.5%, 10min Hold Time**



14

#### Higher Strain Leads to Oxide Scale Cracking and Re-oxidation



15

# New Set up to Study Microstructurally Small Crack Growth at High T°C

- Sumit Bahl's work (Indian Institute of Science)
- Slower propagation for small cracks
- Fournier et Al.(2008)
  - - Initiation: Tanaka and Mura model
  - Propagation: Tomkins model
- Crack initiation at room temperature
- High cyclic Fatigue & Creep Fatigue Testing
- In Situ imaging of crack propagation
- Tests conducted at Room and 550°C





# **Crack Growth Imaging at Room T°C**



300µm



## **No Frequency Effect on Crack Propagation**





# **No Effect of Hold Time on Crack Propagation**





#### **BCS\* Theory Crack Growth Characterization**





Monotonic Plastic Zone Size\*

Monotonic and Cyclic Plasticity are Related by Load Ratio

Substitute  $\sigma_{max} \rightarrow \sigma_{max} - \sigma_{min} = \sigma_{max}(1-R)$  and  $\sigma_{ys} \rightarrow 2 \sigma_{ys}$ 

Cyclic Plastic Zone Size  $r_{pc}$  =

$$r_{pc} = a \left[ \sec\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\sigma_{max} (1-R)}{(2\sigma_{ys})}\right) - 1 \right] = a \left[ \sec\left(\frac{\pi}{4} \frac{\sigma_{max} (1-R)}{\sigma_{ys}}\right) - 1 \right]$$
  
R = -1, r\_{pc} = r\_{pm}; R > 0, r\_{pc} < r\_{pm}

Crack tip displacements (for distribution of edge dislocations at crack tip)\*\*



Monotonic Crack Tip Displacement

Cyclic Crack Tip Displacement

\* Bilby BA, Cottrell AH, Swinden KH. Proc Royal Soc A 1963;272:304. \*\* Weertman J. "Dislocations Based Fracture Mechanics"

**RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY** D BY UT-BATTELLE FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY



## Results at room and 550°C Consistent with Crack Growth Model



Tensile testing at different deformation rates Microstructure characterization to evaluate dislocation density

# **Creep-Fatigue-Oxidation Interaction in Steam?**



In Air:

From protective scale to nonprotective scale due to cracking and re-oxidation In Steam: Effect of strain on Nonprotective scale?



## Martensitic Gr. 91 and fully ferritic 9Cr alloys creep tested at 650°C in air and steam

Alloys	Fe	Cr	Мо	Si	Mn	V	C
Gr.91-1	Bal.	8.31	0.9	0.13	0.34	0.26	0.08
Gr.91-2 (or 9Cr)	Bal.	8.61	0.89	0.11	0.27	0.21	0.08

Similar composition but different microstructures:

-Gr.91-1 = standard commercial heat treatment Normalization: 1040°C and Tempering: 730-760°C - Gr.91-2 = standard commercial heat treatment Normalization: 1080°C and Tempering: 760°C - 9Cr = Non heat treated material

Fully ferritic as-fabricated microstructure



### Effect of Load-Bearing Scale for Thin 9Cr Specimens



- Thinner specimen to increase the volume to surface ratio



## Stress Calculation Is Very Consistent With Load Bearing Scale

 $F_{Applied} = \sigma_{oxide} \cdot S_{oxide} + \sigma_{9Cr} \cdot S_{9Cr}$ 





## No Effect or Small Increase of Lifetime in Steam vs Air for Gr.91 Alloys



- Again consistent with Load-Bearing Scale compensating for metal loss



### Healed cracks lead to a Continuous Bearing Inner Oxide scale



Gr91-1, Steam, 350h, 100MPa



## Decrease of Lifetime in Steam due to Thermal Cycling





# Similar Oxide Scale Microstructure for Specimens Thermally Cycled



Gr.91-1, 855h

Gr.91-2, 2512h

- Local cracking of the scale leads to sudden increase of stress and rupture?

- Ongoing cyclic air test to verify Gr.91 microstructure is not affect by thermal cycling



# **Future Activities**

- Conduct microstructure characterization of cyclic creep, creep fatigue and fatigue crack growth specimens

- Sub grain coarsening
- Decrease of dislocation density
- Cavity formation
- Continue long-term tests
- Conduct fatigue and creep-fatigue testing in steam.
  - Design is Ready
  - Effect of cyclic loading on a thick non protective scale?



# Acknowledgements

- D. Erdman, C.S. Hawkins, T. Lowe, T. Jordan, J. Turan, J. Arnold and D. McClurg for assistance with the experimental work

- B. Pint, P. Tortorelli, for exciting scientific discussions

- This research was sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Fossil Energy under the supervision of Vito Cedro III

