



Automated Post-combustion Flue Gas Membrane Performance Testing Skid at the National Carbon Capture Center

Victor Kusuma National Energy Technology Laboratory, AECOM NETL Carbon Capture Technology Review Meeting 2016







- The NETL Post-combustion Membrane Testing Skid (PCMS)
- Lessons learned
- Initial testing results
- Ongoing testing
- Future outlook

Our home at the PC4 Bench Scale Facility





ENERGY Nation

Our home at the PC4 Bench Scale Facility







Post-Combustion Membrane Testing Skid





- The proving ground for our most promising membranes
- Compact footprint: can be moved as one unit
- Fully automated operation: set and forget
 - Designed for multiday/multiweek experiments for medium/long term testing

Post-Combustion Membrane Testing Skid



- Based on standard constant pressure membrane testing system
 - Mixed gas analysis using gas chromatograph

ENERG

Technology Laboratory

- Pressurizes feed up to 30 psig, filters particulate matter, and dehumidifies feed to avoid condensation
- Accepts hollow fiber modules and flat sheet modules



Software and automation



		-
TEST SETUP DATA	PURGE STEP 1 STEP 2 STEP 3 STEP 4 STEP 5	
LOAD DATA SAVE DATA	FTC-181 Flow 10 SCCM PTC-225 Press 22 PSIA	
File Name		
Defective2Step_11_19_15_14_34_11_		
Path	Time to 1st Sample 15 Min	
& C1/NCCC Labview/TestDataFiles	Sample Cycles 1 Sweep to Feed Samples 2	
11/19/2015 4:05 PM	Time between Samples 3 Min	
SYSTEM STATUS	STOP STEP STEP 2 TOTAL RUN TIME 72 Min G GC Sampling	
GC Sampling	STOP STEP STEP 2 TOTAL RUN TIME 72 Min = GC Sampling = Waiting	
Joc sampling		
Data Collection Filename	Data Collection Path Data Collection Path TOTAL RUN TIME	
SW50-01	D:\Labview\DataCollectionFiles x D1Labview\DataCollectionFilesSW50 156 MIN	
34430-01	0111/3/2/24	
FTC-133 9.98 SCCM FTC-143	PTC-225 PTC-225 GC	
FTC-133 9.98 SCCM Range: 0-50	SCCM PT-187 18.35 FTC-225 18.74 PSIA STAT PN STAT PN	
FTC-133 9.98 SCCM Range: 0-50 Range: 0-5	SCCM PT-187 PT-135 PT-205	
FTC-133 9.98 SCCM Range: 0-50	SCCM PT-187 18.35 SCCM PT-135 19.24 PSIA 19.24 PSIA 18.04 PSIA 18.04 PSIA 18.04 PSIA	NI cDAQ-913
FTC-133 9.98 SCCM Range: 0-50 FTC-143 0.09 Range: 0-5 FTC-143 0.09 Range: 0-5 FTC-143 0.09 Range: 0-5	SCCM PT-135 SCCM PT-135 19.24 PT-135 PT-13	NI cDAQ-913
FTC-133 9.98 Range: 0-50 FTC-143 0.09 Range: 0-5 FTC-143 10.09 Range: 0-5 FTC-143 10.09 Range: 0-5 FTC-143 10.09 Range: 0-50 FTC-181 10.03	SCCM 0 FTC-191 5CCM 0 FTC-191 125.24 FTC-191 125.24 FTC-191 125.24 FTC-191 125.24 FTC-191 125.24 FTC-191 125.24 FTC-191 125.24 FTC-191 125.24 FTC-191 125.24 FTC-191 125.24 FTC-191 125.24 FTC-191 FTC-191 125.24 FTC-191 FTC-19	NI cDAQ-913
FTC-133 9.98 Range: 0-50 FTC-143 0.09 Range: 0-50 FTC-143 10.09 Range: 0-50 FTC-143 Range: 0-50 Range: 0-50 Range: 0-50 FTC-143 Range: 0-50 FTC-143 FTC-143 Range: 0-50 FTC-143 Range: 0-50 FTC-143 FTC-143 Range: 0-50 FTC-143 Range: 0-50 FTC-143 FTC-143 Range: 0-50 FTC-143 Range: 0-50 FTC-143 Range: 0-50 FTC-143 Range: 0-50 Range: 0-50 FTC-181 FTC	SCCM PT-187 PT-187 PT-187 PT-187 PT-187 PT-187 Range: 14.5-30 PT-225 18.04 PSIA Range: 14.5-30 FEADY	NI cDAQ-913

- Embedded Windows 7 rugged computer/DAQ with custom LabVIEW-based software
- Enables "setup, start and walk away" operation philosophy
- Up to 5 pre-programmed pressure/flow steps
- Automated GC injections for mixed gas analysis from either feed or sweep

Membrane assembly





Typical area: 0.6 to 2.7 cm² Cross-current flow Typical length: 10 cm Counter-current flow



December 2015 campaign timeline





- Primary task: install and confirm PCMS operating properly
 - Extensive tests at NETL minimizes installation and shakedown time at NCCC
- Secondary task: test membranes (24-hour tests)
 - 7 membranes successfully tested: 4 hollow fibers, 3 dense flat sheet membranes

December 2015 feed characteristics





PCMS-analyzed feed composition matches well with NCCC's

Feed dewpoint depends on outside temperature (reduced by feed chiller to prevent condensation)





Reference membrane: PDMS





- Commercial polydimethylsiloxane film
- Stable performance:
 - ~3300 barrer CO₂
 - CO_2/N_2 around 8.5
 - O_2/N_2 around 1.9
 - All the above numbers agree with lit. data
- Insufficient flowrate to measure water vapor permeability
 - Around 16k-18k barrer H_2O - much lower than literature ref. (40k)
 - 10 sccm not enough to avoid conc. polarization



Permeability (barrer)

Gen 1 mixed matrix coated hollow fibers





flat sheet TFE-PPZ/SIFSIX-2Cu_i (not tested at PCMS)



poly(bistrifluoroethoxy)phosphazene (TFE-PPZ)



HF-1 (TFE-PPZ/30 wt% SIFSIX-2Cu, coating)

high surface porosity Torlon hollow fibers

SIFSIX-2Cu_i: Nugent, P; et. al.: Nature **2013.** 495, 80 12

Mixed matrix coated hollow fiber membranes



National Energy

Technology Laboratory



- **PPZ/SIFSIX** mixed matrix coated hollow fiber (HF-1)
 - Slight decline in all gas permeance over 22h: CO₂ from ~75 GPU to ~70 GPU
 - Insufficient time to determine decline significance

Permeance (GPU)

Summer 2016 campaign timeline





- Three membranes planned this season
 - Focus on medium term tests (3-4 weeks) to gain operational experience
 - Whenever available, test thin film hollow fiber composite membranes
 - One three-week test successfully concluded on a free-standing film
 - Ongoing testing on membrane #2

PDMS re-run to verify operation





- Confirmed PCMS still working after 6 months downtime
- Confirmed CO₂, O₂, N₂ results against previous run
- Higher apparent H₂O permeability (25k-28k barrer) with 50 sccm feed flowrate
- Hourly sampling frequency

15

Conclusions



- We have an operational membrane testing skid at NCCC
 - Versatile for testing different membrane formats
 - Short term testing gives data well corroborated with literature values and/or other testing equipment at NETL
 - Sufficient feed flowrate is required to quantify water vapor permeance accurately
- Short term membrane performance at low humidity + contaminants consistent with performance under dry, ideal conditions

• The PCMS can be operated unattended for longer periods of time

- We have completed a three-week unattended test
- The skid can tolerate several process upsets (e.g. temporary loss of feed flue gas)
- Gas chromatograph maintenance imposes the biggest limitation on testing length

Future plan



• Finish current testing season at NCCC

- Up to two additional membranes to be tested (4 week runs)
- Upgrade feed flowrate capability to measure water vapor permeance

Design improvements

- More efficient water vapor removal to protect GC columns
- Flow components to accommodate small multi-fiber modules
- Continue developing membranes with focus on thin film composite hollow fiber membranes



Gen 1 coated HF (tested)



Gen 2 coated HF (in development)

Acknowledgements

NETL

- Kevin Resnik
- Dave Hopkinson
- John O'Connor
- Jeffrey Hash
- Ron Hirsh
- Ray Rokicki
- Bill Walker
- Rocky Stoneking
- Rich Valdisera
- Surendar Venna
- Shan Wickramanayake
- Ganpat Dahe
- Ali Sekizkardes
- Christina Myers

NCCC

- Justin Anthony
- Bob Lambrecht
- NCCC process engineers
- Caddell construction crew

Shimadzu Corp.

- Heather Juzwa
- Mark Waksmonski
- Yuan Lin





Thank you for your attention!

This technical effort was performed in support of the National Energy Technology Laboratory's ongoing research under the RES contract DE-FE0004000.

This project was funded by the Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory, an agency of the United States Government, through a support contract with AECOM. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor AECOM, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.