Pressurized Testing of Solid Oxide Fuel Cells

16th Annual Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) Workshop

July 16, 2015



Dr. Louis G. Carreiro Dr. John R. Izzo Jr. Naval Undersea Warfare Center Energy and Propulsion Branch

Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division Newport

2193142,9, 300





The Naval Undersea Warfare Center is the United States Navy's full-spectrum research, development, test and evaluation, engineering, and fleet support center for submarines, autonomous underwater systems, and offensive and defensive weapon systems associated with Undersea Warfare. (SECNAVINST)

A Navy Core Equity – A National Asset







- Introduction
- Unmanned Undersea Vehicles (UUVs)
- Why Pressurized Operation?
- Test Facilities
 - Pressure Vessel (PV)
 - SOFC Test Stand
- Stack Test Results
 - Ambient
 - Pressure
- Summary





Introduction



- The Navy has a need for air-independent advanced electric power sources with high energy storage that will replace batteries in unmanned undersea vehicles (UUV) applications
- A typical UUV power source will consist of a planar SOFC stack(s), fuel processor, carbon dioxide scrubber, BoP components and fuel / oxidant storage vessels.
- SOFCs offer several distinct advantages over battery technology
 - Greater specific energy
 - Ability to utilize energy-dense fuels
 - Self-sustaining operation
 - "Gas and go" capability allows UUV to be quickly re-deployed
- Although planar SOFC stacks have demonstrated the highest efficiency and power density, concerns remain regarding their robustness, gas leakage, and long-term seal durability
- Pressurized operation should help mitigate these issues





Autonomous Undersea Vehicles

MANTA









7







Potential Benefits:

- Longer UUV missions as a result of higher energy density
- Reduced down time between
 missions
- Decreased cost and increased safety versus lithium batteries
- Use of hydrocarbon fuels or even biodiesel







Fuel

- Hydrogen
 - compressed gas
 - cryogenic liquid
- Hydrocarbons
 - light (C₁ C₄)
 - liquid (JP-8, diesel)
- Hydrogen-containing cpds
 - LiAIH₄
 - NaBH₄
 - Mg₂Ni (intermetallic)



• Oxygen

- compressed gas
- cryogenic liquid
- Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂)
- Oxygen-containing cpds
 KCIO₄
 - MnO₂

* Air-independent operation







Increase system performance, reduce SOFC losses

- <u>SOFC voltage increases</u> at higher pressures $E = E_0 + \frac{RT}{nF} ln \left(\frac{p_{H2} p_{O2}^{1/2}}{p_{H2O}} \right)$
- Stack efficiency enhanced by ~ 3%, due primarily to Nernstian and kinetic effects
- <u>System efficiency</u> associated with system level energy storage improves an estimated 7%
 - Lower parasitic power losses for recycling fuel and oxidant streams
 - Carbon dioxide sequestration is facilitated
 - Reduced plumbing requirements (e.g. circulation pump for anode recycle)
- <u>Seal integrity</u> maintained
 - High differential pressures between anode and cathode or process gas and atmosphere can cause seal between cells to fail.
 - Balance external stack pressure with process gas pressure to minimize driving force for gas leakage.

Enhanced efficiency increases system reliability and mission duration















<u>Goals</u>

- Evaluate SECA-sponsored SOFC stacks at elevated pressure
- Construct test stand for pressurized testing of planar stacks
- Operate at elevated pressure to increase system performance and stack reliability
 - Implement algorithms for automated regulation of temperature and pressure set point tracking
 - Control three zones (anode, cathode and ambient (pressure vessel) in order to minimize pressure differentials across stack components

Test Objectives

- Establish performance of SOFC stack at ambient pressure
- Demonstrate enhanced performance at elevated pressure with air
 - Examine effects of pressure (up to 45 psia) on voltage and efficiency
 - Monitor any gas leakage as a function of operating pressure
- Extend pressurized testing to include oxygen as the oxidant







- Air Independent Propulsion (AIP) test facility at NUWCDIVNPT for long duration testing of electrical energy sections for UUVs
 - High and low temperature fuel cells
 - Pressurized fuel cell testing
 - Motors/Power electronics
 - Engines/Power systems (Stirling)
 - Reactant delivery systems



Remote operation from central control room









- Carbon steel pressure vessel (50-in ID) rated to 150 psig at 250°C
- ASME-rated relief valve (0.5-in ID)
 - Protects integrity of the pressure vessel (PV)
 - Will not re-close once it has opened
- Supplemental relief valves (0.25-in ID)
 - Installed on anode inlet, cathode inlet and PV
 - Pre-set for each specific test to prevent over-pressurization
- Equilibar® back pressure regulators
 - Installed on the exhaust of each pressurized zone (anode, cathode and PV)
 - Automatically open in case of abort condition or power loss
- Gas flow
 - Capacity up to 700 SLPM total flow in
 - Gas composition sampled via mass spectrometer (MS)
- Temperature monitored in process lines and pressure vessel















Pressurized SOFC Test Stand





- 50" ID carbon steel pressure vessel rated to 150 psig at 250°C
- Hot box consisting of four heating elements constructed around stack
- Inline heaters for preheating anode and cathode reactant gases
- Gas sampling at 7 locations via mass spectrometer
- Voltage monitoring of individual cells





Mass Spectrometer Sampling











- Number of cells: 10
- Individual cell area: 403 cm²
- Stack Assembly
 - Laser-welded cassette
 - Glass ceramic seals
 - Stainless steel manifold
 - Co-flow gas design
- Test conditions
 - Gases:

<u>Anode</u> $x_{H_2} = 0.5$, $x_{N_2} = 0.5$ (dry) <u>Cathode</u> air / oxygen

- Pressure: 1 to 3 atm
- Power output : 1.75 kW (240 A, 7.3 V) at 50% fuel utilization at 700°C with all zones at 45 psia









- Tests performed by Delphi prior to stack delivery and data provided to NUWC
 - Constant Current hold
 - Fuel utilization sweep
 - Polarization curve
- NUWC started operations by ramping current to 240 A, reaching an operating power level of 1.7 kW
- Current lowered and held at 140 A
- Stack temperature limited by inlet gas preheating
 - Lower air flows used to keep higher inlet gas temperatures
 - Lower stack temperature while under a load resulted in lower voltage
 - Stack temperature largely dependent on internal heat generation from load











- 50% H₂: 50% N₂ flow lowered under constant 180 A load and constant air flow
 - Results were comparable with offset due to lower operating temperature
 - Lower flow resulted in increase fuel utilization and stack heating
- Polarization curve collected from 240 A decreasing 10 A per 30 sec
 - Temperature change is inherent in SOFC polarization, but larger changes than expected were due to lower inlet temperature and flows









- Pressurized polarization curves collected after pressurization to 45 psia while held at 140 A and constant fuel and oxidant flows
- Higher pressure resulted in higher voltage (efficiency), even with a lower stack temperatures
- Temperature decreased with increase in pressure due to increase in gas density and specific heat, resulting in an increased cooling effect from the reactant gases





Pressurized Test Results





- Constant current steady state operation
 - 140 A load, constant gas flows
 - Pressurized at 0.5 psi / min
- Increase in voltage even with continuous decrease in stack temperature as pressure was increased (pre-heating of reactant gases insufficient)
- 30 minute hold every 5 psi resulted in further cooling and decrease in voltage
- Largest efficiency gains over first 10 psi increase, higher gain for oxygen







- Delphi Gen IV 10-cell stack was tested at pressures up to 45 psia with both air and pure oxygen
- Efficiency gain of 2.4% and 1.7% demonstrated at 45 psia for oxygen and air, respectively
- Combined efficiency gain of almost 6% was observed for oxygen at 45 psia vs. air at 15 psia
- Limitations reaching and maintaining stack temperature due to insufficient capacity of inline gas heaters
- Fuel cell technology has the potential to greatly increase endurance of UUV missions over current battery technologies
- A minimum of 10-15 thermal cycles will make SOFCs economically competitive with Li-based battery systems







National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL)

Office of Naval Research (ONR)

Delphi Corporation

Dr. A. Alan Burke (Chemours)



24





Efficiency = f (i, T, P, utilizations, reactant feeds, stack materials; significant increase in performance occurs at 3 to 4 atm

Relative Efficiency Gain

- Calculated by comparing operating voltage at elevated pressure vs. ambient pressure
- Difficult to make comparisons of stacks with different designs and operating conditions

Absolute Efficiency Gain

- Voltage gain vs. total fuel value entering the system
- Equate voltage to Lower Heating Value (LHV) of hydrogen (-241.8 kJ/mol)

$$E_{LHV} = -\Delta H_{LHV} / nF = 1.25 V$$

• Gross SOFC efficiency (ϵ) with reference to LHV (H₂)

$$\epsilon = V_{cell} / 1.25V * 100\%$$

• Absolute efficiency gain ($\Delta \epsilon$)

$$\Delta \varepsilon = \Delta V_{cell} / 1.25 \text{ V} * 100\%$$

where ΔV_{cell} is the pressure-induced voltage change

