

Reliable Electricity Based on ELectrochemical Systems (REBELS)

Lower Cost Devices with New Functionality

John P. Lemmon, Program Director Advanced Research Projects Agency - Energy

July 22, 2014

Outline

Context Behind REBELS

- Materials
- Distributed Generation Applications

Technical Categories and Project Examples

Summary



Focused Programs











Problem: anything 'better' than NGCC or hybrid vehicles costs too much



Stationary Power Today



Strengths

- ~55% efficiency (HHV) for NGCC
- CO₂ point source for future CCS
- High capacity factor
- Mature technology

Weaknesses

- T&D Losses
- Grid vulnerability to natural disasters and terrorist attacks
- Difficulty in integrating intermittent renewable technologies
- Future efficiency gains incremental

Future generation dominated by NGCC and increasing renewables





Note: The losses above are at peak load, based from a study of AEP'sT&D system. The ranges above do not directly correlate to the previous page due to differences in source data

The majority of the losses are in the distribution level



N2

Slide 7	
N2	This is something I would emphasize in your voice track. I suggest simply leaving the graphic and speaking to it-losses at different levels. Nikki.Cope, 2/10/2014

The Value of Distributed Generation (DG)



Early movers: Verizon, Microsoft, Google, Big Box Stores, etc.



Distributed Generation Markets – Impact of Future Fuel Cell Applications, DNV KEMA report prepared for ARPA-E (2013); Cost-Effectiveness of Distributed Generation Technologies, Iton, submitted to PG&E, 2011

Impact of PV, Wind on Baseload



Decrease in base load requires significant reserves to offset high ramps



Mark Rothleder, CAISO, "Operational Flexibility Analysis," presented at the CPUC Operational Flexibility Workshop, June 4, 2012

Small DG Opportunity: Remote NG Wellpads



Methane Emissions from Natural Gas Process Sources (2011)



Small DG Prime Movers





Source: Catalog of CHP Technologies, EPA CHP partnership (2008); DNV KEMA Report to ARPA-E (2013)

Existing Fuel Cell Research Thrusts SOFC 800 Seals, interconnects Power density SECA Thermal cycling focus Fuel flexibility **Stack lifetime** Temperature (°C) 600 Here Be Dragons 400 200 PEM Pure hydrogen only Rapid start **Expensive catalysts** Simpler materials integration **Complex system**



Intermediate Temperature Fuel Cells (ITFCs)

	Compared to Low T	Compared to High T
Strengths	 Lower PGM loading Less fuel processing Less cooling required 	 Cheaper interconnects & seals Fewer CTE problems Greater ability to ramp/cycle
Weaknesses	 Longer start-up Cycling ability less clear 	 Higher resistance & overpotentials Fuel reforming issues

Build a community of FC, solid state materials and C-H bond catalysis scientist and technology developers.



Electrolytes for IT Fuel Cells

Not an exclusive list:

LT SOFCs

 Composite electrolytes with interfacial pathways

• Multilayer electrolytes

IT Proton Conductors

- Ba(Zr, Ce, Y)O₃
- Solid acid fuel cells
- Indium tin pyrophospate

Other Ionic Conductors

- HT alkaline
- HT phos acid
- LT molten carbonate



Outline

Context Behind REBELS

- Materials
- Distributed Generation Applications

Technical Categories and Project Examples

Summary



REBELS Program Vision

A new temperature range (roughly 200-500 C) will enable new chemistries, materials, & functionalities:

Efficient, reliable small power systems

- Entry markets valuing reliability, including DoD
- Low cost CHP: higher efficiency, less CO₂



ITFC

Fuel cell with integrated battery mode for faster response to transients



Fuel cell with ability to convert natural gas to liquid fuels



Category 1: ITFC

Fuel + $O^{2-} \rightarrow Output$ stream + 2e⁻



 $\frac{1}{2}O_2 + 2e^- \rightarrow O^{2-}$

Fuel cannot be H_2 ; mostly focusing on CH_4

Final Deliverable: 100 W, 5 cell stack

ID	Category	Value
1.1	Desired operating temperature range	200-500 °C
1.2	Current density at 70% of Nernst voltage	> 200 mA/cm ²
1.3	Electrical efficiency at rated power	>50%
1.4	Startup time	< 10 minutes
1.5	Transient response	< 1 minute
1.6	Minimum stack testing time	1,000 hours
1.7	Power degradation rate	< 0.3% per 1,000 hours
1.8	Platinum group metal (PGM) total loading	$< 0.1 \text{ mg PGM} / \text{cm}^2$
		electrode area



*Oxygen ion conductor is a schematic only; other FC types are equally applicable

Category 1 Projects















Mixed proton, oxygen ion conducting electrolyte, single reduced T firing step

Nanostructured cell materials, low temperature reforming catalysts

Nanostructured SAFC electrode with low Pt loading, modify reformer for lower T operation

Novel electrolyte that transports oxygen in a form that enables direct reaction with fuel

Bismuth oxide/ceria bilayer electrolytes, ceramic redox-stable anodes for fuel flexibility & cycling

SAFC electrodes with carbon nanotubes and metalorganic framework catalysts to eliminate Pt

IT electrolyte in a metal-supported cell where the reformer is integrated with the stack



Category 2: Dynamic Response ITFC



Q. Van Overmeer, et al., Nano Lett. 12 (2012) 3756-3760

Fuel can be H₂ or a hydrocarbon

Final Deliverable: 1 cell

 $\frac{1}{2}O_2 + 2e^- \rightarrow O^{2-}$

ID	Category	Value
2.1	Desired operating temperature	200-500 °C
2.2	Current density at 70% of Nernst voltage	> 200 mA/cm ²
2.3	Minimum stack testing time	100 hours
2.4	PGM total loading	< 0.1 mg PGM / cm ² electrode area
2.5	Battery response time	< 1 second
2.6	Time at rated power	15 minutes
2.7	Battery cycling degradation	80% of loaded capacity retained after 30 cycles
2.8	Battery mode recharge time	< 1 hour
2.9	Self-discharge rate	< 5% of loaded capacity after 12 hours
2.10	Mode switching temperature	To be specified by the applicant



*Oxygen ion conductor is a schematic only; other FC types are equally applicable

Category 2 Projects



Multifunctional anode for direct hydrocarbon operation & charge storage; thin film platform



SOFC / metal-air redox battery with new solid electrolyte and Fe-based redox-active chemical bed



Metal oxide electrodes with high electronic and protonic conductivity; high charge storage capacity



Category 3: ITFC with Fuel Production



Fuel can be any hydrocarbon

Final Deliverable: 1 cell

 $\frac{1}{2}O_2 + 2e^- \rightarrow O^{2-}$

ID	Category	Value
3.1	Desired operating temperature	200-500 °C
3.2	Current density at 70% of Nernst	> 200 mA/cm ²
	voltage	
3.3	Continuous cell operations	> 100 hours
3.4	Minimum cell area	> 100 cm ²
3.5	Current density (during fuel	> 100 mA/cm ²
	production)	
3.6	Cell cost per rate of product output	< \$100,000/bpd
3.7	Process intensity	$> 0.1 \text{ bpd/ft}^3$
3.8	Product yield	> 50 %
3.9	Carbon efficiency	> 50%
3.10	Desired product(s)	To be specified by applicant
3.11	Volumetric product output per cell	To be specified by applicant (L/day)



*Oxygen ion conductor is a schematic only; other FC types are equally applicable

Category 3 Projects



IT conversion of methane to ethylene enabled by a hydrogen pump



Develop IT methane-to-methanol catalysts and fabricate via reactive spray deposition technique



All thin-film ITSOFC made by mass productionenabled process with optimized electrode morphology



Outline

Context Behind REBELS

- Materials
- Distributed Generation Applications

Technical Categories and Project Examples

Summary



ARPA-E / SECA Coordination

- Common awardees; SECA-funded work has provided the basis for several REBELS projects
- Materials are different, as well as some of the balance-of-plant considerations
- Goal is for REBELS projects to demonstrate key technical points
 - Good electrochemical performance from 200-500 C
 - Efficient fuel processing < 650 C
 - Potential for 10 year stack lifetime

Key Goal: Create new FC type technologies – life after ARPA-E





- Combination of new materials and DG needs indicated the opportunity for an ARPA-E program
- ARPA-E is excited for all 3 REBELS Categories; contracting process is currently underway
- Important to continue discussions between REBELS and SECA projects going forward

