Characterization of Most Promising Sequestration Formations in the Rocky Mountain Region DE-FE0001812

Brian J. McPherson University of Utah

U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Carbon Storage R&D Project Review Meeting Developing the Technologies and Infrastructure for CCS August 20-22, 2013





Acknowledgements

- NETL
- Shell
- Tri-State
- Trapper Mining
- State of Colorado







Presentation Outline

- Program Benefits
- Project / Program Goals
- Technical Status: Finalizing 10-Point Protocol for CO₂ Storage Site Characterization
- Key Accomplishments
- Summary





Presentation Outline

- Program Benefits
- Project / Program Goals
- Technical Status: Finalizing 10-Point Protocol for CO₂ Storage Site Characterization
- Key Accomplishments
- Summary





Benefit to the Program

Program Goals Being Addressed by this Project

(1) Support industry's ability to predict CO_2 storage capacity in geologic formations to within ±30 percent.

(2) Develop and validate technologies to ensure 99 percent storage permanence.

(3) Develop technologies to improve reservoir storage efficiency while ensuring containment effectiveness.

(4) Develop Best Practice Manual for risk analysis and simulation.

Project Benefits Statement

The main outcome of this study is a 10-point Protocol for CO_2 Storage Site Characterization. Although this protocol is applied to one region (the Rocky Mountains), we've generalized it to be applicable anywhere, and this protocol will support and contribute directly to goals (1), (2) and (3) above (especially (1)).





Presentation Outline

- Program Benefits
- Project / Program Goals
- Technical Status: Finalizing 10-Point Protocol for CO₂ Storage Site Characterization
- Key Accomplishments
- Summary





Project Overview: Goals and Objectives

- The primary objectives of the Rocky Mountain Site Characterization project, or RMCCS, are
 - to characterize and analyze geologic sequestration formations at a specific set of local sites
 - apply the results to identify the regional significance of those geologic sequestration formations for the southwestern U.S.
- Of particular context is the Programmatic Goal of supporting industry's ability to predict CO₂ storage capacity in geologic formations to within ±30 percent -our 10-point protocol is intended to provide direct support to this Programmatic Goal





Presentation Outline

- Program Benefits
- Project / Program Goals
- Technical Status: Finalizing 10-Point Protocol for CO₂ Storage Site Characterization
- Key Accomplishments
- Summary





- 1. Regional assessment of sedimentary basins, oilfields, and existing data
- 2. Gathering of existing-data and associated analysis, especially of northwestern Colorado
- 3. Surface geology reconnaissance, including field mapping and/or helicopter geologic assessment
- 4. Surface seismic surveys
- 5. Stratigraphic well drilling and coring
- 6. Core analysis and interpretation with other geological and geophysical data
- 7. Database assembly and static model development
- 8. Capacity assessment
- 9. Simulation and uncertainty assessment
- 10. Risk assessment





- 1. Regional assessment of sedimentary basins, oilfields, and existing data
- 2. Gathering of existing-data and associated analysis, especially of northwestern Colorado
- 3. Surface geology reconnaissance, including field mapping and/or helicopter geologic assessment
- 4. Surface seismic surveys
- 5. Stratigraphic well drilling and coring
- 6. Core analysis and interpretation with other geological and geophysical data
- 7. Database assembly and static model development
- 8. Capacity assessment
- 9. Simulation and uncertainty assessment
- 10. Risk assessment









1. Regional assessment of sedimentary basins, oilfields, and existing data

2. Gathering of existing-data and associated analysis, especially of northwestern Colorado

- 3. Surface geology reconnaissance, including field mapping and/or helicopter geologic assessment
- 4. Surface seismic surveys
- 5. Stratigraphic well drilling and coring
- 6. Core analysis and interpretation with other geological and geophysical data
- 7. Database assembly and static model development
- 8. Capacity assessment
- 9. Simulation and uncertainty assessment
- 10. Risk assessment





2. Gather/Analyze Existing Data

- Identify and incorporate existing data (e.g. previous geologic studies, maps, well logs and cores)
- Purchase, process, and interpret existing seismic
- ✓ [Acquire and interpret new seismic]
- ✓ [Map surface structure]
- [Map regional rock property trends (i.e. porosity)]
- ✓ [Generate static geologic model]





2. Gather/Analyze Existing Data



- Surface structural and subsurface geophysical data were used to identify best well location and target formation depths at site. Focus on region near Craig, CO.
- More than 18,000 well logs from 30,000 wells across Western Colorado were initially evaluated. Nearly 50,000 individual formation tops were picked to characterize subsurface geology.





1. Regional assessment of sedimentary basins, oilfields, and existing data

2. Gathering of existing-data and associated analysis, especially of northwestern Colorado

- 3. Surface geology reconnaissance, including field mapping and/or helicopter geologic assessment
- 4. Surface seismic surveys
- 5. Stratigraphic well drilling and coring
- 6. Core analysis and interpretation with other geological and geophysical data
- 7. Database assembly and static model development
- 8. Capacity assessment
- 9. Simulation and uncertainty assessment
- 10. Risk assessment





3. Surface Geologic Reconnaissance



1. Regional assessment of sedimentary basins, oilfields, and existing data

2. Gathering of existing-data and associated analysis, especially of northwestern Colorado

3. Surface geology reconnaissance, including field mapping and/or helicopter geologic assessment

4. Surface seismic surveys

- 5. Stratigraphic well drilling and coring
- 6. Core analysis and interpretation with other geological and geophysical data
- 7. Database assembly and static model development
- 8. Capacity assessment
- 9. Simulation and uncertainty assessment
- 10. Risk assessment





4. Surface Seismic Surveys

Quantitative Seismic Interpretation through Seismic-Well Ties (SWT)

Using sonic and density well logs along with intersecting seismic data to calibrate a time-depth relationship (TDR) for use in depth conversion of seismic interpretations.





4. Surface Seismic Surveys

SWT based Interpretation Process

- 1. Wherever available sonic and density well log data are calibrated to nearby seismic data, creating a TDR for the well.
- 2. Geologists picks for the well are converted to time using the TDR.
- 3. Time converted well picks are posted on the seismic data and used as kick-off points for seismic interpretations.
- 4. TDR's for one or more wells are interpolated to create a 3D velocity model.
- 5. The 3D velocity model then used to convert seismic time interpretations to depth.
- 6. Depth converted seismic interpretations are then used, along with well tops, in construction of the 3D geologic model grid.





4. Surface Seismic Surveys

Example: Seismic Line Coverage and Wells in SWT







Seismic to Well Tie



Seismic Time Interpretation From Time Converted Well Tops



Seismic Time Interpretation Ultimately Serves as Basis for Reservoir Model Grid



1. Regional assessment of sedimentary basins, oilfields, and existing data

2. Gathering of existing-data and associated analysis, especially of northwestern Colorado

- 3. Surface geology reconnaissance, including field mapping and/or helicopter geologic assessment
- 4. Surface seismic surveys

5. Stratigraphic well drilling and coring

- 6. Core analysis and interpretation with other geological and geophysical data
- 7. Database assembly and static model development
- 8. Capacity assessment
- 9. Simulation and uncertainty assessment
- 10. Risk assessment





5. Stratigraphic Well Drilling and Coring

27



- Dakota Formation at 8,275'
- 34' net sand >0.1 md
 Entrada Formation at 9,000'
 - 28' net Sand >1 md
- Ave porosity 10% to 15%
- Sealing Formations Excellent
 - Lessons Learned
 - Drilling on operating mine best practices developed
 - Drilling "Wildcat" area on fixed budget best practices developed

5. Stratigraphic Well Drilling and Coring

Key Findings

- Niobrara contained natural fractures and oil
- Entrada storage formation average permeability 1-5 md with as much as 300 md
- Mowry sealing formation average permeability .001 md creating an excellent seal

Lessons Learned

- Coring shale can be problematic with water based drilling fluid
- Critical to have good formation top estimates
- Wireline coring used effectively coring to fill in gaps of primary core





5. Stratigraphic Well Drilling and Coring

FIGURE 2. PERMEABILITY PROFILE WITH DEPTH



FIGURE 3. POROSITY PROFILE WITH DEPTH



- 1. Regional assessment of sedimentary basins, oilfields, and existing data
- 2. Gathering of existing-data and associated analysis, especially of northwestern Colorado
- 3. Surface geology reconnaissance, including field mapping and/or helicopter geologic assessment
- 4. Surface seismic surveys
- 5. Stratigraphic well drilling and coring
- 6. Core analysis and interpretation with other geological and geophysical data
- 7. Database assembly and static model development
- 8. Capacity assessment
- 9. Simulation and uncertainty assessment
- 10. Risk assessment







1 of 7 boxes of slabbed core from the RMCCS well

Core & Plugs collected

6. Core Analysis and Interpretation

- 131 feet of whole core collected
 - 70 feet from the Mowry
 - 26 feet from the Curtis
 - 35 feet from the Entrada
- 313 plugs sampled from whole core
 - 127 plugs from the Mowry
 - 37 plugs from the Curtis
 - 149 plugs from the Entrada
- 50 rotary sidewall cores collected
 - 1 Carlile Shale
 - 6 Frontier Sandstone
 - 10 Dakota Sandstone
 - 11 Morrison Sandstone
 - 4 Curtis Sandstone
 - 4 Entrada Sandstone
 - 7 Chinle (shale)
 - 4 Shinarump (sandstone and shale)
 - 3 Moenkopi (shale)

Chinle, Shinarump

XRD

Petrography

Porosity Permeability Relative Permeability Capillary Pressure

6. Core Analysis and Interpretation



3 12:56:04 PM

Core & Plugs analyzed

- 22 XRD (bulk and clay) for reactive transport simulation
- <u>42</u> Petrographic descriptions for fundamental lithologic characterization
- 55 Porosity analyses for calibration of downhole geophysical logs (see left), model development and CO₂ capacity
- <u>55</u> Permeability analyses for model development and simulation
- <u>6</u> Relative Permeability analyses for multiphase simulations (see right)
- <u>13</u> Capillary Pressure tests for multiphase simulations







6. Core Analysis and Interpretation

Permeability Model from Porosity Data



- Entrada Formation chosen as reservoir because wealth of core samples
- Porosity and Permeability were measured by TerraTek, CoreLab, and the University of Utah and combined
 - Kozeny-Carman Equation has been used and tested many times for relating porosity and permeability

k = permeability [mD]

 \emptyset = porosity [fraction]

 S_o = particle surface area/volume [m⁻¹]

 $S_o \sim 6/D$ [where D=mean particle

diameter]

Empirical data was used to back-calculate S_o

- 1. Regional assessment of sedimentary basins, oilfields, and existing data
- 2. Gathering of existing-data and associated analysis, especially of northwestern Colorado
- 3. Surface geology reconnaissance, including field mapping and/or helicopter geologic assessment
- 4. Surface seismic surveys
- 5. Stratigraphic well drilling and coring
- 6. Core analysis and interpretation with other geological and geophysical data
- 7. Database assembly and static model development
- 8. Capacity assessment
- 9. Simulation and uncertainty assessment
- 10. Risk assessment





7. Database and Model (Grid) Development

- Collect all available well, core, seismic and other geoscience data from a large region of Northwestern Colorado and Southwestern Wyoming focusing on the Sand Wash Basin;
- Assemble comprehensive database of all pre-existing data, new seismic data, and new well data (Petrel);
- With all data in place, continue interpretation of these data for stratigraphic and structural elements important to project storage and containment goals;
- Identify gaps, or borehole and surface geological and geophysical data in-fill needs (if possible to acquire);
- Integrate all available data into a geologicallyrepresentative 3D geocellular model grid;





7. Database and Model (Grid) Development

- 4000+ regionally distributed public domain wells with geologic formation top picks
- 200+ wells within the Sand Wash Basin model area with geologic formation top picks
- 20 public wells within the Sand Wash model area with petrophysical analysis
- New logs and core from the RMCCS strat test well.
- 14 reprocessed legacy 2D seismic lines and 2 new acquisition 2D seismic lines.
- Extensive field geological outcrop studies




7. Database and Model (Grid) Development









Finalizing 10-Point Protocol for CO₂ Storage Site Characterization

- 1. Regional assessment of sedimentary basins, oilfields, and existing data
- 2. Gathering of existing-data and associated analysis, especially of northwestern Colorado
- 3. Surface geology reconnaissance, including field mapping and/or helicopter geologic assessment
- 4. Surface seismic surveys
- 5. Stratigraphic well drilling and coring
- 6. Core analysis and interpretation with other geological and geophysical data
- 7. Database assembly and static model development
- 8. Capacity assessment
- 9. Simulation and uncertainty assessment
- 10. Risk assessment





8. Storage Capacity Assessment

- CO₂ Regional Storage
 Capacity Assessment:
 - Focus on saline formations: Dakota, Entrada and Weber sandstones occurring mainly on the northern Colorado Plateau
 - Stratigraphic equivalent formations occurring in the southern part of the Colorado Plateau: Hermosa, De Chelly, Cedar Mesa and Leadville



8. Storage Capacity Assessment

– CO₂ Regional Storage

	CO₂ Stora
Formation	Low Efficiency
	(0.51%)
Dakota	1.23
Entrada	6.68
Weber	1.91
Partial Total:	9.82
Hermosa	1.67
Cedar Mesa	0.17
De Chelly	1.87
Leadville	0.04
Total:	13.57





8. Storage Capacity Assessment

Contrast between Atlas IV and V results







Finalizing 10-Point Protocol for CO₂ Storage Site Characterization

- 1. Regional assessment of sedimentary basins, oilfields, and existing data
- 2. Gathering of existing-data and associated analysis, especially of northwestern Colorado
- 3. Surface geology reconnaissance, including field mapping and/or helicopter geologic assessment
- 4. Surface seismic surveys
- 5. Stratigraphic well drilling and coring
- 6. Core analysis and interpretation with other geological and geophysical data
- 7. Database assembly and static model development
- 8. Capacity assessment
- 9. Simulation and uncertainty assessment
- 10. Risk assessment





9. Uncertainty (Simulation) Assessment

- Primary uncertainty mechanism: how the local data (e.g., well data quality, number of wells, and location of wells) affect the quality of storage capacity estimates
- In particular: what degree of well density (number of wells) might be required to estimate capacity within a specified degree of confidence







9. Uncertainty (Simulation) Assessment

- Developed new workflow for evaluating storage capacity estimation and associated uncertainty
- Completed the application of the workflow to the Sand Wash Basin geocellular model for estimation of capacity (and associated uncertainty) of the Dakota, Entrada, and Weber formations

Plots of variance versus well density:



Dakota

Entrada

Weber

Finalizing 10-Point Protocol for CO₂ Storage Site Characterization

- 1. Regional assessment of sedimentary basins, oilfields, and existing data
- 2. Gathering of existing-data and associated analysis, especially of northwestern Colorado
- 3. Surface geology reconnaissance, including field mapping and/or helicopter geologic assessment
- 4. Surface seismic surveys
- 5. Stratigraphic well drilling and coring
- 6. Core analysis and interpretation with other geological and geophysical data
- 7. Database assembly and static model development
- 8. Capacity assessment
- 9. Simulation and uncertainty assessment
- 10. Risk assessment





10. Risk Assessment

- Apply a response-surface method combined Monte Carlo sampling – to quantify major risk features, events, processes (FEPs)
- Example: Delineate the spatiotemporal responses (such as injection-induced pressure buildup and associated AOR) due to the CO₂ injection







10. Risk Assessment

- The project team's RSM approach allows effective risk quantification during site selection (pre-injection) stage and to update the results upon acquisition of additional data throughout a project (during- and post-injection stage).
- The approach can also be applied for development of general risk mitigation plans, given the uncertainty in the input parameters (previous step in protocol)





10. Risk Assessment: Example of Results

- Developed probability distributions that characterize uncertainty of specific risks events;
- Shown below are CDFs for the AOR and pressure buildup south of the injection well



CDF: Pressure build-up @ 500 m south of inj. well





Presentation Outline

- Program Benefits
- Project / Program Goals
- Technical Status: Finalizing 10-Point Protocol for CO₂ Storage Site Characterization
- Key Accomplishments
- Summary





Accomplishments to Date

- Site and region characterization completed
- Risk assessment, outreach, mitigation planning and geologic analysis completed
- Detailed geologic modeling completed
- Detailed regional capacities estimated
- Automated workflow (algorithm) for assessing uncertainties developed
- 10-point Protocol for CO₂ Storage Site Characterization Developed





Presentation Outline

- Program Benefits
- Project / Program Goals
- Technical Status: Finalizing 10-Point Protocol for CO₂ Storage Site Characterization
- Key Accomplishments
- Summary





Summary

- -Key Findings: a 10-Point Protocol for Site Characterization
- Primary Lesson Learned: Uncertainty and project risk both depend data density and quality
- -Future Plans: deliver the formal 10-point protocol to NETL in October





Appendix

These slides will not be discussed during the presentation, but are mandatory





Characterization of Most Promising Sequestration Formations in the Rocky Mountain Region



DE-FE0001812 Project Timeline/Gantt Chart



Bibliography

Selected references (additional references are pending publication):

- Harston, W.A., and Morris, T.A., 2013, Facies analysis of the Permian White Rim Sandstone, Black Box Dolomite, and Black Dragon Member of the Triassic Moenkopi Formation for CO2 Sequestration at Woodside field, San Rafael Swell, Utah, Part I, in Morris, T.H., and Ressetar, R., editors, The San Rafael Swell and Henry Mountains basin—geologic centerpiece of Utah: Utah Geological Association Publication 42, in press.
- Morgan, C.D., Carney, S., Nielsen, P., 2013, Recent characterization of Gordon Creek, Farnham Dome, and Woodside fields, Carbon and Emery Counties, Utah, in Morris, T.H., and Ressetar, R., editors, The San Rafael Swell and Henry Mountains basin—geologic centerpiece of Utah: Utah Geological Association Publication 42, in press.
- Morgan, C.D., and Waanders, G., 2013, Paleozoic correlations in the northern San Rafael Swell area, Carbon and Emery Counties, Utah, in Morris, T.H., and Ressetar, R., editors, The San Rafael Swell and Henry Mountains basin—geologic centerpiece of Utah: Utah Geological Association Publication 42, in press.
- Morgan, C.D., and Waanders, G., 2013, Paleozoic correlations in the northern San Rafael Swell area, Carbon and Emery Counties, Utah, [abs]. American Association of Petroleum Geologist Rocky Mountain Section program with abstracts.
- Nielsen, P., Carney, S., and Morgan, C., 2012, Geologic and structural controls for the CO2 sequestration potential of the Permian Cutler Group White Rim and De Chelly Sandstones in southeastern, Utah [abs.]: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Rocky Mountain Section program with abstracts, p.49.
- Morgan, C., Carney, S., and Nelsen, P., 2012, Gordon Creek field, Carbon County Utah: Methane, CO2 and potential carbon storage unit [abs.]: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Rocky Mountain Section program with abstracts, p.29.



