# Inexpensive Monitoring and Uncertainty Assessment of CO<sub>2</sub> Plume Migration DOE-FE0004962

Steven Bryant and Sanjay Srinivasan The University of Texas at Austin

> U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Carbon Storage R&D Project Review Meeting Developing the Technologies and Infrastructure for CCS August 20-22, 2013

# **Presentation Outline**

- Motivation and relevance to Program
- Project goals
- Technical status
- Accomplishments
- Summary
- Future plans

# Benefit to the Program

- Program goal being addressed:
  - Develop and validate technologies to ensure 99% storage permanence
- Project benefits statement:
  - The project will implement a novel computational approach for monitoring the location of CO<sub>2</sub> during injection. The approach has two notable advantages: it is very inexpensive, and it quantifies the uncertainty in the plume location. It thus addresses the primary objective of DOE Carbon Storage Program, *viz.* technologies to costeffectively store and monitor CO<sub>2</sub> in geologic formations. One significant potential benefit will be low-cost "early warning" of unanticipated plume movement.

## **Project Overview (1)**: Goals and Objectives

 Overall objective: new technique for probabilistic assessment of CO<sub>2</sub>
 plume migration based on paradigm of geological model-selection using injection data

#### Project goals

- quantify connectivity/dynamic characteristics of large ensemble of geologic models
- group models based on connectivity characteristics
- perform model selection within Bayesian framework
- develop modular software for implementing the technique

#### **Program Goal Supported**

Develop technologies to demonstrate that 99 percent of injected CO<sub>2</sub> remains in the injection zones

#### **Relevance to Program Goal**

Cost-effective technique for enhanced monitoring increases likelihood of 99% containment, especially if enables proactive remediation of plume direction

## **Project Overview (2)**: Goals and Objectives

#### Success criteria

- **Decision Point 1: Q3 Y1.** What are the limits of applicability of the proposed approach for inferring plume location from injection data alone?
- **Decision Point 2: End Phase 1 (Q2 Y2).** Can we efficiently apply the new technique developed in this research to infer plume location and its uncertainty?
- Decision Point 3: End Phase 2 (Q1 Y3). Can we deploy the modular software such that it could be integrated with existing tools and frameworks for risk assessment?

#### Concepts and technical basis

• (1) Injection data sensitive to presence of heterogeneous features (streaks, baffles) *especially when the injection rate exhibits some fluctuations* 



Permeability Heterogeneity





Injection Well Bottom Hole Pressures

from Mantilla et al., 2008

Concepts and technical basis

- (2) Large prior uncertainty in geology
  - Inadequate characterization of aquifers
  - Has to be accounted for when deriving probabilistic estimates for plume migration
- (3) A simple, efficient proxy can capture effect of physics that control plume movement
  - Particle tracking algorithm
  - Compressibility and permeability effects captured







Proxy response (left) gives very similar plume shape to full-physics simulator (right)

Concepts and technical basis

- (4) Multivariate classification techniques can differentiate models
  - Studied by Mantilla, 2010 for a EOR process
  - Compute distance or dissimilarity between models from proxy response
  - Perform principal component analysis of distance matrix
  - Group models using cluster analysis of principal components
- Models exhibit an orderly transition in the eigen-space



### **Technical Status:** Concepts and technical basis



#### Fast transfer function and validation cases



Particle Tracking Algorithm (Sayantan Bhowmik)

Transition probability depends on:

• Permeability

7

- Local pressure gradient
- Number of particles already present in target block
- Difference in particle count between current and target blocks





**Optimized Proxy Measurement Locations** 

Rather than using pre-determined locations, infer locations based on maximizing dissimilarity of proxy response



#### **Optimized Proxy Measurement Locations**

#### monitoring locations using new method for Krechba



#### **Optimized Proxy Measurement Locations**

Application to injection data yields same conclusion: high permeability streaks connect KB-502 and KB-5



Measurement locations on a square (earlier)

Measurement locations using PCA (new)

Proxy performance

• Pressure responses show better distribution with new proxy location



# Model Selection Key Result (1)

Models in final cluster exhibit common characteristics that explain field observations



Model 299

Model 379 History

2000

1500

10000

5000

0

match

500

1000

Time (days)

# Model Selection Key Result (2)

Probabilistic prediction of plume migration is possible using the models in the final cluster



#### Connectivity based fast transfer function

• Fast statistical proxy based on shortest connected path between well locations (Hoonyoung Jeong)







Path A2 of model #2



Compute discrete Frechet Distance (points of path A1, points of path A2)



Models exhibit an orderly transition in connectivity characteristics when projected on a metric space

#### **Connectivity Analysis of Models**

Connectivity analysis



Measure a connectivity between a well and grid blocks

- *Edge weight* = 
$$\frac{\sqrt{Vp_i \times Vp_j}}{T_{ij}}$$
,  $V_p$ : pore volume, T: transmissibility

→ travel time of 1 unit viscosity fluid between i<sup>th</sup> and j<sup>th</sup> grid blocks under 1 unit pressure

- Calculate the shortest paths from the well using Dijkstra's algorithm
- Calculate migrated regions by truncating the injected amount in order of travel time
- Can't consider buoyancy and travel time dependent on pressure and viscosity

Modified Connectivity Analysis

- Modify connectivity analysis → scaled connectivity analysis
- Bring potential difference and viscosity

$$Edge weight = \frac{\sqrt{Vp_i \times Vp_j}}{T_{ij}} \longrightarrow Edge weight = \frac{\sqrt{Vp_i \times Vp_j}}{T_{ij} \times \Delta \Phi} \times \mu_{CO_2}$$
$$\Delta \Phi = \Delta P + \Delta \rho gh$$

- Calculate rough  $\Delta P$  from the analytical solution for CO<sub>2</sub> injection in a brine aquifer presented by Mathias *et al.* (2011)
- Use scaled edge weights so that the fluid moves along the edge with the minimum weight at each grid block



Find the minimum edge weight among the edges connected to a grid block The edge weights are divided by the minimum value

Application of Modified Connectivity Analysis

• Synthetic field



## Connectivity Analysis Key Result

r		-					
	Migration path by CMG (Sat <sub>CO2</sub> >0.01)	Approximate migration path by our proxy					
Top view	Proxy 300 tim	es faster than					
	simulator in this case						
Side view							
Computation time	1254 sec using 6 processors	4.3 sec using 1 processor					

#### Model Expansion



Model expansion is necessary

### Technical Status: Ensemble-based pattern search





- Sample common conditioning points from the ensemble
- Simulate additional models by searching for conditioning data pattern over the ensemble of selected models

**Ensemble-based pattern search** 



#### Pattern Search Algorithm



27

Integrated, modular software



#### **Technical Status:** Software Implementation in SGeMS

4/4/ S-GeMS	state have been been	
Eile Objects Data Analysis View Scripts Help		
	ects Preferences Info	
Agorithm Description	bjects	
Simulation	Objects	
block error simulation	10 dist_unimodal_logperm	
-basim block sequential simulation	dist_unimodal_logperm     hard_facies_logperm	
cosgsim sequential gaussian co-simulation	indined	
cosisim sequential indicator cosimulation     direct sequential simulation	t_real0	
fitersim cate Fiterbased Categorical Simulation	inclined_facies	
-filtenim_cont Filter-based Continuous Simulation	inclined_logperm	
LU_sim Cholesky decomposition		
sgsim sequential gaussian simulation sisim sequential indicator simulation		
snesim atd Single Normal Equation Simulation		
<ol> <li>Utilities</li> </ol>		
e •		
General Data Vario rams		
Simulation Grid		
Simulation Grid Name		
C-None ->		
Consideration of the second se		
Property Name Prefix		
Nb of realizations 1		
Seed 14071789		
14071703		
Indicator Parameters		
Categorical variabl		
Number of Thresholds. Jasses 1		
Terrete al d'Alderer (17) and		
Threshold Values / Cli ses		
Corresponding margini probabilities i.e. I (Zczk) or I (G=k)		
The Tread or Treamed		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		¢
l rameters		
Load Save Clear Al		
R h Algorithm		
CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR		🕼 😭 😭 🌺 🖊 🛲
completing LoadProjec took 713ms		@ 🗊 🗊 🧮 📌 🔿
completing LoadProjec took 713ms		@ © © © <u>* *</u> #
completing LoadProjec took 713ms		
completing LoadProjec took 713ms		
	MODELS	VISUALIZATION

- Developed at Stanford University under an Open Source License
- We are integrating our algorithms as plugins into SGeMS

#### Software Workflow



**IN THIS PROJECT** 

#### **Technical Status:** Plugin for Fast Physics-based Proxy

			Q <u></u>	Random walker - [Preview]
S-GeMS	is View Scrints Help			General
				Random walker
Algorithm De	escription			
Estimation     bring krig     cokriging     indicator_krigi krig     kriging krig     kriging krig     kriging krig     kriging krig     somulation     besim bloc     bosim bloc     cossisim seq     dssim dire     fitersim_cate Fite     fitersim_cate Fite     fitersim_cont Fite     fitersim_cont Fite     fitersim_seq     sisim seq     sisim seq     sisim seq     bocvar bloc	ging with average data ging with indicator and inequality data ging points and blocks tok error simulation tok sequential simulation quential gaussian co-simulation quential indicator consimulation er-based Categorical Simulation er-based Categorical Simulation guential gaussian simulation quential indicator simulation quential indicator simulation gle Normal Equation Simulation vick covariance calculation			Result Name         Reservoir model         Cell sizes         Grid dimensions         Permeability file         Porosity file         Initial pressure file
Moving_wind Mov NuTauModel Prot PostKriging Krig Postsim Sim tiGenerator Trai trans CDF	alining image manipulation oving Average obability integration ging postprocessing indig image generator 9F transformation - continuous variables 9F transformation - categorical variables			Injector / Monitor Injection location Number of monitors Monitoring locations
	Parameters Save Clear All Run Algorithm	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)		Conditioning data History files Number of time steps

Plugin for Connectivity Analysis

	🐠 Connectivity Analysis - [Preview]
<b>₩S-GeMS</b> File Objects Data Analysis View Scripts Help	General Connectivity Analysis
X	
Algorithm Description	Result Name
Estimation     Kriging kriging with average data     Cokriging     workinging     workinging     workinging     wriging points and blocks     workinging     kriging points and blocks     book error simulation     working     working     working     book error simulation     working     working     working     cossim     sequential simulation     working     wor	Reservoir Thickness Average Permeability Average Porosity Block Centers Formation Compressibility
ImageProcess     Index Single Normal Equation Simulation     Index Single Normal Equation Simulation     Index Single Normal Equation Simulation     Index Single Normal Equation     Index Single Normal Equation     Moving Average     NuTauModel Probability integration     Postsim Simulation postprocessing     UGenerator Training image generator     trans CDF transformation - categorical variables     PDF transformation - categorical variables	Reservoir Thickness Average Permeability Average Porosity Block Centers
Parameters Load Save Clear All	Formation Compressibility
Run Algorithm	Total amount of injected CO2         Injection period         Injector location

Plugin for Model Expansion

	Model expansion - [Preview]
MS-GeMS	General
File Objects Data Analysis View Scripts Help	Model expansion
Algorithm Description	Result Name
Estimation	Result Ivane
bkrig kriging with average data	
cokriging	
indicator_krigi kriging with indicator and inequality data	Deserveir
└─ kriging kriging points and blocks	Reservoir
E <sup></sup> → besim block error simulation	Thickness
- bosim block sequential simulation	
cosgsim sequential gaussian co-simulation	Aueraea Dermashilitu
cosisim sequential indicator cosimulation	Average Permeability
dssim direct sequential simulation	Average Porosity
filtersim_cate Filter-based Categorical Simulation filtersim_cont Filter-based Continuous Simulation	Average Porosity
- LU sim Cholesky decomposition	Block Centers
siguin sequential gaussian simulation	block centers
	Formation Compressibility
	Tornadori Compressibility
Ċ- Utilizes	
bock covariance calculation     ImageProcess Training image manipulation	
- Moving_wind Moving Average	
- NuTauMode Probability integration	
- Post Kriging Kriging postprocessing	New injection data
Postsim Simulation postprocessing	
	Time steps
trans     CDF transformation - continuous variables	
Transcat PDF transformation - categorical variables	BHP history
	Flow rate history
Parameters	
	Direct sampling
Load Save Clear All	
	Distance function
Run Algorithm	
	Distance tolerance
	Search radius

#### Effect of Unknown Leak on Model Selection

• Objective: can model selection resolve high permeability streak if leak exists somewhere in the storage formation?



#### Effect of Unknown Leak on Model Selection

• Clusters of models identified with, without leak are different!





Model clusters in PCA space with and without a leak

Streak modeled in the vicinity of the injector when there is no leak

Streak not crisply resolved when there is a leak

# Accomplishments

- Sensitivity analysis of impact of subsurface heterogeneity on injection response
- Fast model responses
  - Transport proxy to account for permeability heterogeneity, fluid compressibility, buoyancy effect
  - User no longer required to define proxy monitoring locations in advance
  - Connectivity proxy extended to account for buoyancy
    - Good estimate of plume path
    - Much faster than full-physics simulation
- Effective model classification
  - PCA, Kernel PCA, Multi-dimensional scaling methods
- Method for keeping set of models from collapsing
- Platform for software development identified
  - Plugins for model selection modules defined

# Summary

#### Key Findings

- Injection data carry useful information
  - Sensitive to large geologic heterogeneities
  - Can be used to predict future plume migration
- Combination of efficient proxy, rigorous model classification scheme enables quantitative uncertainty assessment
  - Useful for monitoring process
  - Valuable in managing process
- Identifying heterogeneities in presence of storage formation leaks is challenging
- Open source platform (SGeMS) suitable for model selection software

#### Lessons Learned

- Automated selection of proxy monitoring locations works
- Point-sink leaks (e.g. wells) blur large heterogeneities
  - Raises possibility of refining method to apply to leak detection

## **Future Work**

- Test software on synthetic cases
- Apply software to predict plume movement in In Salah and Utsira/Sleipner
- Extend Model Selection approach to incorporate information from surface deflection data



# Appendix

These slides will not be discussed during the presentation, but are mandatory

# **Organization Chart**

- Team:
  - PI Steven Bryant
  - Co-PI Sanjay Srinivasan
  - Researchers
    - Sayantan Bhomik
    - Hoonyeong Jeong
    - Dr Liangping Li
- Organization
  - Center for Petroleum and Geosystems Engineering
  - Cockrell School of Engineering
  - The University of Texas at Austin



# Gantt Chart

	AUG 2013																		
вр	Task	Milestone	Y 1			Y 1			Y2			Y3		Y3			<u>2013</u> ∨ Y4		Interdependencies
		Σ	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2			
	1											İ					Project management		
	2	1.A			X												Verify feasibility for Phase 2		
1	3.1																Pre-requisite for software		
'	3.2	1.B				X											development in Phase 2		
	4.1																Provides geologic consistency to		
	4.2	1.C								X							interpretation of injection data		
	5	2.A										X					Combines Tasks 2-4 into software platform		
2	6	2.B										1	X				Validates Task 5		
	7																Uses BP 1, 2 to quantify uncertainty		
3	8.1	3.A													X		Uses BP 2 to apply concept to field data		
	8.2	3.B														X	Applies BP 2 to In Salah		
						B	21		1	•		BP 2	2		BP 3	3			

Phase	Milestone Description	Completion
3	<b>3.A</b> : Extending methodology in order to integrate other types of data	Q1 Y4
	<b>3.B</b> : Application of software, method on In Salah data.	Q2 Y4

# Bibliography

- Journal, multiple authors:
  - Srinivasan, S., and Jeong, H., 2012, Modeling the Uncertainty in CO<sub>2</sub> Plume Migration During Sequestration Using a Model Selection Approach. Accepted for publication in Mathematical Geosciences.
- <u>Publication</u>:
  - Jeong, H., Srinivasan, S. and Bryant, S.L., 2012, Uncertainty Quantification of CO<sub>2</sub> Plume Migration Using Static Connectivity, proceedings of International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Technologies (GHGT), Kyoto, Japan.
  - Bhowmik, S., Srinivasan, S. and Bryant, S. "Prediction of plume migration using injection data and a model selection approach," Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Technologies (GHGT-11) held in Kyoto, Japan, 19-22 Nov. 2012.
  - Bhowmik, S. "Predicting the migration of CO<sub>2</sub> plume in saline aquifers using probabilistic history matching approaches." MS Thesis, The University of Texas at Austin, 2012.
  - Bhowmik, S., Srinivasan, S. and Bryant, S., "Predicting the Migration of CO2 Plume Using Injection Data and a Distance-Metric Approach to Reservoir-Model Selection," SPE 139709-PP prepared for presentation at the SPE International Conference on CO2 Capture, Storage, and Utilization held in New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, 10–12 November 2010.
  - Bhowmik, S., Srinivasan, S. and Bryant, S., "Inferring migration of CO<sub>2</sub> plume using injection data and a probabilistic history matching approach," Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Technologies (GHGT-10) held in Amsterdam, The Netherlands, on 19-23 Sept. 2010. *Energy Procedia* 4, pp. 3841-3848, 2011. DOI: 10.1016/j.egypro.2011.02.320.