Fabrication of Pd/Pd-alloy Films by Surfactant Induced Electroless Plating for H<sub>2</sub> Separation from Advanced Coal Gasification Processes

Shamsuddin Ilias

Department of Chemical and Bioengineering North Carolina A&T State University Greensboro, NC 27411

Award No. DE-FG08-NT0001473 Project Officer: Richard Dunst, NETL-Pittsburg Project Period; 03/10/2008-07/31/2012

2012 UCR/HBCU/OMI Contractors Review Conference Pittsburg, PA, May 30-31, 2012

## **Presentation Outline**

- Introduction
- Electroless Plating (EP)
- Research Objectives
- Surfactant Induced Electroless Plating (SIEP)
- Microstructure of Pd/Pd-alloy films by EP and SIEP
- □ H<sub>2</sub>-Permselectivity
- Conclusions
- Acknowledgments

# INTRODUCTION

### H<sub>2</sub> Production and Separation



### H<sub>2</sub> Transport in dense Pd membrane



 $H_2$ -flux ( $N_H$ ) increases with decreasing film thickness  $H_2$ -permeability ( $Q_H$ ) increases with temperature

Fabrication of thin-film Pd- and Pd-alloy membrane is a technical challenge.

Separation and purification is critical for  $H_2$ -based energy economy. Pd/Pd-alloy membranes are viewed as serious contender for  $H_2$ -separation and purification applications at elevated temperature.

### Fabrication of Pd/Pd-alloy Membranes

Wide varieties of techniques available for fabrication of thin Pd-film on porous stainless steel or ceramic support:

- Chemical vapor deposition (CVD)
- Physical vapor deposition (PVD)
- Pulsed laser deposition (PLD)
- Electro Plating
- Electroless Plating (EP)

EP is the most extensively used method in fabricating Pd/Pdalloy membranes on micro- or meso-porous substrate surface.

# ELECTROLESS PLATING (EP)

- EP is one of the most attractive technique because of its flexibility in deposition on substrates of any shape or size.
- EP of Pd is a combination of cathodic deposition of metal and anodic oxidation of reductant at the immersion potential.
- Pd-deposition is an autocatalytic process. Pd atoms deposits as solid at the solid substrate.
- Pd-deposition process strongly depends on substrate morphology and operating conditions (temperature, pH and stoichiometric concentration).

# **Pd-bath reactions**

Anodic Reaction:  $N_2H_4 + 4OH^{-1} \rightarrow N_2 \uparrow (g) + 4H_2O + 4e^-$ Cathodic Reaction:  $2Pd[NH_3]_4^{2+} + 4e^- \rightarrow Pd^o + 8NH_3 \uparrow (g)$ Autocatalytic Reaction:  $2Pd[NH_3]_4^{2+} + N_2H_4 + 4OH^{-1} \rightarrow 2Pd^o + [N_2] \uparrow (g) + 4H_2O + [8NH_3] \uparrow (g)$ 

### **Typical Pd EP Recipe**

#### Senistisation Solution

#### **Activation Solution**

Component	Concentration
$SnCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	1.19 g/l
HCl	0.2 N

Component	Concentration
$PdCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	0.09 g/l
HC1	0.2 N

#### **Pd EP Bath Solution**

Component / Variables	Concentration
Tetraamine palladium nitrate(10% wt)	24.3 g/l
Ammonium hydroxide (28 % wt)	198 ml/l
Hydrazine (35% wt solution)	1.215 ml/l
EDTA	40.1 g/l
pН	10.5
Temperature	55 °C



SEM analysis of Pd-film on 0.2  $\mu$ m microporous ceramic substrate: (a) Pd-film surface; and (b) Penetration of Pd across the thickness



SEM analysis of Pd-film on 0.2  $\mu$ m microporous ceramic substrate: (a) Pd-film surface; and (b) Penetration of Pd-film across the thickness (film separated from the support)

### EP Reactions in Ag & Cu Systems

# **Ag-bath reactions**

Anodic Reaction:  $N_2H_4 + 4OH^- \rightarrow N_2^+ + 4H_2O + 4e^-$ 

- Cathodic Reaction:  $4Ag[NH_3]_4^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow 4Ag^\circ + 8NH_3^\uparrow$
- Overall Reaction:  $4Ag[NH_3]_4^+ + N_2H_4 + 4OH^- \rightarrow 4Ag^\circ + N_2^\uparrow + 8NH_3^\uparrow + 4H_2O$

# **Cu-bath reactions**

Anodic Reaction:  $2CH_2O + 4OH^- \rightarrow H_2^{\uparrow} + 2HCOO^- + 2H_2O + 2e^-$ Cathodic Reaction:  $Cu[NH_3]_6^{2+} + 2e^- \rightarrow Cu^\circ + 6NH_3^{\uparrow}$ Overall Reaction:  $Cu[NH_3]_6^{2+} + 2CH_2O + 4OH^- \rightarrow$  $Cu^\circ + 2HCOO^- + H_2^{\uparrow} + 6NH_3^{\uparrow} + 2H_2O$ 

- In typical EP, reaction between Pd-complex and hydrazine, results in evolution of NH<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> as tiny gas bubbles.
- In most cases, these tiny bubbles adhered to the substrate surface and into the pores that hinder uniform deposition.

### **Issues in Conventional EP**

- Poor control on Pd-grain size distribution
- Non-uniform film deposition
- Substrate surface morphology plays important role in the autocatalytic deposition

### **Modifications in EP**

- Electroless plating under osmotic pressure field [Souleimanova, et al, AIChE J. 48(2): 262 (2002)].
- Intermetallic diffusion layer (barrier) & rotation of the substrate during electroless plating to reduce mass transfer resistance [Ma, et al, Annal New York Academy of Science, 984: 346 (2003)].
- Photocatlytic activation [Wu et al, Ind. Eng. Chem, Res. 39: 342 (2008)].
- PLD activation [Islam, M.A., PhD Thesis, Noth Carolina A&T State University, 2008].

# **RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

- Fabrication of Pd and Pd-alloy membranes on microporous stainless steel support (MPSS).
- Characterization of the Pd-composite membranes
- Study H<sub>2</sub>-permselectivity of the Pd-and pd-alloy composite membranes

The overall goal of our work is to develop a Pd-based membrane on MPSS support by EP for  $H_2$ -separation and membrane reactor applications that will have the following attributes:

- Durable
- Defect free (no pinholes)
- Thermally stable
- High perm-selectivity for hydrogen

# SURFACTANT INDUCED ELECTROLESS PLATING (SIEP)

In EP, Pd deposition occurs preferentially around the Pd seeds with release of  $NH_3$  and  $N_2$  gas bubble.

Autocatalytic Reaction:

 $2\mathrm{Pd}\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]_{4}^{2+} + \mathrm{N}_{2}H_{4} + 4OH^{-1} \rightarrow 2Pd^{o} + \left[N_{2}\right]\uparrow(g) + 4H_{2}O + \left[8NH_{3}\right]\uparrow(g)$ 

The extent of reaction (reduction into metallic Pd) depends upon efficient removal of evolved gases ( $NH_3$ ,  $N_2$ ) and presence of sufficient uniform Pd seeds on the substrates.

To ensure suitable film growth, surface treatment is required. Surfactant with suitable charge and concentration can be used to tailor the Pd grain size and subsequent agglomeration.

Major objective of our study is to determine if a balance between micelles collapse and retention could be used as a control to facilitate uniform nucleation and agglomeration of Pd-grains in dense membrane fabrication on microporous substrates. Three surfactants were used to investigate the effect of surfactant in Pd bath. The length of hydrophobic tail for each of the surfactant were very similar (around 9 to 10 carbon chain)



N = 8 and X =10 Triton X-100

Polyethylene glycol teroctaphenyl ether (Nonionic)



Dodecyltetramethylammonium bromide (Cationic)

#### Surfactant Properties (CMC, HLB)

Surfactant	HLB	CMC
	Values	(mM)
Triton X-100	14.7	0.22
DTAB	12.9	16
SDBS	13.6	1.4



Dodecylbenzenesulfonic acid sodium salt (Anionic)

### **Role of Surfactant in EP**

EP Autocatalytic Reaction:  $2Pd[NH_3]_4^{2+} + N_2H_4 + 4OH^{-1}$ 



EP



SIEP (CMC  $\times$  4)

SEM images of Pd-film by CEP and SIEP with DTAB

SIEP (CMC × 1)

Ilias, S., and M.A. Islam, Patent Application #20100068391 (USPTO assignment date: March 18, 2010).



NH3



AFM images of solid surface aggregation into typical hydrophobic glass surface

## **Interaction of DTAB in Solid Surface**

AFM image shows various cylindrical long chains but repetitive structure.

DTAB is hydrophobic in nature to be aligned around the gas-liquid interface and form various spherical or cylindrical cages like structure.

DTAB tends to form various meta-stable structures (spherical or cylindrical) at the solid-liquid interface that helps finer grain formation and subsequent coarsening of the deposited film.

DTAB contain active bromide ions in the head group (strong oxidizing agent). This head group likely to participate in the reduction process of the complex salt and favorably take part in Pd-grain formation and subsequent grain coarsening

#### Palladium film thickness fabricated under different CMC



He gas-tightness of membranes

### Summary of grain distribution in Pd-film fabricated by EP and SIEP with DTAB

Surfactant	Surfactant Concentration (CMC) in mM	Surfactant Concentration in mM	Grain Size (µm)
None - CEP	N/A	N/A	5-15
	1 /	1.4	5-15
SDBS - SIEP	1.4	5.6	2-15
Triton V 100 SIED	0.22	0.23	10-15
Inton A-100 - SIEP	0.25	0.92	5-10
	16	16	4-8
DIAD - SIEP	10	64	2-4

### MICROSTRUCTURE ANALYSIS OF MEMBRANES BY CEP & SIEP

#### Membrane Support :

316L SS, 0.2  $\mu$ m – Motts Corp. Discs: 1 in dia, 0.062 in thick Tubes: 10 cm long, 1.07 cm ID, and 0.16 cm thick



Compared to CEP, membranes fabricated by SIEP process showed superior performance.

- Thinner film thickness
- Lower deposition time
- Comparable selectivity



#### He gas-tightness of membranes

# Microstructure Analysis of Membranes by CEP & SIEP SEM images: Pd and Pd-Ag film by CEP & SIEP @ 5k & 10k



(a) Pd at 5K (CEP)



(c) Pd at 5K (SIEP)



(e) Pd-Ag at 5K (SIEP)



(b) Pd at 10K (CEP)



(d) Pd at 10K (SIEP)



(f) Pd-Ag at 5K (SIEP)

#### SIEP shows enhanced grain agglomeration

# Microstructure Analysis of Membranes by CEP & SIEP SEM images: Pd-Ag & Pd-Cu film by CEP & SIEP @ 5k & 10k



(a) Pd-Ag at 5K (CEP)



(b) Pd-Ag at 5K (CEP)



(c) Pd-Ag at 5K (SIEP)



(d) Pd-Ag at 5K (SIEP)



(e) Pd-Cu at 5K (SIEP)



(f) Pd-Cu at 5K (SIEP)

SIEP shows enhanced grain agglomeration Pd-Cu film has finer grain structure

# Microstructure Analysis of Membranes by CEP & SIEP

### Effect of heat treatment: Pd-Cu film by CEP & SIEP



(a) Pd-Cu at 2K (CEP) WHT



(c) Pd-Cu at 1K (CEP) HT



(e) Pd-Cu at 1K (SIEP) WHT



(b) Pd-Cu at 5K (CEP) WHT



(d) Pd-Cu at 5K (CEP) HT



(f) Pd-Cu at 5K (SIEP) HT

### **Microstructure Analysis: Grain Size Distribution**



film by CEP & SIEP process

film by SIEP process

- DTAB reduces grain size from 8 to 2  $\mu$ m
- Two peaks represents sequential deposition
- Reduces the grain size further (0.8 to 1.2 µm)

### **Microstructure: EDS Elemental Analysis**



Element	Weight %	Std. Dev.	Atomic %	FWHM (eV)	ROI (net)
Ag	23.99	1.06	23.74	104	38723.85
Pd	76.01	1.88	76.26	101.9	45520.55

Element	Weight %	Std. Dev.	Atomic %	FWHM (eV)	ROI (net)
Cu	44.69	1.32	57.5	150.4	10627.47
Pd	55.31	1.42	42.5	101.3	31661.82

#### **EDS spectrum of Pd-Ag film**

#### **EDS spectrum of Pd-Cu film**

### **Microstructure Analysis: XRD and SEM Images**



- Give reflection peaks at characteristics plane
- Polycrystalline particles.
- Shows no impurities
- Bright dots are nucleation sites



Typical XRD Pattern of Pd-Ag film by SIEP



(a) Pd-Ag at 5k (20kV) SE image



(b) Pd-Ag at 5k (20kV) BSE

Simultaneous SE & BSE images of Pd-Ag film by SIEP

### **Heat Treatment**

#### **Pd-MPSS Membrane by SIEP**

- Pre- and Post-annealing peaks are at the same planes at [111], [200], [220], [311]
- FCC structure was observed in both occasion.
- Slight peak widening is observed due to minute amounts of Fe-diffusion in annealing
- No change in lattice parameter
- Annealing: 500°C, in H<sub>2</sub> environment, 18 hrs, 1 atm pressure



#### **XRD Pattern: Pre- & Post-annealing**

### **Heat Treatment**

### Pd/Ag-MPSS membrane by SIEP

- Pre-annealing peaks of Pd and Ag are at the characteristic planes.
- At intermediate annealing (10 hrs), peaks tends to merge to form alloy at the characteristic planes.
- After complete annealing (18 hrs), sharp alloy reflection peaks are observed in [111], [200], and [220] (intermediate positions of pure Pd & Ag).



**XRD Pattern: Pre- & Post-annealing** 

### **Heat Treatment**

### Pd/Cu-MPSS membrane by SIEP

- Pre-annealing peaks of Pd and Cu are at the characteristic planes.
- At intermediate annealing (10 hrs), peaks merge to form alloy at the characteristic planes.
- After complete annealing (18 hrs), sharp alloy reflection peaks are observed in [111], [200], and [220] (intermediate positions of pure Pd & Cu).



#### **XRD Pattern: Pre- & Post-annealing**

#### Heat Treatment: Effect on Pd, Pd-Ag & Pd-Cu Microstructures



#### Pd membrane at 5K (AA)



Pd membrane at 10K (AA)



#### Pd-Ag membrane at 5K (AA)



Pd-Ag membrane at 10K (AA)



#### Pd-Cu membrane at 2K (AA)



Pd-Cu membrane at 5K (AA)

SEM images of Pd, Pd-Ag & Pd-Cu film fabricated by SIEP (AA = After Annealing)

### Heat Treatment: Pd, Pd-Ag & Pd-Cu film x-section









Pd X-section (a) 1K & (b) 2.5 K Pd-Ag X-section (c) 1K & (d) 2.5 K Pd-Cu X-section (e) 1K & (f) 2 K

- All recognizable pores were plugged
- Metal deposition observed far inside
- Pd membrane has thinner film than Pd-Ag & Pd-Cu film

### Heat Treatment: Pore analysis of Pd-Ag film x-section



distribution in the pores starting from the pore mouth to deep inside of the pore (From Probe 1  $\rightarrow$  Probe 6).

### Heat Treatment: Pore analysis in Pd-Cu film x-section



SEM images of Pg-Cu membrane x-section: Pores plugged by metal deposition. Pd-Cu membrane: Metal (Pd & Cu) distribution in the pores starting from the pore mouth to deep inside of the pore (From Probe 1  $\rightarrow$  Probe4).

### Heat Treatment: EDS mapping of Pd film

- Metal mapping provides exact shape of the film.
- Pd concentration is high on the film.
- A minor migration was observed.
- Pd deposition on the deep pores was observed.



#### Post-annealing: Pd-MPSS membrane (SIEP)

### Heat Treatment: EDS mapping of Pd-Ag film

- Metal mapping forms exact shape of the film.
- Pd & Ag concentration is high on the film.
- Higher Ag migration was observed.
- Pd & Ag deposition on the deep pores was observed.



#### Post-annealing: Pd-Ag-MPSS membrane (SIEP)

### Heat Treatment: EDS mapping of Pd-Cu film

- Metal mapping forms exact shape of the film.
- Pd & Cu concentration is high on the film.
- Cu is distributed evenly from film to deep inside.
- Pd & Cu deposition on the deep pores was observed.



Post-annealing: Pd-Cu-MPSS membrane (SIEP)

#### H<sub>2</sub>-permselectivity: Effect of intermediate heat treatment





H<sub>2</sub> flux of Pd-Ag-MPSS membrane (without intermediate heat treatment and subsequent Pd-Ag top layer) H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> selectivity of Pd-Ag-MPSS membrane (without intermediate heat treatment and subsequent Pd-Ag top layer)

#### H<sub>2</sub>-permselectivity: Effect of intermediate heat treatment



H<sub>2</sub> flux of Pd-Ag-MPSS membrane (after intermediate heat treatment and subsequent Pd-Ag top layer).

H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> selectivity of Pd-Ag-MPSS membrane (after intermediate heat treatment and subsequent Pd-Ag top layer)

### H<sub>2</sub>-permeability: Pd- & Pd-Ag-MPSS membrane



Pd-MPSS membrane (7.89 µm)

Pd-Ag-MPSS membrane (12.54 µm)

#### H<sub>2</sub>-permeability: H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> selectivity of Pd-Ag-MPSS membrane



Pd-Ag-MPSS membrane (12.54 µm)

#### H<sub>2</sub> permeability: Activation energy of Pd & Pd-Ag membranes

Membrane type	Membrane thickness (µm)	Pre-exponential factor	Activation energy (E), KJ/mol
Pd	7.89	0.65	9.7
Pd-Ag	12.54	0.84	8.9



Arrhenius plot of H<sub>2</sub>-permeability Arrhenius

plot of H<sub>2</sub>-permeability coefficients (Pd-MPSS membrane) coefficients (Pd-Ag-MPSS membrane)

# CONCLUSIONS

- The rate of Pd-deposition and surface morphology can be modified in EP by varying the choice and concentration of suitable surfactants (SIEP).
- Grain size of deposited Pd and its subsequent agglomeration to dense film can be controlled by SIEP.
- Reproducibility of membranes (thickness, plating time, grain size distribution, microstructure and permeability) by SIEP process established.
- We successfully extended SIEP to fabricate robust, defect free Pd-Ag- and Pd-Cu-MPSS membrane.
- The Pd-Ag-MPSS membrane showed comparable permselectivity for hydrogen with enhanced H<sub>2</sub> throughput.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- US DOE-NETL grant, Award No. DE-FG08-NT000143 (Richard Dunst, DOE Project Officer)
- Analytical support from our Center for Advanced Materials and Smart Structures (CAMSS), with special thanks to Dr. Jag Sankar, Dr. D. Kumar, and Dr. Surgey Yarmolenko

### **Contributing Students**

Syed Z. Islam (MSChE candidate for July 2012) M. Saiful Islam (MSChE, July 2011) Jasmine Taylor (BSChE, May 2011) M. Mizanur Rahman (MSChE, July, 2010) M.A, Islam (PhD, Dec 2009)

# **THANK YOU**