Microstructure, Processing, Performance Relationships for High Temperature Coatings

Thomas M. Lillo Richard N. Wright

Materials Properties & Performance Idaho National Laboratory

Co-Investigators: Dennis C. Kunerth W. David Swank D.C. Haggard

23nd Annual Conference on Fossil Energy Materials May 12-14, 2009, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Fossil Energy, Under DOE Idaho Operations Office, Contract DE-AC07-05ID14517

Introduction

- Research goal: understand relationships between <u>coating</u> processes, <u>coating characteristics</u>, and <u>coating performance</u>
- Coating types:
 - HVOF Fe_3AI , (alumina former)
 - HVOF 316SS (model alloy)
- Substrates:
 - Low-alloy ferritic steels
 - Advanced ferritic-martensitic steels (e.g. Grade 91)
 - Austenitic stainless steels
 - Ni-base alloys (e.g. alloy 600 or 617)



Past Results

- Thermal spray parameters can be used to generate highly dense coating with varying levels of residual stress
- Residual stresses in coating arise from three sources
 - CTE mismatch between coating and substrate
 - Quench stresses
 - "Peening" stress
- Corrosion resistance of coating is very close to wrought material













High-Velocity Oxy-Fuel (HVOF) thermal spray

- Equivalence ratio (phi)- $\Phi = \frac{Fuel / Oxygen}{(Fuel / Oxygen)_{Stoich}}$
 - Combustion chamber pressure P_{c} – Determined by total mass flow of O_{2} and fuel

Current Project Focus

Goal:

Determine factors affecting the mechanical stability of HVOF thermal spray coatings

Tasks:

- Refine methods for detecting cracking in coatings
- Characterize the influence of thermal spray parameters on the mechanical stability of coatings
- Determine the influence of substrate properties on coating durability
- Define coating failure



Parameters of Interest

Objective: Identify parameters that result in adherent, high-durability coatings

- Materials parameters
 - CTE difference between coating and substrate
 - Microstructure stability
- High-Velocity Oxy-Fuel (HVOF) thermal spray parameters
 - Chamber pressure particle velocity
 - Fuel/oxygen ratio particle temperature
 - Substrate temperature during spraying standoff distance, traverse speed, preheat/active cooling
 - Coating thickness # of passes





Materials Systems of Interest

- Coating materials alumina formers
 - Fe_3AI
 - FeAl
- Substrate materials
 - Carbon Steel
 - Low-alloy ferritic steels
 - Advanced ferritic-martensitic steels (e.g. Grade 91)
 - Austenitic stainless steels
 - Ni-base alloys (e.g. alloy 600 or 617)



Coating Durability Tests

- Coating failure resulting from thermal cycling
 - Optical methods
 - Visual dye penetrant examinations
 - Metallographic methods
 - Real time crack detection using eddy current methods
- Room temperature coating strength/ductility – tensile testing
 - Acoustic emission
 - Eddy current methods

daho National Laboratory





Eddy Current Response During Thermal Cycling



Dual Eddy Current Coils



Fracture Behavior – FeAI Coatings on CS



Idaho National Laboratory

Effect of Cycle Temperature on Coating Failure



Thermal Cycling – Dye Penetrant Exams

•HVOF coatings (FeAl) on thick plate

– Grade 91 steel, ³/₄" thick

– Carbon Steel (1018), ¹/₂" thick

•EDM cylinders (5/8) diameter) from the coated plates



Grade 91 Steel

•Thermal cycle in CM Rapid Temperature Furnace

•Periodically examine coatings using dye penetrant

•Multiple samples under identical conditions

ho National Laboratory



Carbon Steel (1018)

Furnace Cycling – Preliminary Results

Grade 91 - 250 μm CTE - 11 μm/m°C



4 cycles

Carbon Steel – 250 µm CTE – 14 µm/m°C



42 cycles

Grade 91 – 160 μm

FeAl Coating

 $CTE - 23 \mu m/m^{o}C$

Idaho National Laboratory



Carbon Steel – 160 µm



42 cycles

Cycle Temperature = 480° C

Issues of Interest

- Substrate temperature during deposition affects stress state during thermal cycling
- HVOF parameters that affect CTE of coating can we tailor the coating CTE to match the substrate?
- Model cracking patterns, coating thickness and defect population – relate to HVOF parameters



Previous Coating Fracture Tensile Testing

- Coating strain to fracture measured using acoustic emission monitoring
- 500 µm coatings applied to dogbone-shaped tensile specimen substrates
- Two AE sensors attached to each end of substrate near grips
 - Used to locate events within coated gage section
- Coating cracking produces clear AE signals for thick coatings
- Crack initiation appears to be at stress concentrator at 90° edge







Current Direction in Coating Fracture Tensile Testing

- Round tensile samples
 - Uniform diameter
 - Reduced diameter gage section
- Crack detection using eddy current -
 - Dual coil method differential signal
 - Need to detect hoop cracks



Project Status/Milestones

By the end of FY09 we will:

- Complete the study on the influence of HVOF parameters on cracking resistance
 - $\sqrt{}$ Developed techniques to identify cracking of coatings
 - Currently investigating FeAI coatings on carbon steel and grade 91 steel substrates
 - Applying HVOF coatings of Fe_3AI to CS, grade 91, 316 SS and Inconel 600
- Complete the study of HVOF parameters on coating adhesion
 - \checkmark Applied HVOF coatings to test rods
 - \checkmark Designing eddy current coils
 - Fabricate and test coils
 - Additional HVOF coating parameters and substrates
- Add more conventional weld overlay coating to the testing matrix

