

High Temperature Additive Architectures for 65% Efficiency DE-FE0031611

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Chris Porter, PI Sharon Swede, PM Joe Weber, PM

UTSR Project Review Meeting Orlando, FL November 6, 2019

> NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY



This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy under Award Number **DE-FE0031611**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

GE INFORMATION - The information contained in this document shall not be reproduced without the express written consent of GE. If consent is given for reproduction in whole or in part, this notice and the notice set forth on each page of this document shall appear in any such reproduction. This presentation and the information herein are provided for information purposes only and are subject to change without notice. NO REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY IS MADE OR IMPLIED AS TO ITS COMPLETENESS, ACCURACY, OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE. All relative statements are with respect to GE technology unless otherwise noted.

Project Objectives & Technical Approach

Overall Objective

Develop a feasible **Conceptual Design** for **Advanced Additive** turbine inlet components that enable **65% CC efficiency** through analytical methods and feature print trials.

Technical Approach

Phase I – Discovery

- Generate Advanced Wall Architecture and Airfoil Concepts enabled by Additive Manufacturing.
- Identify and evaluate **Additive Methods and Materials** that enable desired geometry through **Coupon Print Trials**.
- Down-select a Primary Concept and Additive Method/Material and backup for future evaluation.
- Develop Test Plan for future execution.



Agenda

Additive at GE
Nozzle Design Overview
Airfoil Design & Artifact Coupon Print Trial Summary
Additive Modality Comparison
Proposed Test Plan to address Phase I gaps



Impact of Additive at GE



Performance

- Removes traditional mfg. constraints
- Enables "near surface" cooling



Speed to Market

- Model to part directly
- ~18 month cycle



Cost

- Eliminate casting tooling
- Metal only where needed

Improving state-of-the-art

Processing sciences





Alloys





Design





Advanced Manufacturing Works - Greenville

Merging design and manufacturing technology to deliver better products



Additive

- >10,000 parts shipped
- 1st GT parts produced/fielded

Ceramics

- 1st fielded CMCs
- Thermal coatings

Process optimization

- Automation/CMT/Digital
- Hot Gas Path Special Processes
- Reduced cost and lead time



Industrial Gas Turbine Terminology



Turbine Section Advanced Manufacturing Opportunities





Turbine Vane Conventional Cooling Fundamentals





Nozzle Design - Today's Technology - "Design what you can make"

Design Philosophy

- Raise Combustion Temperature to increase Engine Output/Performance.
- Manage cooling techniques to increase performance while maintaining part life.
 - Impingement Cooling
 - ➢ Film Cooling
 - Thermal Barrier Coatings
 - ➢ High Temp Advanced Alloys

Design Challenges

- Overcool the Nozzle to mitigate TBC Spall Risk
- Traditional manufacturing methods overcool some regions to cool hotter areas on the Nozzle
- Developing high oxidation resistant materials is costly





Nozzle Design – Tomorrow's Technology – "Make what you can design"



GE)

Additive Modality Comparison



Additive Modality	Advantages	Limitations
DMLM	Excellent Dimensional ControlExcess Powder More Easily Removed	 Susceptible To Strain Age Cracking Support Structures Needed & Orientation Dependent
Binder Jet	 No Support Structures & Orientation Independent Not Susceptible To Strain Age Cracking Machine Cost ~50% Lower Compared To DMLM 	 Excess Powder Removal Difficult Due To "Green State" Fragility And Smaller Powder Particle Size Dimensional Control Difficult During Sintering
FDM	 No Excess Powder To Be Removed Not Susceptible To Strain Age Cracking Machine Cost ~80% Lower Compared To DMLM 	 Dimensional Control Difficult During Sintering Support Structures Needed For Printability Lower Feature Fidelity And Higher Surface Roughness

Each Modality Presents Opportunities And Challenges When Producing Complex Geometries



Airfoil Design & Artifact Coupon Print Trial Summary



Artifact Coupons Create Relatively Fast And Lower Cost Learning Of Modality Capabilities And Challenges



Airfoil Design & Artifact Coupon Print Trial Summary

Artifact Coupon Print Trials

- Contained specific simplified features representative of the advanced airfoil design.
- Designed to determine what features could be achieved without significant risk in production scale-up.



Pinned wall coupon

Binder Jet Trials

MPE-AMP Lab



Deflection Of Wall With Pins Starts At Wall Thickness ~0.050"

FDM Trials





Wall thickness Coupon





Print Results Summary

Binder Jet	DMLM	FDM
Challenges → Trapped Powder. → Significant Distortion In Some	Challenges	Challenges → Trapped Powder. → Significant Distortion In Some
Areas. There is line of sight to producing	DMLM is favorable for producing full nozzles with complex cooling geometries.	Areas. The least favorable option for
complex features in Binder Jet.		producing Nozzles with complex cooling geometries.
<u>Going forward</u> Further refinement to demonstrate dimensional quality, high yield and powder removal capability.	<u>Going forward</u> Part geometry and build orientation will be defined to minimize or eliminate strain age cracks.	<u>Going forward</u> Will not be pursuing FDM for complex geometries at this time.



Test Plan and Key Technology Gaps

Technology Gaps

- 1) Cooling Technology
 - Empirical thermal correlations for additive cooling features needed.
 - ➢ HTCs
 - Film cooling effectiveness
- 2) Wall Architecture Technology Bench Testing
 - Jets Testing Rig needed to validate Phase I assumptions and design benefits.
- Additive Material Properties.
 (analogous to Cast properties vs Forged properties)



GER / GEP Film Cooling Test Rig



High Temperature Jet Thermal Shock Testing Rig



Summary

The road to 65% CC efficiency is challenging

Additive Manufacturing is a paradigm shift in design for manufacturing. Early Career Engineers are the experts in additive manufacturing and design.

In this program GE....

- Studied Advanced Wall Architecture and Airfoil Concepts enabled by Additive Manufacturing.
- Identified and evaluated Additive Methods and Materials.
- Developed a Test Plan for future execution.

DMLM and Binder Jet are being pursued for further development on complex turbine components.







