

"Risk Based Data Management System (RBDMS) and Cost Effective Regulatory Approaches (CERA) Related to Hydraulic Fracturing and Geologic Sequestration of CO₂

DOE Grant # DE-FE0000880

Background

- Risk Based Data Management System for Hydraulic Fracturing (RBDMS HF)
- RBDMS and Cost Effective Regulatory Approaches (CERA)
- ▶ CO₂Needs Assessment

Objective 1 RBDMS HF Module

- Add new components to RBDMS to allow states to evaluate, track and permit (where necessary) HF operationsn
- Define parameters of HF module by working with RBDMS Steering committee and pilot states including Pennsylvania, New York, and Oklahoma
- Add new data fields in RBMDS to track HF activities
- Develop an integrated data mining and GIS interface

Objective 2: RBDMS Core Development and CERA projects

- Advance RBDMS Core Development including:
 - Update Wellbore schematic utility
 - Update GIS and data visualization tools
 - Install RBDMS.net in OK and PA
 - Install Classic RBDMS in Illinois
 - Develop needs assessment for Illinois RBDMS Coal
 - Field inspection module
 - Electronic Commerce: Electronic permitting & reporting: CO, AL, NY, PA
 - ePermit now being used in CO, CO also accepts electronic filing of MIT's

Objective 2: RBDMS Core Development and CERA projects cont'd.

- Water Energy Sustainability: Integrate water quality and quantity with RBDMS energy: Pilot states OH, CO, NE, PA, NY
- Annual RBDMS training and program planning meetings: April 2010, Florida, October 2010 location to be determined
- Develop and implement an On-line BMP catalog of exploration and production practices in numerous states

Objective 3 (CO₂ Needs Assessment)

- Survey states to develop criteria for selection of partner/ pilot states (Complete)
- Interview industry stakeholders to evaluate needs
- Conduct interviews of partner/ pilot states using input from project team and industry stakeholder interviews
- Develop a state "Needs Assessment" document, training template, and program implementation plan

Project Team

- GWPC Staff (Project administration & support)
- Mark Layne, ALL Consulting (Data systems design)
- Tom Gillespie, Virtual Engineering Solutions (Data systems design)
- Dave Lowther, Coordinate Solutions (GIS design)
- Stan Belieu, Nebraska Oil and Gas Commission (State regulation development)
- Bill Bryson, Kansas Corporation Commission, Retired (State regulation development)
- Scott Anderson, Environmental Defense Fund (Environmental stakeholder input)
- John Veil, Argonne National Laboratory (Technical advisor)
- Theresa Pugh, American Public Power Association (Industry stakeholder input)
- Cathy Reheis-Boyd, Western States Petroleum Association (Industry stakeholder input)*
- * Invited but not yet confirmed

Project Team Process

- Topical project team meetings are held via conference call and in association with GWPC meetings:
 - The first HF & CO₂ team meetings were held in Denver (October 2009) in conjunction with the fall RBDMS training
 - The next scheduled project team meetings:
 - Monday, January 25 in conjunction with the GWPC UIC meeting
 - Sunday, April 25 in conjunction with the annual RBDMS training

Schedule (RBDMS HF) This schedule may be changed do

to the NY EIS

E - Title: Needs Assessment

Planned Date: February 2010

Verification Method: Needs Assessment Document Finalized and Meets all

requirements stated in Subtask 2.4

F - Title: Data Structure

Planned Date: April 2010

Verification Method: Data Structure incorporates all PAC identified needs

G - Title: Final Release of Prototype Results

Planned Date: October 2010

Verification Method: Final Release has been tested and is ready to implement

H - Title: User Assistance

Planned Date: December 2010

Verification Method: Telephone help desk and VPN support initiated

Schedule RBDMS and CERA Final GIS Module

Planned Date: December 2010

Verification Method: Updated GIS Module Installed and Tested by at least 2

states

B - Title: Incorporation of .NET Technology

Planned Date: MS, March 2010, OK December 2010, OH, June 2010, PA

February 2011

Verification Method: .NET applications match business rules in OK, PA, OH,

and MS or other states as determined by the PAC

C - Title: **RBDMS Inspection Module**

Planned Date: MS February 2010, OK, August 2010, NE February 2011

Verification Method: The application integrates RBDMS database information

with a detached GIS system usable in the field with or without Internet access. Install

in OK, MS, and NE.

D - Title: BMP Catalog

Planned Date: August 10, 2011

Verification Method: Complete BMP Catalog Available Online

Schedule (CO₂ Needs Assessment)

I - Title: Complete survey of state agencies

Planned Date: November 30, 2009

Verification Method: Review survey responses

J - Title: Conduct and analyze state follow-up interviews

Planned Date: January 15, 2010 (Revised to March 2010 to allow for stakeholder

interviews)

Verification Method: Review interview documentation

K - Title: Prepare Draft Needs Assessment Document

Planned Date: March 15, 2010 (Revised to May 2010 due to shift in state interview

process)

Verification Method: Receipt of reviewers comments

L - Title: Submit Final Needs Assessment Document to USDOE

Planned Date: April 30, 2010 (Revised to July 2010 due to shift in draft document

preparation)

Verification Method: Notice of receipt from USDOE

M – Title: Prepare Regulatory Implementation Plan

Planned Date: October 15, 2010

Verification Method: Receipt of reviewers comments

N – Title: Submit Final Regulatory Implementation Plan to USDOE

Planned Date: January 30, 2011

Verification Method: Notice of receipt from USDOE

Tasks

TASK
1.0 – Project Management and Planning
2.0 - Hydraulic Fracturing and CO ₂ Geo-sequestration
Parameter Determination
3.0 – Design and Develop RBDMS HF
4.0 – Programming and Development
5.0 – Release of Prototype Results
6.0 – Provide Final Release Services
7.0 – RBDMS Core Development
8.0 – Electronic Commerce
9 – Water-Energy Sustainability
10.0 - Cost Effective Regulatory Approach / E&P BMP
Catalog
11.0 - CO ₂ Geo-Sequestration Parameter Determination
12.0 – Program Management Template
13.0 – Technology Transfer

Deliverables

- 1.Final needs assessment documents for Hydrofrac and CO₂ modules including use cases for base module.
- 2. Workplan for development of the hydrofrac module
- 3. Report summarizing the proposed CO₂ module
- 4. Annual Report detailing significant accomplishments
- 5.RBDMS modules to be posted on the GWPC RBDMS Sharepont site and made available for downloading:
 - 1.RBDMS.net
 - 2.RBDMS ePermit
 - 3.RBDMS eReport
 - 4.RBDMS hydraulic fracturing
- 6.State needs assessment document for regulation of CO₂ geosequestration
- 7.On-line state BMP catalog of exploration and production practices

Benefits and Impacts to Industry

- Improved understanding of state regulatory processes for permitting CO2 geosequestration projects
- Increased ability to submit well data electronically relative to hydraulic fracturing
- Access to a training framework for technical and regulatory needs
- Additional support for states to acquire "Primacy"; which improves permitting efficiency

Costs (RBDMS HF)

Hydraulic Frac	BP 1		BP 2		Total		
	Gov. Funding	Cost Share	Gov. Funding	Cost Share	Gov. Funding	Cost Share	Project Total
Team Members: GWPC Total Gov. Funds:	296,000 187,912 483,912		191,174 <u>170,810</u> 361,984		487,174 358,722 845,896		845,896
Total Cost Share	·	91,809	,	91,809		183,618	183,618
CS %:		20%		25%		22%	
Total Costs							\$1,029,514

Costs RBDMS Core & CERA

	BP 1		BP 2		Total		
CERA	Gov. Funding	Cost Share	Gov. Funding	Cost Share	Gov. Funding	Cost Share	Project Total
Team Members: GWPC: Total Gov Funds:			467,059 333,300 800,359		1,143,559 698,441 1,842,000		\$1,842,000
Total Cost Share	, ,	275,428	,	275,428	, ,	550,856	550,856
CS %:		26%		34%		30%	
Total Costs							\$2,392,856

Costs (CO2 Needs Assessment)

CO_2	BP 1		BP 2		Total		
_	Gov. Funding	Cost Share	Gov. Funding	Cost Share	Gov. Funding	Cost Share	Project Total
Team Members: GWPC: Total Gov Funds:	<u>152,773</u>		87,458 87,458		9,769 <u>240,231</u> 250,000		250,000
Total Cost Share		0	,	0	·	0	0
CS %:		0%		0%		0%	
Total Costs							250,000