



QUALITY GUIDELINES FOR ENERGY SYSTEM STUDIES

Specification for Selected Feedstocks

Table 4: Global Economic Assumptions

Parameter	Value
TAXES	
Income Tax Rate	38% (Effective 34% Federal, 6% State)
Capital Depreciation	20 years, 150% declining balance
Investment Tax Credit	0%
Tax Holiday	0 years
CONTRACTING AND FINANCING TERMS	
Contracting Strategy	Engineering Procurement Construction (EPC) assumes project risks for the owner
Type of Debt Financing	Non-Recourse (collateralized by real assets of the project)
Repayment Term of Debt	15 years
Grace Period on Debt Repayment	0 years
Debt Reserve Fund	No
Capital Expenditure Period	ANALYSIS PERIOD
Operational Period	ANALYSIS PERIOD
Economic Analysis Period	ANALYSIS PERIOD
IRR/OE	ANALYSIS PERIOD

Exhibit 2-3 Design Coal

Rank	Bituminous	
Scam	Illinois No. 6 (Herrin)	
Source	Old Ben Mine	
Proximate Analysis (weight %) (Note A)		
	As Received	Dry
Moisture	11.12	0.00
Ash	9.70	10.91
Volatile Matter	34.99	39.37
Fixed Carbon	44.19	49.72
Total	100.00	100.00
Sulfur	2.51	2.82
HHV, kJ/kg	27,113	30,506
HHV, Btu/lb	11,666	13,126
		29,544
		12,712

January 2012

DOE/NETL-341/011812

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference therein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed therein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Specification for Selected Feedstocks

DOE/NETL-341/011812

Final Report

January 18, 2012

NETL Contact:

Michael Matuszewski

General Engineer

Office of Program Performance & Benefits, Performance Division

National Energy Technology Laboratory

www.netl.doe.gov

Prepared by:

Energy Sector Planning and Analysis (ESPA)

Paul Myles

WorleyParsons Group, Inc.

Santosh Lanka

WorleyParsons Group, Inc.

Yixin Lu

WorleyParsons Group, Inc.

DOE Contract Number DE-FE0004001

Acknowledgments

This report was prepared by Energy Sector Planning and Analysis (ESPA) for the United States Department of Energy (DOE), National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL). This work was completed under DOE NETL Contract Number DE-FE0004001. This work was performed under ESPA Task 341.03.01.

The authors wish to acknowledge the excellent guidance, contributions, and cooperation of the NETL staff, particularly:

Michael Matuszewski, NETL Technical Project Monitor

This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

1 Specifications for Selected Feedstocks, Products, and Processes.....	9
1.1 Fuel Feedstocks.....	9
1.1.1 Natural Gas	9
1.1.2 Coal	10
1.2 Non-fuel Feedstocks	12
1.2.1 Limestone.....	12
1.2.2 Lime	12
2 Revision Control	13
3 References.....	14

List of Exhibits

Exhibit 1-1 Natural gas composition	9
Exhibit 1-2 Analysis of selected coals	11
Exhibit 1-3 Greer Limestone analysis.....	12
Exhibit 1-4 Lime analysis	12
Exhibit 2-1 Revision table	13

Acronyms and Abbreviations

CH ₄	Methane	H ₂	Hydrogen
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide	HHV	Higher heating value
DOE	Department of Energy	LHV	Lower heating value
EIA	Energy Information Administration	NETL	National Energy Technology Laboratory
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency	QGESS	Quality Guidelines for Energy System Studies
ESPA	Energy Sector Planning and Analysis	U.S.	United States

1 Specifications for Selected Feedstocks, Products, and Processes

This section provides recommended specifications for various feedstocks that are commonly found in NETL-sponsored energy system studies. Adhering to these specifications should enhance the consistency of such studies. NETL recommends these guidelines be followed in the absence of any compelling market, project, or site-specific requirements in order to facilitate comparison of studies evaluating coal-based technologies.

1.1 Fuel Feedstocks

A short list of commonly used feedstocks is described below. If other fuels are required, they are to be treated with the same rigor of analysis and documentation as the fuels presented below.

1.1.1 Natural Gas

When natural gas is the required fuel, use the composition shown in Exhibit 1-1, which is based on the mean of over 6,800 samples of pipeline quality natural gas taken in 26 major metropolitan areas of the United States (U.S.). [1]

Exhibit 1-1 Natural gas composition

Component	Volume Percentage	
Methane, CH ₄	93.1	
Ethane, C ₂ H ₆	3.2	
Propane, C ₃ H ₈	0.7	
n-Butane, C ₄ H ₁₀ ¹	0.4	
Carbon Dioxide, CO ₂ ²	1.0	
Nitrogen, N ₂ ²	1.6	
Total	100.0	
	LHV ³	HHV ³
MJ/scm	34.71	38.46
kJ/kg	47,454	52,581
Btu/scf	932	1,032
Btu/lb	20,410	22,600

Notes:

1. The reference data reported the mean volume percentage of higher hydrocarbons (C₄+) to be 0.4%. For simplicity, the above composition represents all the higher hydrocarbons as n-butane (C₄H₁₀).
2. The reference data reported the mean volume percentage of CO₂ and N₂ (combined) to be 2.6%. The above composition assumes that the mean volume percentage of CO₂ is 1.0%, with the balance (1.6%) being N₂.

3. *LHV = lower heating value; HHV = higher heating value*

1.1.2 Coal

Exhibit 1-2 shows ultimate, proximate, and sulfur analyses for eight specific U.S. coals ranging in rank from lignite to low-volatile bituminous. It is recommended that NETL-sponsored studies of coal-fueled systems be based upon one of these coal types and their analyses. Additional information on the coal types (including ash and mineral matter analyses, ash fusion properties, and Hardgrove Grindability Index) is available from the NETL Quality Guidelines section on Detailed Coal Specifications. [2]

Exhibit 1-2 Analysis of selected coals

Rank	Low-Sodium Lignite		High-Sodium Lignite		Sub-bituminous		"Super-compliance" Subbituminous		HV Bituminous		HV Bituminous		MV Bituminous		LV Bituminous	
Seam	Wilcox Group		Beulah-Zap		Montana Rosebud PRB, Area D		Wyodak-Anderson (PRB)		Illinois #6 (Herrin)		Pittsburgh #8		Upper Freeport		Pocahontas #3	
Sample Location	TX		Freedom, ND		Montana		Campbell Co. WY		Franklin Co., IL				Indiana Co., PA		Buchanan Co., VA	
Proximate Analysis (weight %)																
	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry
Moisture	32.00	0	36.08	0	25.77	0	27.42	0	11.12	0	2.63	0	1.13	0	0.65	0
Ash	15.00	22.06	9.86	15.43	8.19	11.04	4.50	6.20	9.70	10.91	9.17	9.42	13.03	13.18	4.74	4.77
Volatile Matter	28.00	41.18	26.52	41.48	30.34	40.87	31.65	43.61	34.99	39.37	35.82	36.79	29.43	29.77	19.14	19.27
Fixed Carbon (BD)	25.00	36.76	27.54	43.09	35.70	48.09	36.43	50.19	44.19	49.72	52.38	53.79	56.41	57.05	75.47	75.96
HHV, kJ/kg	15,243	22,417	15,391	24,254	19,920	26,787	20,469	26,856	27,113	30,506	30,508	31,331	30,971	31,324	34,718	34,946
HHV, Btu/lb	6,554	9,638	6,617	10,427	8,564	11,516	8,800	11,546	11,666	13,126	13,116	13,470	13,315	13,467	14,926	15,024
LHV, kJ/kg	14,601	21,472	14,804	23,335	19,195	25,810	19,738	25,850	26,151	29,444	29,443	30,238	30,108	30,451	33,818	34,040
LHV, Btu/lb	6,277	9,231	6,364	10,032	8,252	11,096	8,486	11,113	11,252	12,712	12,658	13,000	12,944	13,092	14,539	14,635
Ultimate Analysis (Weight %)																
	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry
Moisture	32.00	0	36.08	0	25.77	0	27.42	0	11.12	0	2.63	0	1.13	0	0.65	0
Carbon	37.70	55.44	39.55	61.88	50.07	67.45	50.23	69.21	63.75	71.72	73.15	75.13	73.39	74.23	86.15	86.71
Hydrogen	3.00	4.41	2.74	4.29	3.38	4.56	3.41	4.70	4.50	5.06	4.97	5.10	4.03	4.07	4.20	4.23
Nitrogen	0.70	1.03	0.63	0.98	0.71	0.96	0.65	0.89	1.25	1.41	1.46	1.50	1.33	1.35	1.26	1.27
Chlorine	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.29	0.33	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.19
Sulfur	0.90	1.32	0.63	0.98	0.73	0.98	0.22	0.30	2.51	2.82	2.36	2.42	2.29	2.32	0.66	0.66
Ash	15.00	22.06	9.86	15.43	8.19	11.03	4.50	6.20	9.70	10.91	9.17	9.42	13.03	13.18	4.74	4.77
Oxygen (BD)	10.68	15.71	10.51	16.44	11.14	15.01	13.55	18.67	6.88	7.75	6.22	6.39	4.80	4.85	2.15	2.17
Sulfur Analysis (weight %)																
	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry	AR	Dry
Pyritic	-	0.43	-	0.34	-	0.63	-	0.07	-	1.14	-	1.47	-	1.77	-	0.15
Sulfate	-	0.04	-	0.09	-	0.01	-	0.01	-	0.22	-	0.05	-	0.01	-	0.03
Organic	-	0.85	-	0.55	-	0.34	-	0.22	-	1.46	-	0.90	-	0.54	-	0.48
Trace Components (ppmd)																
Mercury	-	0.206	-	0.116	-	0.081	-	0.200	-	0.150	-	0.430	-	0.337	-	0.088

Note: AR = as received, PRB = Power River Basin, BD = by difference, HHV = higher Heating Value, LHV = lower heating Value, Refer to [3] for the sources of data except sulfur forms for all lignite, PRB and HV bituminous (Illinois #6) which were extracted from U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) data

1.2 Non-fuel Feedstocks

1.2.1 Limestone

When limestone is required as a feedstock, the analysis in Exhibit 1-3 is recommended for studies that are not site-specific or otherwise require the use of different limestone [4]:

Exhibit 1-3 Greer Limestone analysis

Component	Dry Basis %
Calcium Carbonate, CaCO ₃	80.40
Magnesium Carbonate, MgCO ₃	3.50
Silica, SiO ₂	10.32
Aluminum Oxide, Al ₂ O ₃	3.16
Iron Oxide, Fe ₂ O ₃	1.24
Sodium Oxide, Na ₂ O	0.23
Potassium Oxide, K ₂ O	0.72
Balance	0.43
Total	100.00

1.2.2 Lime

When lime is required as a feedstock, the analysis in Exhibit 1-4 is recommended for studies that are not site-specific or otherwise require the use of different lime. [5]

Exhibit 1-4 Lime analysis

Component	Analysis %
Calcium Oxide, CaO	92.60
Magnesium Oxide, MgO	1.20
Silica, SiO ₂	0.95
Aluminum Oxide, Al ₂ O ₃	0.20
Iron Oxide, Fe ₂ O ₃	0.34
Balance	4.71
Total	100.00

2 Revision Control

Exhibit 2-1 Revision table

Revision Number	Revision Date	Description of Change	Comments
1	February 5, 2014	Document formatted	

3 References

- 1 Liss, W.H., et al. (1992). *Variability of Natural Gas Composition in Select Major Metropolitan Areas of the United States*. Gas Technology Institute, Vols. GRI-92/0123.
- 2 U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)/National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL). (2011). *Quality Guidelines for Energy System Studies, Detailed Coal Specifications*.
- 3 U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)/National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL). (2010). *Coal Specifications for Quality Guidelines for Energy System Studies (QGESS)*.
- 4 EPRI/U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). (2000). *Evaluation of Innovative Fossil Fuel Power Plants with CO₂ Removal*. Palo Alto, CA.
- 5 Graymont. (2011). *Product Specification, High Calcium Quicklime*. formerly Cutler-Magner Company, bought by Graymont in November 2007.