

Multiphase Interactions in Riser- Section of CFB: Towards Realistic Model for Analysis and Prediction

Moses N. Bogere

**Department of Chemical Engineering,
University of Puerto Rico-Mayagüez;**

**M. Strumendo, D. Gidaspow & H.
Arastoopour, IIT-Chicago**



Needed research efforts and issues

■ Hydrodynamics of fluidization

- ◆ Its understanding is critical to design, scale-up and prediction – more so in riser reactors.
- ◆ How to maximize fluid-particle contact: steam/coal, air-O₂/coal, steam/oil, etc.

■ Segregation in riser-section

- ◆ A real performance limitation. More effort is needed to provide concrete understanding of factors at play.
- ◆ How to deal with inherent poly-dispersed systems.



Known facts

- Riser hydrodynamics is complicated with a lot of unknowns
- Complex reactions kinetics: coal gasification, char combustion, cracking/coke-burning, etc.
- Complicated interconnections between riser and regenerator
- Many operating constraints.

(Han et al., 2000; Gururajan et al., 1992)

Mass transfer and reactions

- Optimizing useful reactions
 - ◆ Noting that hydrodynamics influences T & P distribution which provide some indication to efficiency of operation.
 - ◆ EVEN with the limitations, riser reactors are more efficient and handle more throughput than other types of reactors.
- Future goal: Environmentally benign energy production
 - ◆ Coal gasification route minimizes pollutants.

Flow regimes with increasing gas flow rate: dense to dilute flows (Hetsroni, 1982)

- **Particle fluidization** – rather uniform
- **Bubbling fluidization** – bubbles observed
- **Slugging flow** – large bubbles
- **Turbulent regime** – clusters move irregularly
- **Fast fluidization (riser)** – clusters move up tube and out with downward motion near wall

Note: There is a wealth of information on particle fluidization. Otherwise excellent data and results in a given flow regime can erroneously be used all across (for lack of filtered data)!

Where are we? A lot has been accomplished

- A full description of the fluid-particle processes in the fluidized state is at hand.
- OR at least the limitations of the results at hand are well understood.
- Significant advances in simulation tools and experimentation.
- **BUT: realistic closure and constitutive equations** remain a challenge. This includes need to understand particle kinetics in riser.

Taking inventory of governing equations – vector forms are fairly standard*

1. **Mass balance (for each phase)**
2. **Momentum balance (for each phase)**
3. **Energy balance (for each phase)**
4. **Pseudo steady-state energy balance**

***Gidaspow (1994; 2004); Bogere (1996); Jackson (2004) & many others**

Region mixture balance: obtained when the balances for the two phases are added (providing a consistency-check – valuable during experimental stage).

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\varepsilon_g \rho_g) + \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_g \rho_g \mathbf{v}_g) - E_{im}^s - S_{im}^s = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\varepsilon_s \rho_s) + \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_s \rho_s \mathbf{v}_s) + E_{im}^s + S_{im}^s = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\varepsilon_g \rho_g \mathbf{v}_g) + \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_g \rho_g \mathbf{v}_g \mathbf{v}_g) = -\varepsilon_g \nabla P_g + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_g - F_d^g + \varepsilon_g \rho_g \mathbf{g} + E_{iM}^s + S_{iM}^s$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\varepsilon_s \rho_s \mathbf{v}_s) + \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_s \rho_s \mathbf{v}_s \mathbf{v}_s) = -\varepsilon_s \nabla P_g + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_s + F_d^g + \varepsilon_s (\rho_s - \rho_g) \mathbf{g} - E_{iM}^s - S_{iM}^s$$

$$F_d^g = \beta (\mathbf{v}_g - \mathbf{v}_s)$$

$$\frac{3}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\varepsilon_s \rho_s \theta) + \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_s \rho_s \theta \mathbf{v}_s) \right] = \boldsymbol{\tau}_s : \nabla \mathbf{v}_s + \nabla \cdot (\kappa_s \nabla \theta) - \gamma_s$$

Terms that require closure and material functions

- Fluid and solid phase stress tensors, fluid and solid pressure, fluid-drag, bulk solid viscosity, solid viscosity, granular conductivity, collisional energy dissipation, drag coefficient
- Excess terms accounting for mass and momentum exchange between the phases – should amount to something in coal gassification

To account for particle size distribution, additional balances and closure are needed

- ◆ **Balances of the size moments are needed**
- ❖ **Balances of the mixed moments (first order with respect to the velocities)**
- **Closure schemes higher-order moments.**

Problem at hand

- To simulate flow patterns of poly-dispersed systems in coal conversion processes: riser.
- This entails simulation of particle size distribution (PSD) evolution, when the particles change size or density due to heterogeneous reaction (e.g., coal conversion or gasification process).
- First we will need clear understanding of multiphase interactions in riser.

Setting the stage for specialization of the hydrodynamic model to some application

- Examine constitutive equations/closure for :
 - ◆ **Particle-particle collisions;**
 - ◆ **Fluid-particle interactions;**
 - ◆ **Particle-wall interactions**
 - ◆ **Particle-particle segregation**
 - ◆ **Fluid flow behavior and description.**
- Examine scales of analysis
- Flow regime specification

Interpretation of multiphase interactions

- A pattern for analysis of multiphase-multicomponent processes first introduced into fluidization work [by MN Bogere, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, vol. 51, 4, 603, 1996] is used to interpret the transport mechanisms in risers.
- Some assumptions are made but there has to be a mechanism to verify those assumptions – this is the tough part when experimental data is not forthcoming.

Functional dependence of constitutive and material functions

- Established using the well-known eight axioms of constitutive theory (Eringen, 1980).
- Kinetic theory is however firmly established as viable tool for its straight-forward approach (Gidaspow, 1994; others).
- In general constitutive and material functions are expressed in functional form (Bogere, 1996).

Independent variables

- Constitutive functions are in general expressed in terms of the set C of independent variables.
- Material functions are dependent on the variables in the set Z .

$$C = \left\{ \rho_g, \varepsilon_g, \nabla \varepsilon_g, v_g, v_s, \theta_g, \nabla \theta_g, \omega_{ag}, \nabla \omega_{ag}, \underline{\underline{E}}^s, \underline{\underline{d}}^g, s_g, \nabla s_g \right\}$$

$$Z = \left\{ \varepsilon_g, \theta_g, \omega_{1,g}, \dots, \omega_{N-1,g}; \right. \\ \left. \text{fluid properties, solid properties} \right\}$$

Need for focused experiments or mechanistic models with verifiable assumptions

- Measurement of: Temperature , pressure, pressure-drop distribution, velocity, mass fraction, porosity, porosity distribution, particle size, particle size distribution, etc.
- Characterization of coal: composition, etc.
- Prediction of hydrodynamics is dependent on having some form of data on internal profiles. Allows verification of assumptions & definition of realistic constraints.

Summary: Hydrodynamic model (Tartan & Gidaspow)

- Other reviews available in a number of works: Gidaspow (1994), Jackson (2000), Agrawal et al. (2001)

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_g = 2\varepsilon_g \mu_g \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[\nabla \mathbf{v}_g + (\nabla \mathbf{v}_g)^T \right] - \frac{1}{3} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_g) \mathbf{I} \right\}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_s = (-P_s + \xi_s \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_s) \mathbf{I} + 2\mu_s \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[\nabla \mathbf{v}_s + (\nabla \mathbf{v}_s)^T \right] - \frac{1}{3} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_s) \mathbf{I} \right\}$$

$$P_s = \varepsilon_s \rho_s \theta [1 + 2(1 + e) g_o \varepsilon_s]$$

$$\xi_s = \frac{4}{3} \varepsilon_s^2 \rho_s d_p (1 + e) g_o \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{\pi}}$$

$$\mu_s = \frac{10\sqrt{\pi} \rho_s d_p \sqrt{\theta}}{96(1 + e) g_o} \left[1 + \frac{4}{5} (1 + e) g_o \varepsilon_s \right]^2 + \frac{4}{5} \varepsilon_s^2 \rho_s d_p (1 + e) g_o \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{\pi}}$$

$$\kappa_s = \frac{150\sqrt{\pi} \rho_s d_p \sqrt{\theta}}{384(1 + e) g_o} \left[1 + \frac{6}{5} (1 + e) g_o \varepsilon_s \right]^2 + 2\varepsilon_s^2 \rho_s d_p (1 + e) g_o \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{\pi}}$$

$$\gamma_s = 3(1 - e^2) \varepsilon_s^2 \rho_s g_o \theta \left(\frac{4}{d_p} \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{\pi}} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_s \right)$$

$$\beta = \frac{3}{4} C_d \frac{\varepsilon_s \rho_g |\mathbf{v}_g - \mathbf{v}_s|}{d_p} \varepsilon_g^{-2.65} \rightarrow \varepsilon_g \geq 0.8$$

$$C_d = \frac{24}{\text{Re}_s} (1 + 0.15 \text{Re}_s^{0.687}) \rightarrow \text{Re}_s < 1000$$

$$C_d = 0.44 \rightarrow \text{Re} \geq 1000$$

$$\beta = 150 \frac{\varepsilon_s^2 \mu_g}{\varepsilon_g^2 d_p^2} + 1.75 \frac{\rho_g \varepsilon_s |\mathbf{v}_g - \mathbf{v}_s|}{d_p \varepsilon_g} \rightarrow \varepsilon_g < 0.8$$

$$E_{im}^s, E_{iM}^s, S_{im}^s, S_{iM}^s (?)$$

Conclusion

- Working on a review to include the excess terms to account for the effect of mass transfer between phases for coal gasification.

Questions

