The 2002 NETL Sensors and Control Program Portfolio Review and Roadmapping Workshop: *Gas, Emissions, and Process Monitoring*



Workshop Proceedings

October 15-16, 2002 Pittsburgh, PA





The 2002 NETL Sensors and Control Program Portfolio Review and Roadmapping Workshop: Gas, Emissions, and Process Monitoring

WORKSHOP PROCEEDINGS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXI	ECUT	TIVE SUMMARY	1	
1.0	Wo	ORKSHOP OVERVIEW		
	1.1	Introduction	7	
	1.2	Background	7	
	1.3	Workshop Structure	8	
	1.4	Workshop Comments and Suggestions	10	
2.0	PLE	NARY SESSION	11	
	2.1	Overview of the ISCS Program	12	
	2.2	Nanoscience – The Expanding Boundaries of a Shrinking World		
	2.3	Chemical Sensors Based on Carbon Nanotubes	43	
	2.4	Recent Developments in Sensors and Micro-Analytical Systems	44	
3.0	Pro	M PORTFOLIO REVIEW	63	
	3.1	Development of Gas Sensors	64	
	3.2	Emissions Measurement	67	
	3.3	Combustion Measurement and Control	70	
4.0	Brf	EAKOUT-GROUP SESSIONS	74	
	4.1	Development of Advanced Gas Sensors/Systems	74	
	4.2	Emissions Measurement	81	
	4.3	Condition Monitoring	90	
Арі	PEND	DIX A: PARTICIPANT LIST	A-1	

Workshop Proceedings

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As performance requirements for fossil-energy systems become more stringent, new approaches to systems monitoring and control are required. For fossil energy to remain the backbone of a secure, affordable energy supply, improved efficiency and lower emissions will be required. Advanced sensor and control systems provide a promising pathway to achieve this critical national benefit.

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) of the Office of Fossil Energy (FE) held a Sensors and Controls Program Portfolio Review and Roadmapping Workshop in Pittsburgh, PA on October 16-17, 2002. The purpose of the workshop was twofold.

- Review progress to date of the NETL Instrumentation, Sensor and Control Systems (ISCS) Program's research portfolio.
- Elicit stakeholder perspective and insights on opportunities for innovative technologies and techniques.

The workshop was conducted in three sessions, an initial plenary session and two small-group breakout sessions. The plenary session provided an overview of the ISCS Program and perspectives from industry and National Laboratory researchers on novel approaches and applications for sensors and controls. The balance of the workshop was conducted in three breakout groups, running in parallel.

- Gas Sensors and Measurement: Improving System Performance
- Emissions Measurement: Assuring Regulatory Compliance
- Condition Monitoring: Improving Reliability, Availability, and Maintainability

The first breakout sessions were for the portfolio review, and the second for brainstorming on technology opportunities and pathways.

Plenary Session

There were four presentations and associated question and answer periods in the plenary session. Following an overview of the ISCS Program, guest speakers provided perspectives on basicscience developments, industry and National Laboratory approaches to new sensors and devices, and current and emerging targets in industrial, security, and energy applications, including fossil energy.

Overview of ISCS Program – Robert Romanosky, NETL

The Product Manager for the FE Advanced Research Program at NETL, Bob Romanosky, provided an overview of the ISCS Program, its relationship to the FE Vision 21 Programs, the current ISCS



research portfolio, and future activities. The Advanced Research Program, with a FY 2002 budget of \$28 million, supports research in materials, modeling and simulation, bioprocessing, CO₂ sequestration, coal science and sensors and controls. The ISCS Program is a new component of the portfolio, and targets innovative solutions that address the following criteria:

- Low cost and high benefit,
- Capability for retrofit in the nation's large asset base of coal-fired electric generation plants,
- Capability to enable FutureGen and other advanced plants, including combustion, gasification, gas turbines, fuel cells, and hybrid systems, and
- Ease of integration at all levels, encompassing device, unit, process, system, plant, and facility.

There is great potential for innovation in control systems, including both entirely new techniques and the adoption or adaptation of tools and techniques used in other applications. Key barriers already known include materials limitations, the difficulty of overcoming interferences from non-target chemical species, and problems in assuring the accuracy and reliability of sampling under typical plant operating conditions.

Four key questions to guide the workshop were posed.

- Why can't we build these systems today?
- What is the state-of-the-art and major technology-development trends?
- What are the materials issues?
- What is the role of government support in order to provide public benefits?

Overall, sensors and controls offer one of the most promising pathways to meeting fossil-energy requirements. The workshop results will help guide visionary planning and effective implementation of the ISCS Program.

Nanoscience: The Expanding Boundaries of a Shrinking World – John Miller, DOE Office of Basic Energy Sciences



Developments at the nanoscale level are moving rapidly to the point where the prospect for breakthrough, high-payoff applications are compelling. John Miller of DOE's Office of Basic Energy Sciences (BES) discussed the challenge of extending capabilities from the microscale engineering level to

the nanoscale level. Three overall goals to achieve this are 1) attaining the understanding and prediction of materials properties and behavior at the nano-scale (in contrast to known bulkmaterial properties, 2) exploring and elucidating mechanisms for fabrication and manufacture of materials and components, and 3) examining the methods and processes to achieve effective interfaces between nano- and macro-scale objects. Considering that "mother nature got there first," biotechnology and biomimetics are expected to play a major role in innovative processes and systems. In composite, future applications will merge nanotechnology, materials, and sensors. To achieve this will require large-scale, dedicated facilities, including the research infrastructure of such tools as synchrotron light sources; the reality is that big facilities are needed to study small things, and BES is sponsoring five Nanoscale Science Research Centers in the DOE National Laboratory complex.

Chemical Sensors Based on Carbon Nanotubes – John Cumings, Nanomix, Inc.

🔆 nanōmix

Nanomix, Inc. is a small nanotechnology firm in Emeryville, California. John Cummings, a Nanomix researcher, presented a review of the company's capabilities and work in nanomaterials, specifically the design and synthesis of nanotube-based devices. The company core competencies are in three primary areas: the computational design of novel materials, the development and refinement of synthesis methods for nanomaterials, and working with product development to demonstrate applications of the novel materials. The two primary market targets are chemical sensors and hydrogen-storage devices. Functionalization and other structural manipulation of nanotubes and related structures are expected to yield both near-term product applications such as sensors and longer-term applications such as hydrogen storage.

Recent Development in Sensors an Micro-Analytical Systems – Ronald Manginell, Sandia National Laboratories



Integration of advanced sensors with on-chip electronics and separations can provide advanced systems for field-deployable monitoring systems. Ron Manginell, Principal Member of the Technical Staff at the Micro-Analytical Systems Department, Sandia National Laboratories, described the development of tools for applications including national security, and their potential for fossil-energy applications. "Micro-Chemlab" and "Micro-Robot" are two new tools developed by Sandia for security applications. Micro-Chemlab, by integrating collection, sampling, separation, and detection at a micro-scale level, provides the capability for hand-held devices usable in field applications. Many of these same attributes can potentially be applied to *in situ* monitoring and control of fossil-energy processes. For example, remote chemical sensing capabilities could be applied to gas-stack measurement or to support condition-based maintenance programs.

Portfolio Review

Following the plenary session, the meeting was broken into three parallel sessions. The sessions began with review of twelve representative projects supported by the Advanced Research Program. These projects are a part of NETL's program on sensor development, and were selected because they were directly related to the subject areas to be discussed in the workshop.

From a program-portfolio perspective, the reviewers generally thought most of these projects were relevant to the DOE needs for developing advanced sensors for power systems. The reviewers endorsed the idea of using sensors to improve control of power systems, and paid close attention to the response times of many proposed sensors. There were two projects that addressed using multiple sensing to measure gas components to help control the combustion process. These projects were directly responding to the findings of the previous workshop indicating that control of air:fuel ratio is critical for improving combustion performance, and that moving sensors closer to the combustion zone is desirable.

With respect to research barriers, the reviewers raised most concerns about practical issues, such as particulate contamination, the interface with electronics, and packaging. These areas need to be improved. For example, although SiC materials can operate at elevated temperatures, reviewers had concerns about whether the wiring and packaging will function well at such high temperatures. The H_2O effect on SiC at high temperature is another practical issue.

The reviewers suggested NETL pay special attention to the "real-world" application issues such as particulate contamination, system-interface issues such as extraction and sampling systems and optical windows, and packaging that will allow advanced sensors to survive in harsh environments over useful time-frames. Some suggested that NETL establish a test protocol that will encompass these concerns, and thus aid developers in targeting and achieving critical fossil-energy system goals. Reviewers also suggested that NETL support other emerging and competing technologies, including nanotechnology, silicon-on-insulator, wave-based, and optical measurement technologies.

Breakout-Group Sessions

Previous workshops sponsored by NETL have highlighted the potential gains from advanced sensor and control systems. Improved efficiency, lower costs, and improved environmental performance are high-payoff–and achievable–outcomes. The harsh conditions (high temperature, high pressure, corrosive environments, and presence of particulates) are indeed challenging. Systems must be robust, accurate, reliable, and cost-effective over life spans for power-plant applications that may be measured in decades.

Equally important is the ease of integration of new capabilities into both currently deployed systems (targeted by FE's innovations for existing plants program) and new systems (targeted by FE's Vision 21 Program). System logic, algorithms, actuators, and networks must be able to apply sensor data to best effect in "real life" operating and maintenance regimes. Such systems can help foster the implementation of new power generation technologies as well as enhancing plant control in the existing fleet of fossil-fueled power plants.

In facilitated brainstorming sessions, three groups (Gas Sensors and Systems, Emissions Measurement, and Condition Monitoring), working in parallel, addressed a series of questions.

- What are key barriers (technical, regulatory, institutional) to achieving the FE goals?
- What are the R&D areas of opportunity to overcome these barriers?
- What are the highest-priority R&D pathways?
- What are the action plans needed to implement these high-priority pathways, including tasks, resources, and opportunities for collaboration?

While the majority of the results are specific to the individual topics, there were notable common elements that crosscut the groups. Many of these relate to requirements definition and implementation issues.

• Systems integration: achieving best results can only be achieved by making integration a priority design and operating requirement.

- Test standardization: assuring that the end user can evaluate options and select the best one(s) with confidence is necessary for widespread technology deployment.
- Economics and market opportunity: defining clear user needs that constitute a viable market target for technology developers is necessary to attract new ideas and players.
- Performance specifications: highly detailed, system-specific design requirements should be readily available to bridge the gap between plant owners/operators and technology developers. The vast array of fossil-energy systems of concern (combustion, gasification, gas turbines, fuel cells, hybrids, new Vision 21 plants versus retrofit applications at pulverized coal-burning plants) constitute a complex target, *particularly for those not currently involved in FE programs*.
- Regulatory versus other drivers: While in general, regulatory requirements are seen as the major near-term driver, focusing on the potential for efficiency enhancement and cost is critical. This encompasses reductions in both capital costs and operations and maintenance costs, and applies to both new and retrofit applications.

There was also significant conformance across the groups in many technical areas. For example, the Emissions Measurement group considered the highest-payoff options to be those associated with "moving up the pipe" to process control. Accordingly, many key findings underscore those of the Condition Monitoring group. Another theme was to explore cheap, multiple sensors that do not require extreme durability; redundant multiple sensors may be a valuable option. A related topic was sensor packaging; coatings, sealants and package integration are concerns.

A set of high-priority R&D topics was selected by each group through participant voting. These topics are summarized in the accompanying table. For each topic, an action plan was prepared. These plans identify potential applications, specific R&D products and characteristics, critical steps, integration issues, critical resources, and collaboration opportunities.

Barriers	High-Priority Topics
Gas Sensors and	d Systems Group
 Systems integration: sampling, communications, packaging, interfaces Improved economics: the need for market pull Standardized testing: common testing protocols Design architecture: changing old approaches by introducing new paradigms Materials: uncertain, changing requirements Systems-specific: critical needs are different for combustion, gasification, fuel cells, gas turbines, and hybrids 	 Materials development coupled with standard reference data and modeling of mechanisms Shared test-bed for sensors to enable realistic evaluation under EPA (and other) requirements Database repository for sensor performance: clear performance targets and applications High-temperature packaging development: integrated sensor and electronics SiC substrate and device processing for long-term stability: cheap substrate, new epitaxy techniques Establish pool of users for diode lasers
 Basic technology: innovative, alternative approaches Emissions reporting requirements: uncertainty and changing requirements Applications issues: packaging, testing, sampling, accuracy and repeatability Commercialization issues: market entry and opportunity 	 Materials technology for sensors: fundamental studies and mechanisms Test facilities for standardized testing: pilot-scale facility and open test ports at utilities Targeted program on sampling interfaces: optical access and other approaches <i>In situ</i> measurement of O₂, unburned carbon, NO_x, CO Novel concepts for sensing: wave technologies, acoustics, electromagnetics, nuclear-magnetic resonance
Condition Mor	nitoring Group
 Interface limitations: sensors with controls, controls with operators Integration: point measurements relative to the big picture Materials limitations: high-temperature, harsh environments Lack of measurement capability: flow, combustion stability, temperature/emissions, strain Performance testing: lack of facilities/protocols for test and validation 	 Flame monitoring and characterization methods: combustion stability and efficiency Enabling materials for sensor development and development of engineered high-temperature materials Low-cost test facilities: at sufficient scale to provide reliable and validated results Pyrometer measuring and monitoring for thermal barrier coatings

SUMMARY OF HIGH-PRIORITY TOPICS

The Path Forward

For fossil-energy systems to thrive as the nation's preeminent choice for affordable, secure, and clean power and fuels, improved performance must be attained at costs less than current systems. This workshop, along with ongoing exchanges of ideas and perspectives with stakeholders, will provide a balanced technical and analytical base to focus the ICSC Program's technology roadmapping and R&D implementation efforts. The results will guide technology *innovation* as well as *integration* with FE's Vision 21 and Innovations for Existing Plants Programs.

For More Information

For information on the Advanced Research Program and related programs visit the NETL web site for Coal and Environmental Systems <u>http://www.netl.doe.gov/coalpower/index.html</u>.

Section **1.0** WORKSHOP OVERVIEW

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) conducted a Sensors and Control Program Portfolio Review and Roadmapping Workshop, held on October 15-16, 2002 in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. The workshop's purpose was to review progress to date in the program's research portfolio and to elicit stakeholder perspectives and insights on research needs and opportunities that could be applied to program planning. Drawing over 70 experts from industry, the National Labs, and universities, the workshop's theme was new paradigms for sensors and controls that could revolutionize power systems. It builds on the findings of NETL's first workshop on Sensors and Control technology, held in April of 2001. These workshops, along with other interactions with stakeholders, provide a balanced technical and analytical base to focus the program's technology roadmapping and R&D implementation efforts.

There is an established consensus that advanced, integrated control systems will be essential to achieving the cost and performance targets of high-efficiency, low emissions fossil-fuel plants. The workshop was sponsored by the Instrumentation, Sensor, and Control Systems (ISCS) Program, part of the Office of Fossil Energy's (FE) Advanced Research Program, which targets novel research opportunities for fossil-energy systems. In the manner that engine control systems have optimized efficiency, power, and emissions performance for motor vehicles, the ISCS program explores similar approaches that could revolutionize power systems.

1.2 BACKGROUND

NETL is the nation's largest fossil-fuel research laboratory and leads DOE's efforts in developing cleaner, more efficient, and cost-effective technologies for fossil fuel uses. Sensors and controls are an essential enabling technology for advanced

ISCS Vision Statement

Enabling, improving, and protecting power systems and related infrastructures through the development and application of innovative sensor measurement and control technologies

power generation, including efforts such as DOE's FutureGen plant to test technologies for producing hydrogen and electricity with extremely high efficiency and near-zero emissions.

The ISCS Program provides support for the crosscutting needs of FE's advanced-technology development programs. The ultimate goal of these programs is to effectively eliminate, at competitive costs, the environmental concerns associated with the use of fossil fuels for producing electricity, hydrogen, transportation fuels, and other products. Advanced coal-based

systems may incorporate combustion, gasification, fuel cells, turbines, or hybrid combinations of technology.

The operating environment for these coal systems is extremely harsh. Some generic system conditions are shown below.

Power Generation Technology	Upper Temperature Limit	Upper Pressure Limit	Other
Gasification	3,000°F/1650°C	600 psi	Slagging and reducing environment, particulates present
Turbine (gas path)	3,200°F/1,760°C	400 psi	Oxidizing environment
Turbine (surface)	2,500°F/1,370°C	400 psi	Oxidizing environment
Combustion	1,500°F/800°C	100 psi	Oxidizing environment, particulates present

The ISCS program explores and develops innovative sensing and control capabilities to serve three primary objectives.

- Improve the performance of existing power systems through increased efficiency, availability, and reliability, and reduced emissions with a high level of cost competitiveness by providing critical measurements and advanced control that allow the conversion of fossil fuel for power generation to be optimized in real-time.
- Support FutureGen and other advanced systems by developing innovative sensing capabilities and advanced control systems that enable the full-scale deployment of advanced power generation technologies.
- Strengthen the protection and security of interdependent infrastructures that are critical to power generation including fuel supply, water, and transmission by furnishing the monitoring capability for optimized management.

The timing requirements for the ISCS Program reflect the market-driven strategy of the FE coal programs. There are three primary market targets.

- Develop environmental control and efficiency improvement technologies for existing fleets of coal-fueled power plants by 2005.
- Develop next-generation technology for retrofit and re-powering markets by 2010.
- Integrate advanced enabling technologies into FutureGen and other advanced systems by 2015.

1.3 WORKSHOP STRUCTURE

The workshop consisted of an initial plenary session followed by concurrent small-group breakout sessions. The plenary session provided an overview of the ISCS Program along with perspectives from industry and DOE on novel approaches and R&D opportunities for sensors and controls. Following the plenary session parallel workshop sessions convened for three technical areas.

• Gas Sensors & Measurement: Improving System Performance

In advanced power-generation systems, gas compositions need to be measured or monitored. Temperature tolerance, selectivity, stability, and resistance to particulate contamination are key areas of concern for advanced sensors. Balancing the fuel/air ratio on combustion systems is a key to improving power generation efficiency and reducing emissions. To achieve an optimum fuel/air ratio where thermal NO_x formation is lowest and flame stability is acceptable, several areas of measurement and control are of interest: flame quality, fuel supply, physical conditions, and chemical composition of the combustion zone. Flame-quality data can be extracted by a variety of methods, including acoustic, electrical, and optical technologies. However, the challenge is to transform the data into meaningful information that can be used by the control system.

In the area of fuel supply, accurate on-line measurement of solid fuel flow needs to be developed. While microwave, electric, and acoustic technologies have been attempted, more work is still needed. In addition to flow rate, feedstock characterization is a long-term need for use with advanced control systems. If alternative fuels are being utilized, this measurement will grow in importance. Accurate on-line feed-stock characterization should help proper mixing of fuels, ensure appropriate heat content, allow predictive control of the combustion process, and manage contaminants appropriately throughout the system.

• Emissions Measurement: Assuring Regulatory Compliance

Sensors to monitor chemical composition, primarily emission constituents, remain a high priority. On-line, in-situ measurement systems capable of performing near the combustion zone are seen as essential for an active, integrated control system where emission information is used as real-time input for plant-operation adjustments. Examples include on-line mercury measurements, in-situ NO_x sensors, and on-line particulate monitors (for size and concentration). Improvements are required for stack monitoring as well as for use near the combustion zone. The former is needed to measure emissions compliance while the latter can enable improved emissions performance in integrated control systems.

Mercury and PM 2.5 are two emerging regulatory issues, and developing on-line measurement of mercury and particulates is an urgent task. The capabilities of current CEM equipment are limited. Areas of improvement include, for example, the ability and accuracy in detecting low levels of NO_x and the potential of using sensors in place of analyzers for compliance monitoring and reporting.

• Condition Monitoring: Improving RAM

Condition monitoring can reduce facility failure and unnecessary maintenance and service. Accurate monitoring of the physical conditions within turbines and gasification systems remains a high-priority need. For the huge generation base of existing combustion systems, measurement and prediction of boiler scaling, corrosion, and other parameters could have widespread benefits in preventing boiler-tube failure. Current on-line technologies cannot withstand the harsh conditions, particularly those found inside gasifiers and turbines. For example, while the specific applications for gasifiers and turbines differ, the primary need is to develop materials and technologies capable of accurately detecting gas path and surface temperatures (for example, as high as 4500°F/2500°C in turbine gas path) in high-pressure corrosive environments. As system complexity increases, advanced control systems will be required to assure reliability, availability, and maintainability.

Each group reviewed the currently available sensor and control capabilities for fossil systems and representative R&D projects funded by the ISCS Program. Following this review, in facilitated sessions the groups brainstormed on the following topics:

- Key barriers (technical, policy, regulatory, institutional) to achieving FE goals;
- R&D areas of opportunity to overcome these barriers;
- High-priority R&D pathways; and
- Action plans for high-priority areas, including tasks, timing, and resources; and collaborative implementation opportunities among government, industry, and academia.

1.4 WORKSHOP COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

Participants were asked to provide comments and suggestions on the workshop scope, process, and participants.

- Additional participation from users would provide much-needed insight into specific requirements under real-life operating conditions.
- The fossil-energy systems (combustion, gasification, fuel cells, gas turbines) of concern have some common needs but generally diverge.
- University research and vendors personnel in particular need specific requirements to drive new applications. This would also improve cross-fertilization from other sensor applications (e.g., automotive).

Section **2.0** PLENARY SESSION

2.1 OVERVIEW OF THE ISCS PROGRAM

Robert R. Romanosky AR Power Systems Product Manager National Energy Technology Laboratory U.S. Department of Energy

2.2 NANOSCIENCE – THE EXPANDING BOUNDARIES OF A SHRINKING WORLD

John C. Miller Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences Office of Basic Energy Sciences U.S. Department of Energy

2.3 CHEMICAL SENSORS BASED ON CARBON NANOTUBES

John Cummings Nanomix, Inc.

2.4 RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN SENSORS AND MICRO ANALYTICAL SYSTEMS

Ron Manginell Principal Member of the Technical Staff MicroAnalytical Systems Department Sandia National Laboratories

2.1 OVERVIEW OF THE ISCS PROGRAM

Robert R. Romanosky AR Power Systems Product Manager National Energy Technology Laboratory U.S. Department of Energy





NETL's Mission

 Resolve the environmental, supply, and reliability constraints of producing and using fossil resources to provide Americans with a stronger economy, healthier environment, and more secure future.

Power Systems Advanced Research

- Extend state of knowledge in fossil energy technology by supporting development and deployment of innovative systems capable of improving efficiency and environmental performance while reducing costs.
- Ingenuity, innovation and implementation

Vision 21

•Effectively remove environmental concerns associated with the use of fossil fuels for producing electricity and transportation fuels at competitive costs.



NETL







PROGRAM	<u>FY 2001</u> <u>APPR</u>	<u>FY 2002</u> <u>APPR</u>	<u>FY 2003</u> REQ/REV	
AR				
Coal Utilization Science	6.3	6.3	7.9	
Bioprocessing	1.4	1.4	1.4	
 University Coal Research 	3.0	3.0	4.0	
Materials	7.0	7.0	9.0	
Comp. Energy Sciences	3.0	5.0	5.0	
• HBCU	1.0	1.0	1.5	
Total AR	25.5	23.6	28.8	
Advanced Metallurgical	5.2	5.2	6.0	
TOTAL ADVANCED	30.1	28.0	34.8	
Processes	30.1 <i>r</i> ; Environmental Ac	28.0	34.8 and Economic Anal ell Research.	ysis;





























		Vision 21 Techno	ology l	Roadmap	
Goals	E	fficiency Environmental		Cost	Timing
S	ensors	Component performance Real time plant performance Equipment health		W ated with technology le cost	0-5 year goals 5-10 year goals 10-15 year goals
Barriers		Program & Support Fragmented markets Treated as add on items		General To • Limited & con accessibility • Harsh operati • Materials limit	ing conditions
Approach	0-5 Years As	entify sensor needs and requirements tend sensor development program sess state-of-the-art sensors and identify gaps vise priority needs	0-5 Years	Focus on in-situ, real t hardened sensors Develop sensors base technologies	
	Years • Depla	monstrate new sensors technology in operatin ants monstrate new sensors technology in Vision 2 poport Vision 21 plant design and operation	5-10 Years	Continue supporting d Test and incorporate n control systems	evelopment of sensors lew sensors into advanced
	Years ac	tivities sess the payback	10-15 Years		





N. T	Future Projects	2010
Near-Term	2005	2010
Program Support • Define process control needs • Evaluate state-of-the-art control technologies • Direct plant and component development programs toward intelligently controllable systems	 Direct development of components and plants to leverage advanced control and predictive maintenance Update program to reflect new plant needs and technology development 	Demonstrate innovative process control technologies
Sensor Development Identify sensor needs and requirements Extend sensor development program Assess state-of-the-art sensors and identify gaps 	Revise priority needs Demonstrate new sensors technology in operating plants	 Demonstrate new sensors technology in Vision 2 Support Vision 21 plant design and operation activities Assess the payback
Control Development • Focus on in-situ, real time, fast response, field hardened sensors • Develop sensors based on new concepts and technologies	Continue supporting development of sensors Test and incorporate new sensors into advanced control systems	Continue supporting development and sensor demonstration projects
Address Product	Areas Technology Need	l and Availability
Gasification and Advanced Combustion	Turbines	Fuel Cells
 Temperature Fuel / air ratio control Robust sensors Feed flow and analysis Particle sensing Mercury Standardized signaling Alkali monitor 	 Temperature Particulate Fuel ratio / burner balancing Pressure pulsation Thermal barrier coating Fast sensors and actuators Control algorithms for combustion instability 	 Sensors for catalyst or anode protection Gas Sensors: Flow Diagnostic tools for fuel cell manufacturing and operation Other needs under discovery











2.2 NANOSCIENCE – THE EXPANDING BOUNDARIES OF A Shrinking World

John C. Miller Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences Office of Basic Energy Sciences U.S. Department of Energy







The National Nanotechnology Initiative Addressing both scientific frontiers and national needs		
Sep 1998	The Interagency Working Group on Nanoscience, Engineering, and Technology (IWGNSET) formed by the NSTC. The IWG meets monthly.	
	Participating agencies: NSF, DOE, DOD, NIH, NASA, DOC/NIST and later also CIA, DOJ, DOS, DOT, DOTreas, EPA, NRC, USDA	
Aug 1999	The IWG releases National Nanotechnology Initiative (NNI) report after extensive input from the scientific community	
Aug-Nov 1999	BES reports Complex Systems: Science for the 21 st Century http://www.sc.doe.gov/production/bes/complexsystems.htm Nanoscale Science, Engineering and Technology Research Directions http://www.sc.doe.gov/production/bes/nanoscale.html	
Sep-Oct 1999	The six principal agencies brief OMB and a PCAST panel charged to the review the proposed NNI	
Feb 2000	The NNI is initiated as part of the FY 2001 budget request	
Fall 2001- Spring 2002	National Academy of Sciences reviews the NNI activities	



National Nan (NNI Coordination	notechnology Initiative n Office compilation, as of 1/18/02)
(Do	FY 2003 ollars in millions)
NSF DOD DOE*	
All other ager TOTAL	ncies 117.4 L NNI 678.7
	for synchrotron light source and facility operations and beamlines




The picture of science I have portrayed -- and I am aware that it is only part of science, but an important part -- has immediate implications and challenges for science policy.

First, there is the need to fund the enabling machinery for exploring the frontier of complexity. Some of this machinery is expensive, such as the great x-ray sources operated by the Department of Energy, or the Spallation Neutron Source. Even the computing power required at the frontier is expensive and not yet widely available to investigators.

Second is the desirability of funding research in the fields that benefit from the atomic level visualization and control of functional matter. They fall into the two categories of organic and inorganic. We call them biotechnology and nanotechnology. I like to think of biotechnology as organic nanotechnology.

Third, there is the very serious problem of the inadequacy of resources to exploit all the new opportunities that now lie before us along the vast frontier of complexity. The need for choice, and for wise allocation of resources to seize the most advantage for society from our leadership in these fields, is a strong motivation for better planning and management of the nation's science enterprise.



Date	Activity	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002		
1999-present	BESAC reviews NSRC concept and develops philosophy for their establishment					
December 2000	Proposals for NSRCs received	ANL, BNL, LBNL ORNL, SNL/LANL write and submit proposals				
April 2001	Mail peer review and panel review of proposals from ANL, BNL, LBNL, ORNL, and SNL/LANL to establish CD0 (Justification of Mission Need)		LBNL, ORNL, SNL/LANL receive CD0 approval (6/13/01)			
December 2001	Lehman review of Conceptual Design Reports (CDR) for LBNL, ORNL, and SNLLANL using both a cost, schedule, scope, & construction management review team and a scientific review team. Scientific review team considers comments from the April 2001 review and from BESAC.			ORNL CDR approved. PED ar construction funding requeste for FY 03. CDI signed (2/22/02 allowing use of PED funds. LBNL and SNL/LANL requeste to do additional work before CDR is approved. Based on review, CDI expected in May 2002, allowing use of PED fund during last quarter of FY 02. PED funding, but no construction funding, request for FY 03.		
February 2002	Mail peer review of resubmitted proposals from ANL and BNL to establish CD0					
April 2002			Lehman re-review of CDRs for LBNL and SNL/LANL using cost, schedule, scope, & construction management review team only			



























DOE Missions and Nanoscience/Nanotechnology Activities

Science

 Fundamental understanding of materials at the nanoscale, ultimately to create materials with novel properties and functions in support of other DOE missions.

National security

- NNSA has a strong interest in nanoscale S&T, which led DP and BES to establish the "Nanoscience Network" to jointly fund research at NNSA and SC laboratories. Three topics were selected for support based on joint peer review for scientific quality and relevance: nanoscale tribology and micromechanics; tailored nanostructures; and nanostructural photonics.
- One of three BES Nanoscale Science Research Centers is the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies, which is jointly administered by LANL and SNL.
- BES funds nanoscale science research programs at LANL and SNL in nanoscale electronic materials.





- > Radiation tolerant materials
- Nanostructures that selectively bind and concentrate radionucleotides, thereby lowering waste
- disposal costs

BES NNI Research Areas

Experimental Condensed Matter Physics

- Structure and cooperative interactions of nanostructured materials Optical, electronic and magnetic properties of nanostructures, including quantum dots, nanoscale particulate assemblies and lithographically-produced nanoarrays

Theoretical Condensed Matter Physics

- Optical properties and confinement effects of quantum dots and arrays of quantum dots
- Fundamentals of charge, spin, and thermal transport in nanostructures (with leads), including nanowires, quantum dots and quantum dot arrays

Structure and Composition of Materials

Structure and composition of materials Characterization and modeling including high-resolution electron, neutron and photon based techniques; nanoscale structures and their evolution - hetero-interfaces, grain boundaries, precipitates, dopants and magic- and nano-clusters; development of experimental characterization tools to understand, predict, and control nanoscale phenomena

Physical Behavior of Materials

Response of nanostructured materials to external stimuli such as temperature, electromagnetic fields, concentration gradients, and the proximity of surfaces or interfaces; electronic effects at interfaces, magnetism of nanoscale particles, local chemical and transport processes, and phase transformations

Mechanical Behavior of Materials

Mechanical behavior of nanostructured composite materials; radiation induced defect cascades and amorphization; theoretical and computational models linking nanoscale structure to macro-scale behavior

Synthesis and Processing

Synthesis mechanisms that control nanostructure and behavior of nanostructured materials; self-assembly of alloys, ceramics and composites; process science of nanostructured materials for enhanced behavior including thin film architectures, nanostructured toughening of ceramics, and dopant profile manipulation

Materials Chemistry

- Organic and polymeric nanoscale systems: synthesis, modeling, characterization and function
- Functionalized nanostructures and nanotubes, polymeric and organic spintronics, protein nanotube-based electronic materia and other biomolecular materials, organic-inorganic arrays and nanocomposites, organic neutral radical conductors u •rials

- <u>Catalysis and Chemical Transformations</u> Reactivity of nanoscale metal and metal oxide particles and development of tools to characterize and manipulate such properlies
- Chemical reactivity with nanoscale organic-inorganic hybrids

Chemical Separations and Analysis

Terrical separations and Analysis Electric field enhancement at nanoscale surfaces and probes for surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy and near-field microscopy; fundamental physics and chemistry in laser-material interactions to support chemical analysis; nanoscale self-assembly and templating for ultimate application in ion recognition and metal sequestration

Photochemistry

Fundamentals of electron transfer at interfaces between nanoscale materials and molecular connectors

Materials Engineering

System performance across different length scale in the areas of energy conversion and transport (thermal, mechanical, electrical, optical, and chemical); sensing; information processing and storage; diagnostics and instrumentation

Chemical Engineering

- Effect of nanostructure on phase behavior under extreme conditions to electrochemical behavior and self assembly
- Synthetic pathways to form nanostructured materials from functionalized molecular building blocks

2.3 CHEMICAL SENSORS BASED ON CARBON NANOTUBES John Cummings Nanomix, Inc.

(Presentation not available)

Nanomix, Inc. is a small nanotechnology firm in Emeryville, California. John Cummings, a Nanomix researcher, presented a review of the company's capabilities and work in nanomaterials, specifically the design and synthesis of nanotube-based devices. The company core competencies are in three primary areas: the computational design of novel materials, the development and refinement of synthesis methods for nanomaterials, and working with product development to demonstrate applications of the novel materials.

The company is targeting two major areas for commercial applications, innovative nano-scale chemical sensors and hydrogen storage devices. The sensors would have applications in medical monitoring and diagnostics, environmental monitoring, and industrial and energy process controls. For a hydrogen economy to be a reality, safe, low-cost hydrogen storage technology is needed, and nanotube-based devices are an innovative option.

Nanomix's work with nanotubes and related structures capitalizes on both their inherent strength and their sensitivity to environmental factors. Functionalizing these structures can provide sensing capabilities, and structural manipulation such as multi-wall nanotubes can provide valuable mechanical properties. Through a combination of computational screening of candidate solutions and advanced synthesis methods, the company expects to produce both near-term and longer-term results.

2.4 RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN SENSORS AND MICRO ANALYTICAL SYSTEMS

Ron Manginell Principal Member of the Technical Staff MicroAnalytical Systems Department Sandia National Laboratories







	Market Opportunity vs. Size (Size Really Does Matter!)				
Application/ Markets	Virtual Presence	In-the-field Monitoring	Fixed Facility Monitoring		
Products	al no. or				
Technologies					
	Small	Size	Large		

































































Section **3.0** PROGRAM PORTFOLIO REVIEW

Following the plenary session, the meeting was broken into three parallel sessions. The sessions began with review of twelve representative projects supported by the Advanced Research Program. These projects are a part of NETL's program on sensor development, and were selected because they were directly related to the subject areas to be discussed in the workshop.

The review criteria has two parts. The first part is from the program-portfolio perspective. It includes relevance to the DOE programs for advanced power systems, the effectiveness in attacking the technical barriers, and potential impact. The second part is related to the individual projects, including their objectives, performance, and possible outcome. Each session has a peer review panel consisting of five experts from industry, national labs, and other research organizations. Input in the form of review sheets were also collected from general audience. Aggregate or programmatic suggestions to the NETL program were also solicited.

From the program-portfolio perspective, the reviewers generally thought most of these projects were relevant to the DOE needs for developing advanced sensors for power systems. The reviewers endorsed the idea of using sensors to improve control of power systems, and paid close attention to the response times of many proposed sensors. There were two projects that addressed using multiple sensing to measure gas components to help control the combustion process. These projects were directly responding to the findings of the previous workshop indicating that control of air: fuel ratio is critical for improving combustion performance, and that moving sensors closer to the combustion zone is desirable.

On the barriers sides, the reviewers raised most concerns about practical issues, such as particulate contamination, the interface with electronics, and packaging. These areas need to be improved. For example, although SiC materials can operate at elevated temperatures, reviewers had concerns about whether the wiring and packaging will function well at such high temperatures. The H2O effect on SiC at high temperature is another practical issue.

The reviewers suggested NETL pay special attention to the "real-world" application issues such as particulate contamination, system-interface issues such as extraction and sampling systems and optical windows, and packaging that will allow advanced sensors to survive in harsh environments over useful time-frames.

Some suggested that NETL establish a test protocol that will encompass these concerns, and thus aid developers in targeting and achieving critical fossil-energy system goals. Reviewers also suggested that NETL support other emerging and competing technologies, including nanotechnology, silicon-on-insulator, wave-based, and optical measurement technologies.

The following sections present the abstracts for the 12 projects.

3.1 DEVELOPMENT OF GAS SENSORS

• Development of Silicon Carbide Devices for Harsh Environments

Ruby N. Ghosh Center for Sensor Materials Michigan State University East Lansing, MI 48824

Silicon carbide based devices have enormous potential as chemical sensors for control and emissions applications in energy plants. Unlike silicon, silicon carbide (SiC) Is a wide bandgap semiconductor, which enables electronic device operation at temperatures in excess of 900°C. In addition SiC is chemically stable in reactive ambients. We are investigating SiC metal-oxide-semiconductor (MOS) capacitors with catalytically active refractory metal gates as gas sensors in these harsh, high temperature environments. The response of catalytic gate SiC sensors, operating at elevated temperature, to hydrogen containing species is poorly understood. From in situ electronic measurements of the SiC sensors in a controlled gaseous environment we have discovered that there are two independent phenomena that lead to hydrogen transduction following dehydrogenation at the heated catalytic gate. First is the chemically induced shift in the metal/ semiconductor work function difference, which is the "classic" phenomena observed in room temperature silicon based devices. Secondly, at temperatures above 500° C, there is the passivation/creation of charged states at the oxide/semiconductor interface upon switching between reducing and oxidizing environments. MOS capacitance sensors typically operate in constant capacitance mode. These results affect sensor sensitivity since the slope of the capacitance-voltage curve changes dramatically with gas exposure at high temperature. In addition, we discuss how the choice of capacitance set point determines the time response and reliability of SiC MOS capacitors operating as hydrogen

Combustion Flue Gas Monitor Based on Semiconducting Metal Oxide Sensors Technology

Brent Marquis Director of Research Engineering Sensor Research & Development Corporation Orono, ME 04473

Sensor Research and Development Corporation (SRD) has been developing a sensor system for the detection and measurement of flue gas constituents generated in coal-fired power plants through a DOE contract. This sensor system is based on semiconducting metal oxide (SMO) film technology. SMO films can be operated as "chemiresistive" type sensors by measuring each film's electrical resistance while gases chemically react with its surface. The sensor's response "signal" results from the donation or withdrawal of electrons to or from the SMO film caused by these chemical reactions (oxidation/reduction, "redox" reactions). The magnitude and signature of the "signal" are proportional to the change in film resistance and indicative of the gas types and concentrations present. Unlike the current measurement systems being used to analyze flue gas emissions, SMO sensors are small, inexpensive, mechanically and thermally robust, and capable of *in situ* real-time monitoring even in harsh uncontrolled environments, such as flue gas streams. SMO sensors are proven reliable, highly sensitive (<parts per million (ppm)), and quantitative. However, SMO sensor technology requires further research and development efforts to selectively detect and measure flue gases including nitric oxide (NO), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), ammonia (NH₃), hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO₂), etc.

SRD has been investigating several strategies to improve the selectivity and reliability of the SMO sensors including a variety of chemical (e.g., acid/base oxides, catalysts, pretreatment, operating temperature) and physical (e.g., grain size and geometry) configurations, processing the gas stream before it is allowed to contact the sensor surface (e.g., permeable membranes and in-line prefilters), and characterization of response kinetics of gases reacting with the sensor surface (e.g., response signatures, rates of response and recovery, direction of response, statistical techniques).

SRD presents the results from testing many metal oxide materials to NO, NO₂, NH₃, SO₂, and H₂S, as well as other flue gas interferents including CO, CO₂, methane (CH₄), and C₂H₄ (ethylene) ranging from 1-200 ppm while operating the sensors at temperatures between 100-500°C. The sensor to sensor reproducibility, response repeatability, response kinetics, baseline and response stability, and long-term performance are discussed, followed by the next steps necessary in the development of an SMO-based flue gas monitor.

Advanced Solid-State Sensor Technology for Vision 21 Systems

¹C.D. Stinespring and ²K. Meehan ¹C. Peng and ³A. Woodworth

¹Department of Chemical Engineering West Virginia University Morgantown, WV 26506-6102

²Bradley Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Virginia Institute of Technology Blacksburg, VA 24062

³Department of Physics West Virginia University Morgantown, WV 26506

The goal of this research is the development of a two-dimensional gas species and temperature sensor array based on silicon carbide technology. To accomplish this goal, the research addresses several key issues relating to device design and fabrication that currently limit existing silicon carbide sensors. Successful completion of this work will allow the integration of robust gas and temperature sensors to provide real-time on-line monitoring and control of Vision 21 systems. During the first year, work has focused on two major areas. The first involves an investigation of the deposition of SiC epilayers and thermal stabilization metal-SiC interfaces. Both of these are critical steps in the sensor fabrication process. The second research area involves sensor design and fabrication.

The deposition of 3C-SiC epilayers on Si (100) substrates using gas source molecular beam epitaxy (GSMBE) has been demonstrated. Si rather than SiC was chosen as the substrate for these initial studies to avoid substrate preparation issues in the early stages of the work. These substrate issues for SiC are being addressed in separately funded research at WVU. Subsequently, studies investigating the deposition and thermal stability of the Pd/SiC and Pd/SiO₂/SiC have been preformed for 6H-SiC (0001) substrates. These studies are continuing and structures are now being prepared for electrical characterization.

Based upon previous research, both published and carried out at WVU, a Schottky diode structure has been adopted for the gas sensor and a p-n diode for the temperature sensor. Modeling analyses have been performed to determine the optimal doping concentrations to minimize leakage currents and maximize the change in voltage at a constant current of a Schottky diode over a temperature range from room temperature to 573 K. Higher temperature operation is possible. However, the temperature range was constrained initially to allow the use of contact metallizations that have already been developed at WVU. Fabrication of prototype devices will be initiated shortly.

• Fiber Optical Micro-Detectors for Oxygen Sensing

Gregory L. Baker and Ruby N. Ghosh Department of Chemistry and Center for Sensor Materials Michigan State University East Lansing, MI 48824

Fiber optic oxygen probes are well-suited for development as micro-sensors for oxygen levels in power plant exhaust ambients, but existing sensors either lack the thermal stability needed to sample power plant exhausts or respond too slowly to be useful for real-time control of combustion. We recently designed and tested at room temperature a fiber sensor based on the quenching of luminescence from Mo_6Cl_{12} clusters embedded in a highly permeable polymer. The sensor is capable of detecting oxygen in the 0.1% to 20% range with an intrinsic response time estimated to be 1 second. Unlike the active agents used in most oxygen sensors, the MO_6Cl_{12} clusters are stable at high temperatures and can be the basis of a high temperature oxygen sensor. We plan to extend our previous results to 600°C by replacing its organic components with inorganic materials that have superior thermal stability. In particular, we will replace the polymer used in the room temperature version of the sensor with porous sol-gel matrix. Because of the inertness of the clusters, there should be no chemical interferences with the matrix, and no effect of the matrix on the optical properties of the cluster. High temperature stability issue will be first addressed by characterizing the stability and optical properties of thin sol-gel films deposited on planar fused silica substrates, and then moving from planar

substrates to fibers. Long term stability tests will be used to examine possible sensor fatigue and fouling.

3.2 Emissions Measurement

♦ A Cavity Ring-Down Mercury Continuous Emission Monitor

Christopher Carter Sensor Research & Development Corporation 65 Orono, ME 04473

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announced in December of 2000 that it would regulate mercury (Hg) emissions form coal-fired power plants under Title III of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. The EPA plans to finalize these regulations by December 2004 and require compliance by December 2007. Assuring compliance with these EPA regulations will require a real-time, accurate, continuous emission monitor (CEM).

Sensor Research & Development Corporation was awarded a Department of Energy contract for the development of a novel mercury CEM based on the technique of Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy (CRD). CRD is a measurement of the rate of absorption of a sample within a closed optical cavity, rather than the standard measurement of the absorbed signal strength over a given sample path length. It maintains much of the simplicity and advantages of atomic absorption spectroscopy, but with the potential of up to 10⁵ increase in sensitivity. This high sensitivity is a result of the extremely long effective path lengths possible (tens of kilometers) with CRD.

Typical concentrations of mercury in flue gas emissions are on the order of 1 ppbv. This low concentration present with the much higher concentration of other interfering flue gases presents a very challenging environment for monitoring. In fact the majority of available Hg-CEMs rely on extensive sample pretreatment to be able to analyze the Hg content. Often the mercury content is so low that sampling must be done over a period of time to accumulate enough mercury to detect.

CRD has been shown to work within hostile environments and multi-component gas streams. The inherent sensitivity and self-calibrating ability make CRD an idea detection method for true continuous monitoring of mercury in flue gas emissions.

We present results of mercury detection using CRD. Preliminary results of interferent gases are shown as well. Additionally, the future directions and plans for the project are presented.

• Development and Applications of Gas-Sensing Technologies to Enable Boiler Balancing

Pabir K. Dutta Center for Industrial Sensors and Measurements (CISM) The Ohio State University Columbus, OH 43210

The Center for Industrial Sensors and Measurements (CISM) at The Ohio State University and GE Reuter-Stokes (GERS), a part of GE Power Systems, will team up to develop a ceramic-based microsensor array to monitor total NO_x (0-1000 ppm), CO (0-1000 ppm) and O_2 (1-15%) within the hot zones of the burner (480-815°C) to provide feedback for burner balancing and optimization. The local state of the combustion will be determined by measuring O_2 , CO and NO_x . These gases provide a measure of the completeness of combustion as well as the main controllable pollutant (NO_x) in the combustion. The sensor design approach is centered on the appropriate choice of the sensing principle, development of novel materials and intelligent use of catalysts. For O₂ sensing, potentiometric measurements across a yttria-stabilized-zironia (YSZ) electrolyte with Ni/NiO internal reference electrode will be developed. A potentiometric design will also be the basis for a total NO_x sensor, where a catalyst filter will minimize interference of CO and convert NO/NO₂ to a total equilibrium NO_x value. CO sensing will be done with resistive titania sensors, modified by catalysts and/or pn composites to produce selectivity and sensitivity. Cross-sensitivities between sensors will be addressed by development of powerful pattern recognition schemes based on nonlinear regression methods. Individual heating systems for sensors will be designed. Optimally designed sensor arrays will be tested in coal-fired power plants and improvements over current technology will be demonstrated.

• Development of On-Line Instrumentation and Techniques to Detect and Measure Particulates

Sheng Wu, Wong Chu Tang, William A. Goddard California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125

A method for non-intrusive particulate matter (PM) monitoring is proposed here. Traditional PM analysis are usually invasive and time consuming, i.e., they require PM collection process which distort the real time, *in situ* PM distribution significantly.

With the rapid development of low cost, ultra compact solid state lasers in recent years, we plan to use lasers with multi colors and peak intensities to probe the PM in hazardous environment, and monitor the scattering at limited fixed angular positions. We use super computers to simulate the electro-magnetic field scattering, using Mie theory for elastic scattering, and Raman and surface harmonic generation for inelastic scattering. Theoretical results will be compared to experimental data. A knowledge base will be constructed to characterize PM in real time. This setup promises easier, instantaneous data for the PM size distribution and rough chemical composition. This study will provide new insight into the elastic Mie scattering with multicolor lasers. It will also
yield new theoretical and experimental results about inelastic scattering processes of single PM particles, such as Raman and surface harmonic optical generation.

• Multifunctional (NO_x/O₂/CO) Solid State Sensor for Coal Combustion Control

Eric D. Wachsman Department of Materials Science and Engineering University of Florida Gainesville, FL 32611-6400

We have developed solid-state sensor technology that can provide an inexpensive, rugged, solid-state device capable of measuring the concentration of multiple species (such as NO and CO) in combustion exhaust. These small ($<1 \text{ cm}^2$) simple potentiometric (voltage output) sensors are sensitive to each of these gasses and can be readily combined on a single chip to provide a multifunctional (NO_x, CO, O₂) sensor. This technology is the basis for a low cost, simplified way to meet emissions monitoring regulations. In addition, this type of sensor can be used to improve combustion control, resulting in both improved fuel utilization and reduced emissions.

Our sensor technology is similar to that used in conventional automotive O_2 sensors and thus can be used directly in high temperature exhaust. However, both the sensing and reference electrode are in the same gas stream, significantly reducing fabrication costs. The major technical challenge to developing and commercializing low-cost, solid-state, electrochemical sensors for emissions monitoring is attaining the necessary gas selectivity. Specifically, the sensors must exhibit a highly selective response to ppm levels of NO_x and CO in the presence of percent levels of O_2 .

We have developed an innovative scientific approach "Differential Electrode Equilibria" that provides the necessary sensor selectivity. We have demonstrated that this approach provides the selectivity to measure, for example, ppm levels of NO with high sensitivity in lean-burn (13-17% O_2) exhaust gas. Moreover, due to the selectivity of our sensor the O_2 concentration can vary over a wide range with negligible effect on our sensors response to NO concentration. In addition, our sensor provides rapid (~1 s) reversible response to fluctuations in gas concentration.

Since we have already demonstrated this approach works for NO the goals of our proposed research are to: advance the fundamental understanding of this approach by applying it to the development of selective NO₂, CO and O₂ electrode elements; fabricate and test a multifunctional (NO_x, CO, O₂) sensor; and develop a miniature low-cost multifunctional (NO_x, CO, O₂) sensor prototype for evaluation by commercial/industrial companies. To further this latter goal we have teamed with GE Power Systems to field test our sensors in their boiler balancing system. In achieving these goals we will both advance the science of solid-state electrochemical sensors as well as bring closer to commercialization a device that will result in both improved fuel utilization and reduced emissions from coal combustion.

3.3 COMBUSTION MEASUREMENT AND CONTROL

• High-Temperature Solids Velocity Probe

Esmail R. Monazam Christopher M. Condon REM Engineering Services, PLLC Morgantown, WV 26505

An innovative laser probe system can now accurately measure the instantaneous velocity and direction of particles moving through a specific region of 1100°C Circulating Fluidized Bed (CFB). The probe consists of a laser beam projector and a maneuverable conduit that is inserted through the wall of a pneumatic transport pipe to illuminate a precise sample volume in the material flow. The probe projects a pattern of four laser beams into a tiny region just ahead of the probe conduit causing a reflection to be generated as a particle passes through each beam. Reflected laser energy is collected by a lens focused on a narrow region of the laser beams and coupled into a fiber line. An Avalanche Photo Diode (APD) then converts the reflected energy into an electronic waveform. A program written in LabVIEW G utilizing signal processing techniques computes the particle's physical attributes from this resultant waveform. This probe accurately measures velocity, direction and size of individual moving particles, something not performed by previous designs, then displays and records these results in an Excel format for historical analysis.

• Carbon Monitor for Coal and Fly Ash

Robert Weber and Andy Suby Iowa State University Ames, IA 50011

The Microwave Excited Photoacoustic Effect (MEPA) is being used at Iowa State University to develop an instrument to determine the percent carbon in fly ash. Three test instruments are being evaluated. The first test instrument is a single microwave frequency system previously constructed to measure photo-acoustic signals in an off-line configuration. A second instrument is being constructed based in part on lessons learned with the first instrument, but which also expands the capabilities of the first instrument. Improvements include a control loop to allow more constant microwave power output and an ability to operate over a range of microwave frequencies. The third instrument will be designed based on the experiences of the first two instruments and will operate in an on-line carbon-in-ash monitoring system for coal-fired power plants.

Various parameters affect the magnitude of the photoacoustic effect. The parameters that are known are being observed and controlled to minimize the statistical variance of the detected signal. Some of these parameters include test cell filling volume, compaction of fly ash within the test cell, and carrier modulation frequencies. These parameters are currently being tested in the single frequency off-line test cell. Data are presented showing the feasibility of the instrument.

In addition, tests will soon be started to measure the MEPA under predominately electric fields only and under predominately magnetic fields only. These later tests are being conducted to see if some discrimination can be made between the measured signals and magnetic versus non-magnetic material in the fly ash.

Sensor Development for Next-Generation Turbines

Jimmy Thornton, Doug Straub, David Huckaby, Ben Chorpening, Kent Casleton, Geo Richards U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Morgantown, WV 26505

Kelly Benson Woodward Governor Company Fort Collins, CO 80525

The guiding principles of advanced power generation into the next century are defined in the Department of Energy's Vision 21 program. Advanced power systems for the 21st century will need to operate at near zero emissions with greater efficiencies on coal, and other fuels, and at an economic cost that is lower than for the present state-of-the-art systems. To meet the target NO_x levels of Vision 21, even natural gas fired turbines must operate with a finely controlled fuel/air ratio, near the lean extinction limit. In practice, changes in flow splits caused by manufacturing tolerances or engine wear can compromise emissions performance. Furthermore, unexpected changes in fuel composition, or momentary changes in fuel delivery can lead to problems with flame anchoring. These type of problems are amplified for Vision 21 systems that will likely be a "hybrid" design that combines two or more power technologies into an integrated power generation system (e.g., Fuel Cell-Turbine Hybrid). Regardless of the application, low emissions combustion technologies capable of meeting the Vision 21 goals require narrower operating limits and tighter controls. Furthermore, the development of combustion *in situ* monitoring capability, that can facilitate these performance goals, is identified as a critical need for current advanced turbine systems and future fuel-flexible turbine (e.g., syngas) technology.

Researchers at the National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) and Woodward Industrial Controls are developing a durable, low-cost, *in situ* monitoring techniques for control and diagnostics of natural gas combustion systems. This technique is based on the flow of electrical current through a hydrocarbon flame resulting from an applied electric field across the flame and the flame ionization reactions. The novel technique developed at NETL uses a sensor configuration that integrates two electrically isolated electrodes onto the premixing fuel injector center-body, near the tip and the combustion flame anchor. An equal voltage is applied to the two electrodes to create an electric field across the flame, and the current flowing to the remaining combustor surfaces is measured. Prototype sensor tests in NETL combustion rigs have demonstrated the potential of this two-electrode configuration to facilitate *in situ* monitoring for both diagnostics (e.g., *incipient* flashback and auto-ignition detection) and control of the local combustion process. NETL recently signed licensing agreements for the Combustion Control and Diagnostics Sensor (CCADS) patents with Woodward Industrial Controls, an independent manufacturer of controls and fuel systems for gas turbines. Researchers at NETL are working with Woodward through a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement to address R&D issues associated with commercializing the CCADS technique.

Computational Fluid Dynamics Investigation of Furnace Operational Conditions to Burner Flow Controls

Mark Cremer Reaction Engineering International Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Cyrus Taft, Paul Wolff, Robert Frank EPRI I&C Center Harriman, TN 37748

Richard Brown EPRI Palo Alto, Ca 94303

In the age of deregulation and concerns over boiler emissions, the electric utility industry has been moving toward installation of improved methods of burner flow measurement and control to optimize combustion. As utilities consider strategies for measuring and controlling air and coal flows, a critical question that must be answered is what level of control is required to achieve "optimal" combustion. The sensitivity of parameters, such as NO_x and CO emissions, and LOI, to burner flows is likely dependent on furnace geometry, the specific burner location, load, as well as firing configuration. The investigation of these sensitivities through bench or pilot scale testing can be extremely time-consuming and expensive, and the amount of information acquired through such testing is often limited. Testing these sensitivities in full scale boilers is difficult due to the dynamic nature of boiler operation, which precludes the well-controlled testing warranted in this type of investigation.

This abstract provides an overview of the objectives and plans of a new DOE funded project that will focus on quantifying the impacts of variations in burner air and coal flows on furnace operational conditions. The approach is to use computational fluid dynamics (CFD) based models of existing coal fired boilers, parametrically varying burner air and coal flows to predict the impacts on furnace behavior such as NO_x and CO emissions, furnace exit gas temperature, unburned carbon in the fly ash, and particulate deposition. CFD modeling provides a strategy for investigation of these sensitivities under well controlled conditions. We will utilize REI's three dimensional, multiphase, turbulent reacting flow code *GLACIER* to perform the analyses. Plans are to evaluate two tangentially-fired, two wall-fired, and one cyclone-fired furnace. The particular boilers to be evaluated in this program will be selected from approximately 100 utility boilers that have been previously simulated by REI. The results from these evaluations will provide information necessary to make recommendations on: 1) whether independent controls should be placed on all burners, or whether multiburner controls are adequate, and 2) what variations around set points are tolerable. REI will work closely with personnel from the EPRI Instrumentation and Controls (I&C) Center to construct the matrix of parametric variations to consider for each furnace to maximize the value of the recommendations to DOE NETL as well as industry.

Section **4.0**

BREAKOUT-GROUP SESSIONS

4.1 DEVELOPMENT OF ADVANCED GAS SENSORS/SYSTEMS

Introduction

To facilitate understanding of the demanding conditions faced by fossil-energy sensor systems, clear definitions of specific needs and materials prioritization from the user community are essential. This is particularly true for potential researchers from outside the fossilenergy R&D world. Accordingly, the participant from Southern Companies offered a detailed measurement list that was accepted into the record by the group and is attached as an example of specific user requirements. An activity that would enhance future user-researcher interactions in this area would be industry surveys to enumerate needs and priorities from as broad a user community is possible.

Numerous other crosscutting issues were examined by the group. Foremost was the idea of exploring the use of cheap, redundant sensors that could be "consumed" as an alternative approach to focusing on long-term sensor survivability and RAM (reliability, availability, and

Participants Gas Sensors/Systems

NAME	Organization
Sheikh Akbar	CISM/Ohio State University
Tim Armstrong	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Zhong-Ying Chen	SAIC
John Cumings	Nanomix, Inc.
Don Gardner	Jacobs Sverdrup/AEDC Group
Ruby Ghosh	Michigan State University
Bob Glass	Lawrence Livermore National Lab
Chris Holt	NexTech Materials, Ltd.
Jimmy L. Horton	Southern Company Services
Paul Jalbert	Jacobs Sverdrup/AEDC Group
Robert P. Lucht	Purdue University
Ron Manginell	Sandia National Laboratories
Brent Marquis	Sensor Research & Development Corp.
Kathleen Meehan	Virginia Tech
Walt Merrill	Glennan Microsystems Initiative
John Miller	U.S. DOE-Office of Science
Peter Muchunas	U.S. DOE-FE/40
Bob Romanosky	NETL
John Steichen	Dupont Company
Charter Stinespring	West Virginia University
Bruce Warmack	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Robert J. Weber	Iowa State University
James Whetstone	National Institute of Standards & Tech.
Vincent Wnuk*	HPI
Andrew Woodworth	West Virginia University
Wen-Ching Yang	Siemens Westinghouse Power Corp.
* Report Out Presenter	

FACILITATOR: KEVIN MOORE, ENERGETICS, INCORPORATED

maintainability) issues. Another alternative is a sensor that "loops," but this is considered a far less likely option.

There was a strong consensus that establishing a test bed for standardization with the potential to meet EPA and other regulatory requirements is an essential element of the sensor R&D process.

Application at existing sites, e.g., stack continuous emissions monitoring, is important as well. High-temperature, high-pressure prototype sensor development, experimentation, and verification are expensive, and test series to verify performance will require government support to enable consistently reliable results. Nevertheless, the economic benefits to power generators from just modestly increased efficiency could be tremendous and should be modeled, optimized, demonstrated, and publicly reported.

Barriers and Issues

Barriers feedback from the group was readily categorized under crosscutting and systems topics. The crosscutting topic has five subgroups: systems integration, economics, design architecture, testing standardization, and materials. Design architecture issues dominated, with the idea of redundant, replaceable "throwaway" sensors being an alternative to highly robust "survivable" sensors. For systems integration, packaging was a big issue. Materials barriers focused on stability issues for sensors and substrates at high temperatures and high pressure and with significant cycling requirements. Test standardization is necessary to facilitate the development and application of sensors, with specific protocols being necessary for valid testing. Systems-specific barriers were identified for systems including gasification, turbines, combustion, and fuel cells. For all barriers, the predominance of market pull over technology push is seen as a current market characteristic, and limits the adoption of new technology into practice. However, adapting technologies from other areas such as automotive applications holds promise.

Opportunities

The R&D opportunities used the same topic headers as barriers, and there was one additional topic for fuel cells. Participants prioritized opportunity needs using four votes. The voting resulted in four top priority opportunities (with number of votes and category in parenthesis) as follows:

- Materials development coupled with mechanism and modeling (13 materials),
- Shared test bed for realistic evaluation (11 testing standardization),
- Repository database for sensor performance and operating experience (8 design architecture), and
- High-temperature packaging development effort for sensors and electronics (8 systems integration).

Action Plans

The group produced lists of applications, products and critical steps for each of the four top vote getters along with needs for integration, resources, and teaming actions. In general, it is believed that an effective approach would entail DOE leading collaborations with either industry or university consortia. For the top vote getter, materials development, there was a strong emphasis on specifying and prioritizing conditions for different applications as noted above. Standard reference data (SRD) properties books and models for long-term predictability, especially for temperature cycling, would be necessary. Polling end users beforehand would facilitate a portion of the data gathering activity. Universities and labs would have the resources to compile fundamental material properties for public domain integration. Leveraging with the advanced

research materials program would be beneficial. Having a shared sensor test bed for realistic evaluation was the second top vote getter. It would need multiple applications for gasification, combustion, and fuel cells. One product should be the development of new reference methods like the automotive FTIR (Fourier Transfer Infrared) spectroscopy, and standardized protocols and open access for alpha testing would be critical. It would need to be a DOE facility with a governance board for integration and industry participation.

Similar actions were developed for the other two top vote getters. A repository database must provide clear definitions of performance targets and applications, ideally based on well defined and validated user needs. High-temperature packaging development must link to materials development above and address interfaces, thermo-mechanics, and noise implications. Specific suggestions offered including batch processing approaches to lower cost and mechanisms to accurately position sensors. A few key actions were developed for two other opportunities. Silicone carbide substrates device processing for long-term stability and increased sensitivity needs cheaper high quality substrate and new epitaxy techniques. The Departments of Defense, Energy and Commerce should work in concert to develop a pool of users for diode lasers, albeit it failed before.

POWER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT FACILITY (PSDF) WILSONVILLE, AL DESIRED IN-SITU GAS ANALYSIS MEASUREMENTS:

Transport reactor outlet fast response for O₂ breakthrough detection (<10 seconds):

Carbon Monoxide (CO)0-25%Normal 10-20%Oxygen (O2)0-5%Normal 0%Maximum pressure = 350 psig, Operating Pressure = 100-300 psig.Maximum temperature = 2,000° F, Operating Temperature = 1,200 – 1,950° FParticles = 15,000 ppmw Fly Ash (High Carbon = 25-30%)

Particulate Control Device (PCD) Outlet:

Oxygen (O ₂)	0-5%	Normal 0%						
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	0-25%	Normal 10-20%						
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	0-25%	Normal 8-15%						
Hydrogen (H ₂)	0-20%	Normal 6-10%						
Moisture (H_2O)	0-40%	Normal 5-35%						
Ammonia (NH ₃)	0-5000 ppm	Normal 1000-4000 ppm						
Hydrogen Sulfide (H ₂ S)	0-2000 ppm	Normal 300-600 ppm						
Benzene	0-500 ppm	Normal 50-200 ppm						
Naphthalene	0-500 ppm	Normal 50-200 ppm						
Maximum pressure = 350 psig, Operating Pressure = 100-300 psig								
Maximum temperature = 1200° F, Operating Temperature = $600-800^{\circ}$ F								
Particles = 0-15 ppmw Fly Ash (High Carbon = 25-30%)								

Table 4-1-1 presents the detailed results for barriers and issues, Table 4-1-2 presents the R&D opportunities, and Table 4-1-3 presents action plants.

Gas Sensors/Systems TABLE 4.1-1. WHAT ARE THE BARRIERS/ISSUES TO DEVELOPMENT OF ADVANCED GAS SENSORS/SYSTEMS?

			Systems			
Systems Integration	ECONOMICS	Testing Standardized	DESIGN ARCHITECTURE	MATERIALS	TURBINE	FUEL CELL
 Sampling, packaging Wireless Lead wires, signal processing Common sensor information interface definition Adaptability Size Feedback Connectivity, information protocol, and packaging High temperature/ harsh environment capable, cost effective packaging High temperature/harsh environment capable, integrated electronics 	 Limited market opportunity (no incentive: fuel cell, turbine, gasification) Market pull not technology push Determine breakeven (cost) point Adapt technology from automotive How do we do it? 	 Common testing protocols Build-in test Do we have O² available in gas stream Testing in real world conditions – test bed Temperature range (post combustion) Relevant gases – Levels Sample rate 	 Combustion systems Issue: Range of sensor required for development is wider than range of controls end-use application Optical access to systems for laser, e.g., windows Multi-sensor platform and algorithm for post-processing data to remove "noise" temperature, pressure, etc. variations Barrier traditional thinking 	 High temperature high pressure material considerations Metals Semicoi Stable material for high temperature (>900°C) applications Price of substrate (SiC) material New catalyst materials for sensors for higher selectivity Poisons and interferants in fuels and exhaust Thin film metal agglomeration in sensors (HT) Temperature swings, cycles SIL – long term stability of sensor/substrate material Different chemical reactions between gas species and sensor depending on temperature Fundamental nanotechnology research and materials modeling to develop new sensor materials 	 Temperature of operation NO_x Materials – inlet 2600°F Selective sensors Arrays and modeling High temperature materials Membrane and sampling 	 In situ sensors for high temperature (>600°C-1000°C) and chemically harsh – both reducing and oxidizing-fuel cell environments and maybe 40,000 hour life time Fuel cell/cost cutting SOFC – cost/RAM Materials/fabrication GASIFICATION Ultimate 500 PSIG/ 2000°F reducing Harsh environment has: Particulates Deposits Etc. In situ NH₃ and H₂S measurements for gasifier Corrosive environments Limits lifetime/ performance

Gas Sensors/Systems

TABLE 4.1-2. WHAT ARE THE OPPORTUNITIES FOR DEVELOPMENT OF ADVANCED GAS SENSORS/SYSTEMS?

Systems Integration	ECONOMICS	Design architecture	TESTING Standardization	MATERIALS	FUEL CELL
 Identify existing exchange protocol and selection one or two High temperature packaging development effort OOOOOOOO High temperature harsh environment integrated package sensors and electronics Ruggedization, integration of optical sensor systems plus miniaturization Adapt from industry-packaging, communication standards O Remote detection/sensing, e.g., automotive transmission OOOOOOO Process control feedback O 	 Shared sensor manufacturing facility (vs. testing) Pool of users for diode lasers OOOOO 	 Repository data base for sensor performance and operating experience Optical spectroscopy Optical spectroscopy Optical spectroscopy Optical spectroscopy Optical spectroscopy Optical spectroscopy Rew diode laser tunable systems Electro-optical crystal tuning, new cavity designs Extreme redundancy ultra low cost sensors Oo New approaches to particulate sensors High temperature MEMS Oo New approaches to enhancing species selectivity Oo 	 Gasifier test bed PSDF Wilsonville, AL Shared sensor test bed for realistic evaluation OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO	 Ceramic sensors with enhanced sensitivity through nanoscale synthesis, e.g., post combustion SiC substrate and device proceeding for long term stability and enhanced sensitivity OOOOO Materials development coupled with mechanism and modeling OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO	 Electrode catalyst materials for H₂S, H_xS, S_xO_y, S_x, solid oxide electrochemical sensors

Gas Sensors/Systems TABLE 4.1-3. ACTION PLANS

Τορις	APPLICATIONS: WHAT/WHERE (think crosscutting multiple applications)	R&D PRODUCTS AND CHARACTERISTICS	CRITICAL STEPS	INTEGRATION LOGIC, ALGORITHMS, ACTUATORS, NETWORKS (THINK SYSTEMS)	RESOURCES: People, Labs, Tools, Information	TEAMING: LEAD AND COLLABORATION
Materials Development Coupled with Mechanism and Model (13 votes)	 Vision 21 Specify and prioritize conditions in different sensing applications (precombustion, fuel cells, combustion, post combustion) 	 Temperature cycling what causes failure? Database material properties SRD Standard Reference Data "properties" books Models for long term predictability 	 DOE poll end users first Get experimental data Validate the models Materials prioritization method based on end user 	 Feedback to architecture Modeling integration for Vision 21 systems Public domain availability, e.g., website 	 Fundamental material properties people, universities and labs 	 University, Labs, Industry, DOE lead Materials workshop fundamental properties End user developer consortia \$1 million/yr leverage with AR materials \$5 million per year
Shared Sensor Test Bed (11 votes)	 Multiple test beds Combustion Fuel cell Gasification Combustion, fuel stream, exhaust 	 Feedback to DOE for current applications Experience regarding sensor instrumenta- tion and feedback controls Development of new reference methods like Automotive Fourier Transfer Infrared (FTIR) 	 Standardized protocols Open access for alpha testing Provide standard instrumentation e.g., optical access port where possible 	 Confidential results Teaming with controls and instrumentation Governance board 	 DOE funded facility Investigate SAE 131 standards NIST validate reference standard Facility calibration history, response surface 	 DOE/industry leads Access for SBIR and academia
Repository Data Base for Sensor Performance (8 votes)	•	 Clear definition of performance targets for pertinent applications e.g., zero emissions in V21 Clear definitions of applications now and future Number of sensors required 	 Poll industry end users 	 EPA "battle" validation Incorporate Failure mode applications Redundancy Reliability 	 Use sensors for existing applications, e.g., stack continuous emissions monitoring (CEM) 	DOE/university consortia lead

Gas Sensors/Systems TABLE 4.1-3. ACTION PLANS (CONTINUED)

Τορις	APPLICATIONS: WHAT/WHERE (think crosscutting multiple applications)	R&D PRODUCTS AND CHARACTERISTICS	CRITICAL STEPS	INTEGRATION LOGIC, Algorithms, Actuators, Networks (Think Systems)	RESOURCES: PEOPLE, LABS, TOOLS, INFORMATION	TEAMING: LEAD AND COLLABORATION
High Temperature Packaging Development Effort (8 votes)		 How interface, DC in, information out Thermomechanics 	 Link to material properties data base Determine means to fix – solder position sensor Technology to lower cost, e.g., batch processing Long term stability of package Determine maximum temperature for sensor location 	 Current systems approach Look at noise applications, e.g., thermocouple Black body radiation 	 Evaluate data base based on refractory materials Small group to start 	 NASA/University consortia lead
SiC substrate and Device Processing for Long Term Stability and Enhanced Sensitivity (5 votes)	 Mechanistic Studies 		-			
Pool of Users for Diode Lasers (5 votes)	Key Points It failed before DOD/DOE/DOC work i 	n concert to develop viable	e pool			

4.2 **Emissions MEASUREMENT**

Introduction

The assurance of compliance with regulatory requirements was the focus of the group. Given that regulations change frequently and industry must continually conform to stricter limits and targets, the group adjusted its focus to the process control of systems rather than specific downstream emissions criteria. Improvements in the process-control capabilities were seen to offer the potential to meet a wider range of requirements, providing a highervalue approach than simply focusing on specific end-of-thepipe criteria.

While process control became the overriding theme of the session, other crosscutting issues were also

Participants Emissions Measurement

ΝΑΜΕ	ORGANIZATION						
Steve Allison	Oak Ridge National Laboratory						
Greg Baker	Michigan State University						
Bob Bedick	U.S. DOE/NETL						
Christopher Carter	Sensor R&D Corporation						
Tim Collings	GE Power Systems						
Bob DeSaro	Energy Research Co.						
Prabir Dutta	The Ohio State University						
Bill Farthing	Southern Research Institute						
Fred Glaser	U.S. DOE-FE/HQ						
Carl Palmer*	GE Reuter-Stokes						
A.C. (Paul) Raptis	Argonne National Laboratory						
Mike M. Ross	ALSTOM Power (Tech Center, UK)						
Eugene E. Smeltzer	Siemens Westinghouse Power Corp.						
Nenad Sarunac	Energy Research Center, Lehigh University						
Yongchun Tang	California Institute of Technology						
Eric Wachsman	University of Florida						
Steve Woodruff	U.S. DOE/NETL						
* Report Out Presenter	* Report Out Presenter						
FACILITATOR: LORI HOLL	IDGE, ENERGETICS, INCORPORATED						

prevalent. Of these, elucidating industry's needs was a prominent topic. Given the uncertainty of regulatory processes and requirements, industry needs are an evolving target, with the specific measurements (chemical species, frequency, accuracy, reliability) being subject to change. Whatever the specific needs, however, attributes such as greater precision, broader-spectrum measurement capability, and non-intrusive sensing can be expected to prevail. Accordingly, the development of advanced sensor and control systems are most likely to provide the flexible, broad-range capabilities needed to meet changing requirements.

Other general discussion not expressed directly on the storyboards is worth noting. The group discussed the barriers to better sensors, including system environments, changing needs, packaging, and lack of knowledge. The environment in general is adverse, including high temperatures, gases, and corrosiveness. Sensor packaging is a concern because it is often not adequate to protect sensors from damage due to fly ash and other harsh system conditions. In addition, industry would like non-invasive sensors that can be used to observe the hottest parts of the power plant system. To meet these requirements will entail a highly integrated approach among materials developers, sensor developers, and the designers and manufacturers of power systems.

For example, the lack of adequate coatings and sealants were seen as the primary reason for sub par sensing. Interfaces and bonding adjoining materials is a critical need area. For coatings,

thermal cycling fluctuations cause micro-cracks in current coatings. Despite this inadequacy, it was also suggested that existing coating materials could be used for sensor materials themselves.

The group identified cost reduction and improved reliability as probable (and critical) trends in sensor development. Other trends presented included extreme temperature capabilities and miniaturization (e.g., laser and optical sensors will be integrated into smaller packages). The group was also hopeful that the emerging physics of interactions – at the nano-scale and between the nano- and micro-scales – will provide an entirely new realm of sensing possibilities.

Barriers and Issues

Barriers were categorized into five categories: Basic Technology, Optimization Control, Emissions/EPA/Reporting, Application Issues, and Other/Commercial. Basic Technology focused on reliability, timeliness, stability, and interferences between system components. The primary themes in Application Issues were operation in harsh environments and reliability in the field. Variation in gas constituents and environments was a big issue for the group. Finally, the participants felt that emissions measurement and process control are fragmented across many other technologies, which inhibits them from being developed as a core business.

Opportunities

The opportunities were grouped into four categories: Sensors for Controls, Laboratory and Field Testing, Fundamental Research, and Policy. Participants prioritized opportunity needs using five votes. The voting resulted in five priority opportunities (with number of votes and category in parentheses):

- In-situ representative measurement of oxygen, loss on ignition (unburned carbon), nitrogen oxides, and carbon monoxide (14 Sensors for Controls);
- New concepts for sensing, including mechanisms of materials selectivity, wave technologies, acoustics, electromagnetics, and NMR (12 Fundamental Research);
- Sensors materials technology (11 Fundamental Research);
- Fund test facilities for sensors (10 Laboratory and Field Testing); and
- Specific DOE program on sampling interface issues (7 Fundamental Research).

Action Plans

The group developed a list of applications, products, critical steps, integration opportunities, resources, collaboration efforts, and cost needs for the top vote getters, as appropriate. The funding required to implement the action plans should be considered a ballpark figure. A common theme for collaboration was to include government, national labs, universities, and industry.

The opportunity with the most votes was in-situ representative measurement of O2, LOI (unburned carbon), NOx, and CO, including NOx reduction and improved combustion efficiency. Relevant to developing commercial products, critical steps would include identifying the current state-of-the-art technology, demonstration in simulated environment, and field testing. In addition, controls companies and power systems representatives should be consulted.

The group discussed that parallel projects for multiple sensors would be necessary, and estimated that it would take 5 years and \$100M to achieve a fully commercial product.

The next highest vote getter, new concepts for sensing, feeds into product development. Critical steps would include studies on existing basic principles from other applications, as well as the basic science of wave technologies, acoustics, electromagnetics, and NMR. The group felt strongly that the Basic Energy Sciences program within DOE should be consulted, and that small business should be targeted. It was estimated that each project would take around 3 years to reach the technology proof-of-concept stage, with a total of \$10M. The participants also thought it important to note that this is a high-risk area and it is likely that only one out of ten projects will be successful.

Sensors materials technology, which is necessary for product development, was the third highest vote getter. Fundamental studies on electrochemical sensors would need to be conducted, and material field tests would be important. Each project would take 2-3 years to complete within a DOE program of 4-8 years. Funding was estimated at \$20M.

Fund test facilities for sensors, receiving the fourth highest votes, would require a pilot-scale facility and test ports at existing utilities. The group also noted that it is important that when someone in the field is ready to test, the people that have a system to test need to be ready, and vice versa.

Finally, a specific DOE program on sampling interface issues would require development of methods for heating, cooling, cleaning, and access. Work could be carried out at existing test sites, and any applicable regulating agency would be involved. The group estimated that each project would require 1-2 years to complete.

Table 4-2-1 presents the detailed results for barriers and issues, Table 4-2-2 presents the R&D opportunities, and Table 4-2-3 presents the action plans.

BASIC TECHNOLOGY	Optimization	Emissions/EPA/	APPLICATION	Other/
	Control	Reporting	Issues	Commercial
 Interferences between components Selective, sensitive, stable gas sensors Inferential sensors (soft sensors) Lower cost, rugged, laser systems Fast response, rugged, inexpensive, gas sensor array Real time flame temperature sensor (gas turbines) Accurate, reliable measurement of turbine air flow The variability in gas constituents for coal derived systems – interferences The stability of materials at high temperature in corrosive environments Number of gases to monitor Miniaturization of sensors Low levels to monitor in harsh environment Need state-of-the-art of sensing technologies for emissions Response time requirements Look at others technologies, i.e., acoustics, electromagnetics, spectrum, spectroscopic, etc. Sensitivity, selectivity, calibration requirements Need selectivity in sensors that rely on oxidation chemistry (i.e., electrochemical based sensors) Need in situ, on-line NO_x sensor development for control or emissions 	 Not enough plant control parameters to tweek even with good sensor information Instruments need feedback potential to control process Point measurements vs. average value (area average, path average, etc.) Some think that sensors are only good if absolute accuracy is provable, However, relative accuracy has good use as well. 		 Sensors exposure to harsh environment (ash, SO₃, etc.) Accuracy and repeatability in field difficult to achieve Environment is difficult to achieve Environment is difficult but also varied depending upon application Slagging and/or PM accumulation on sensors Lab equipment too expensive and too difficult to use in the field Make available standardized testing facilities, e.g., DOE lab Access to "real" systems for measurement, evaluation, and testing Representative sampling in the "real world" Sensor deployment and access restrictions (existing facilities) Sensor deployment and access 	 Companies won't invest until technologies are "proven" Mindset: Typical power plants expect long life, but are used to sensors at lower temperature (e.g., 400°C O₂ sensor); An expectation problem Commercial SCR's FGD's etc. "Why bother" monitoring if I'm cleaning up at the tail end anyway Acceptance: only want to measure what is regulated Sensors for optimization need easy-to-prove \$ savings Lack of fundings from industrial partner Sensing and instrumentation is fragmented across other core technologies – not seen as core business Getting critical mass for development Conservative test engineers The market for these advanced systems is uncertain

Emissions Measurement TABLE 4.2-1. BARRIERS TO ADVANCED EMISSIONS MEASUREMENT

Emissions Measurement TABLE 4.2-2. OPPORTUNITIES TO OVERCOME THE BARRIERS © = VOTE FOR PRIORITY TOPIC

TESTING – LABORATORY AND FIELD	Ροιις	FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH	SENSORS FOR CONTROLS
 Fund test facilities for sensors OOOOOOOOOO Field tests need greater funding or reduced cost sharing burden Greater collaboration and designing Pilot-scale facility Utility to provide open test ports at their facility PM testing, optical testing, in situ gas Databases of results Selling measurement services 	 Tax incentives to modernize power-plants Make efficiency part of national energy strategy EPA should set lower emissions standards and raise penalties C EPA representative should be <u>here</u> Inducements to include utilities in process of testing Eliminate grandfather clauses DOE/industry publish definitive systems and targets for specs sensor systems COCO Instrument component manufacturers need to be incentivized to reduce cost and improve reliability for field use CO 	 Focus R&D on low cost throwaway sensors Real-time Hg sensors (speciated) Couple automotive and power plant sensor research – cross-fertilize New concepts for sensing Coococococococococococococococococococo	 Smart sensors for control and safety In situ representative measurement of O₂, LOI (unburned carbon), NO_x and CO OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO

Τορις	APPLICATIONS: WHAT/WHERE (THINK CROSSCUTTING MULTIPLE APPLICATIONS	R&D PRODUCTS AND CHARACTERISTICS	CRITICAL STEPS	INTEGRATION: LOGIC, ALGORITHM, ACTUATORS, NETWORKS (THINK SYSTEMS)	Resources: People, Labs, Tools, Information	TEAMING LEAD AND Collaboration	Dollars and Sense
Sensors Materials Technology	 Coal combustors Gas turbines All materials based parameters Reducing and oxidizing environments 	 Fundamental studies on electrochemical sensors Sensors in structures themselves Smart materials, i.e., smart sensors Develop high- temperature materials for sensors Solid-state sensors: understanding gas-solid intex Materials properties data base Include what's already available Mechanisms for selectivity (study them) understand basic science Joining and lead out technology for high temperature (look at autos) 	 Material compatibility or sensor design needs to be funded Coordinated materials testing and exposure Material field tests All materials work should be relevant to nearer-term sensor devleopment Ensure collaboration on materials issues with other program elements 	Use software to make measurements/ predictions	 ASME, ASTM Use their databases 	 Universities, national labs, federal agencies Utilities/industry in advisory role 	 4-8 years (each project 2-3 years, DOE program 4-8 years) \$20 M

Emissions Measurement TABLE 4.2-3. ACTION PLANS

Τορις	APPLICATIONS: WHAT/WHERE (THINK CROSSCUTTING MULTIPLE APPLICATIONS	R&D P RODUCTS AND CHARACTERISTICS	CRITICAL STEPS	INTEGRATION: LOGIC, ALGORITHM, ACTUATORS, NETWORKS (THINK SYSTEMS)	Resources: People, Labs, Tools, Information	TEAMING LEAD AND Collaboration	DOLLARS AND SENSE
Fund Test Facilities for Sensors	 PM testing Optical testing In situ gas 	 Pilot-scale facility Utility to provide open test ports at their facility Uniform test protocol and fixturing – standardization Higher background level of instrumentation than normal Ability to vary many parameters 	 Greater collaboration and designing Field tests need greater funding or reduced cost sharing burden Industry input on test conditions Place value on use of test facilities Round robin tests Same instrument tested at different sites DOE identify available test facilities Scale of facility is important Piggyback testing is important Small facility dedicated for sensor testing 	Qualification procedures for agencies used for conformance testing	•	 National labs, universities, industry, federal government When someone in field is ready to test, people that have system to test need to be ready and vice versa 	• Ongoing
Specific DOE Program on Sampling Interface Issues		 Optical access to systems Interface will be different for each type of device Methods of heating, cooling, cleaning, access, window material and cleaning 	 Need an existing sensor you are trying to apply 		Test sites	 Regulating agency (if applicable) 	 1-2 years/project 15-25% of project cost

Emissions Measurement TABLE 4.2-3. ACTION PLANS (CONTINUED)

Τορις	APPLICATIONS: WHAT/WHERE (THINK CROSSCUTTING MULTIPLE APPLICATIONS	R&D P RODUCTS AND CHARACTERISTICS	CRITICAL STEPS	INTEGRATION: LOGIC, ALGORITHM, ACTUATORS, NETWORKS (THINK SYSTEMS)	Resources: People, Labs, Tools, Information	TEAMING LEAD AND Collaboration	Dollars and Sense
In situ Representative Measurement of O ₂ , LOI, NO _x , CO (Unburnt Carbon) – Reduce NO _x – Improve combustion efficiency	 Gas turbine emissions conformance Combustion systems NO_x and CO: In situ or optical non- invasive measurement in gas turbine combustor can NO_x and CO: In situ, as close to combustion as possible. At least > 700°C in boilers 	 Specific programs on high temperature NO_x sensing For unburned carbon: in situ real time accurate measurement allows control changes to minimize unburned carbon Continuous, online monitoring operator- independent, accurate 	 What is the state-of-the-art of technology? Identify methods for accurate detection Identify sensor approaches that are viable Acceptance of methods of measurements (conformances) Must identify short-term market potential Demo in simulated environments Field testing for life, drift, etc. Provide/establish sensor requirements Consult with controls companies for specs Include power systems reps 	 Develop system- friendly interfaces Determine "transfer function" between input changes and sensor outputs Coordination of sensor mounting and fixturing 	 DOD and other federal agencies Consult with developers/users EPRI Automotive groups DOE Transportation FE Etc. 	 Collaboration with combustion process developers/operat ors University, national labs, users, academia, industry Sensor OEM guide research PIWG (Propulsion Instrumentation Working Group) 	 Will need parallel projects – multiple sensors 5 years for fully commercial product \$100 M

Emissions Measurement TABLE 4.2-3. ACTION PLANS (CONTINUED)

Emissions Measurement TABLE 4.2-3. ACTION PLANS (CONTINUED) **R&D** PRODUCTS **CRITICAL STEPS** INTEGRATION: **RESOURCES: APPLICATIONS:** TEAMING LEAD WHAT/WHEDE LOCIC PEOPLE LARS

DOLLARS AND

	WHAT/WHERE (THINK CROSSCUTTING MULTIPLE APPLICATIONS	AND CHARACTERISTICS		LOGIC, ALGORITHM, ACTUATORS, NETWORKS (THINK SYSTEMS)	PEOPLE, LABS, TOOLS, INFORMATION	AND COLLABORATION	SENSE
New Concepts for Sensing	 Any critical need in combustion system sensing LOI, O₂, COS H₂S, NO_x, PM, NH3, CO, Hg, SO_{2.3} other heavy metals Coal flow Fuel gas heating value Gas turbine airflow 	 Wave technologies acoustics, electro- magnetics, NMR, etc. Perform basic science Basic principles, e.g., look at spectrum Studies on applying existing basic principles from other applications 	 Tomography – how sensors are used Include power system representatives Program milestone: stop/go technology demonstration High temperature MEMS and NEMS (micro and nano) Build on existing research Identify key technical hurdles for promising technologies High temperature optical 	Not considered at this stage		 Teaming Scientists Oversight committee Target universities, national labs, small business Research Centers BES Be sure to consult them DOE/BES 	 Each project will take around 3 years (max) to reach technology proof-of-concept \$10M (for proof-of-concept) High risk area (1 out of 10 successful)

TOPIC

4.3 CONDITION MONITORING

Introduction

A substantial majority of the participants for the Condition Monitoring breakout session were industry representatives. In general, the inherent focus of the industry representatives leaned toward more near-term concerns rather than the longer-term, highpayoff approaches that are the primary target of advanced research. The group was encouraged to leap from within "the box" to assess opportunities for innovative, breakthrough technologies, including technology options that could have both nearand long-term payoffs. Accordingly, a significant amount of detailed information was gathered, in particular addressing the options and trade-offs between conventional and visionary approaches.

Participants Condition Monitoring

ΝΑΜΕ	ORGANIZATION
Chuck Alsup	NETL
Bill Atkinson	Pratt & Whitney
Heng Ban	University of Alabama at Birmingham
Kelly Benson	Woodward Governor Company
Jim Ciesar*	Siemens Westinghouse Power Corp.
Chris Condon	REM Engineering Services
Marc Cremer	Reaction Engineering international
Mike Drumm	Hood Technology
Dot Johnson	McDermott Technology, Inc.
Stephen Kimble	Southern Company Services, Inc.
Susan Maley	National Energy Technology Laboratory
Russ May	Prime Photonics, Inc.
Esmail Monazam	REM Engineering Services
Robert Murphy	ALSTOM Power
Gary Pickrell	Virginia Tech
James Roberts	Rolls-Royce PLC
Lawrence Ross	Siemens Westinghouse Power Corp.
Andy Suby	Iowa State University
John Telford	Los Alamos National Laboratory
Paul Wolff	EPRI I&C
Report Out Presenter	

* Report Out Presenter

FACILITATOR: ALICIA DALTON, ENERGETICS, INCORPORATED

Barriers and Issues

The group members brainstormed the barriers to condition monitoring, discussed and analyzed key ideas, and arranged them into major topical areas. They are: Harsh Environments, Interfaces, Policy, Strain, Other Measurements, Performance Testing and Design, Costs, Flow Measurement, Combustion Stability, Temperature/Emissions Measurements, and Materials.

Opportunities

To assure a focus on longer term, higher-risk opportunities, the group was asked to consider the issues associated with sensors and control systems and system integration rather than sensor development alone. In particular, the wide range of Vision 21 systems and configurations were to be considered. Accordingly, participants addressed topics such as non-intrusive measurement and monitoring devices as well as integrated suites of systems with predictive abilities. The responses identified R&D opportunities and/or needs to overcome the barriers to condition monitoring. The group organized the opportunities into the following categories: Instrumentation Technologies and Strategies, High Temperature Materials, Modeling, Materials Properties Databases, Vibration/Fatigue/Strain, Chemical Sensors, Testing, Thermal Barrier Coatings, and Miscellaneous Condition Monitoring. Each participant voted to indicate the

highest priority R&D opportunities among the generated ideas. The four highest vote-getting opportunities were as follows, respectively:

- Flame monitoring and characterization method,
- Enabling materials for sensor development coupled to or concurrent with the development of engineered high-temperature materials,
- Test facilities, and
- Pyrometer measurement and monitoring for thermal barrier coatings.

Action Plans

Detailed action plans were developed for the four highest vote-getting opportunities. Participants identified information in each of the follow categories for each high priority opportunity: Applications, R&D Products and Characteristics, Critical Steps, Integration, Resources, Collaborations, and Dollars and Sense. In addition to these individual topics, participants addressed a number of crosscutting topics.

There was general acknowledgement that many of the sensors and control systems issues stemmed from a lack of suitable sensor materials. Enhancing the collaboration between the existing materials program and the sensors and control systems effort would help achieve maximum productivity. The traditional sensors emphasis also raised issues regarding the lack of focus on controls system and system integration. Group members repeatedly noted that the development of a control system follows the development of the sensor – and at present, it is the sensors that are needed. Upon identification of the system characteristics, an existing control system may be available for adaptation for the new sensors.

Market pull versus technology push was also a topic of discussion. The group noted that often within industry, advanced sensors and control systems are not considered until a more stringent regulation becomes eminent. Comparatively little emphasis is placed on the potential for efficiency gains from advanced control systems. In general, improved knowledge of the end users' needs must be coupled with the ability to convey the benefits of better measurement and monitoring systems.

The participants not only discussed at length the need for low-cost test facilities, but they identified the problems with traditional patterns of research, development, and testing. Industrial-scale facilities that could be used for full-scale testing are industry-owned. This translates into large investments of time and money as well as issues such as intellectual property and proprietary knowledge, the risk of disrupting operations, and the availability of facilities for long-term testing. Before adoption of sensors and control systems technologies occur, lengthy tests to verify life span are necessary. The group noted that although universities may in fact have the ability to conduct long-term tests, the scale of their test facilities is not sufficient to verify industrial use of the sensors. Many suggestions were noted regarding potential test sites and the resources that exist throughout the world, but the general consensus was the need for a national, standardized, pilot- or industrial-scale test bed.

Table 4-3-1 presents the detailed results for barriers and issues, Table 4-3-2 presents the opportunities, and Table 4-3-3 presents the action plans.

HARSH Environments	INTERFACES	Policy	STRAIN	POINT MEASUREMENTS RELATIVE TO THE BIG PICTURE	OTHER MEASUREMENTS	PERFORMANCE TESTING AND DESIGN	DOLLARS
 High temperature environment and ash deposition for condition monitoring Gasification tars Sensor stability 	 Control system interface Friendly operator interface Lack of bi- directional data networking "sensor intelligence" 	 Lack of proper regulatory incentives A third party such as EPRI or DOE needs to issue a report on reliable, accurate, measurement equipment, e.g., NO_x analyzers 	 In situ strain measurements Lack of turbine strain measurement 	 Lack of ability to describe not just local conditions, but also distributions Point level sensors suitable for high pressure (and temperature) letdown of char (approx 9 lb/ft³ capacitance) does not work Sensors cannot focus on all the different parts of turbines 	 Need models to relate observables to system states (conditions) An online monitor to detect moisture in coal is needed 	 Test facility costs Design cycle too long Lack of opportunities to test on complex turbines Lack of well- defined performance/ cost goals Lack of affordable test facilities Lack of conclusive tests Scaling-system size Trying to anticipate turbine design changes Intrusion into gas path 	 Customers still buy on first cost and won't pay for sensors/ controls. Need to educate customer/ outreach. Development costs prohibitive

Condition Monitoring TABLE 4.3-1. WHAT ARE THE BARRIERS TO ADVANCED SENSOR SYSTEMS FOR CONDITION MONITORING?

Condition Monitoring TABLE 4.3-1. WHAT ARE THE BARRIERS TO ADVANCED SENSOR SYSTEMS FOR CONDITION MONITORING? (CONTINUED)

Flow Measurement	COMBUSTION STABILITY	TEMPERATURE/EMISSIONS MEASUREMENTS	MATERIALS
 Lack of reliable iso-kinetic sampling Lack of solid flow measurement in transport reactor Bulk solids mass flow measurement at 300 psi dense phase and dilute phase capable of 1850 F reverse flow survival Non-mechanical valve in the hot unit solid flow Fuel materials handling issues Lacking measuring capabilities for shear measurement in the gas-solid system 	 Need dynamic pressure measurements at high temperature Sensing combustion dynamics No way currently to control lean pre-mix combustor flash back/detonation detection/control 	 Until high temperature combustion barrier for sensor Gas path temperature profiles at combustion exit/turbine entry Turbine blade surface temperature mapping/monitoring Thermal barrier coating temperature measurement Lack of reliability of existing sensor systems Sensing and emissions in the combustion There is a need for ammonia analysis Lack of in situ analysis of syngas of 300 psi 1100°F 	 Need robust materials Materials performance limitations Failure due to harsh environmental conditions High temperature thermowells for harsh conditions, erosion, corrosion, reducing atmosphere suitable Very high temperatures Ability to withstand harsh conditions Lack of high temperature materials Temperature ratings of fiber optics TBC condition monitoring: lack of integrated conductivity Sensors for turbine environment don't exist

Condition Monitoring TABLE 4.3-2. WHAT ARE THE R&D OPPORTUNITIES TO OVERCOME THE BARRIERS?

Instrumentation Enabling Technologies and Strategies	High Temperature Materials	MODELING	MATERIALS PROPERTIES DATABSES	VIBRATION/FATIGUE/ Strain	CHEMICAL SENSORS	TESTING
 Working group of turbine experts to consult for sensor developers Non-proprietary integration of all systems Rules/Elimination of rules to ensure plants maximize efficiency while reducing emissions [standardized] Develop fast response transient capable bulk solids mass flow measurement suitable for 300 psi. Must withstand 1850 F and reverse flow High temperature FT-transmitting optical fiber 	 Development of enhanced high temperature materials OOOOOOO Material to withstand gas temperature without cooling Enabling materials (for sensors) development OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO	 Accurate/Robust numerical models Development/verification and use of models to describe distributions of properties Oooo Develop lifing data for turbine parts - predict remaining life Accurate multi-phase flow measurement technique Learn how to better equate thermal cycles to steady state hours 	 Centralized data bank for sensor, system data Standard communication protocol for sensor interface Develop lifing data for turbine parts - predict remaining life 	 Non-contact strain measurements Real time calculation of strain in turbine blades; measure and model Real time detection of low cycle fatigue cracking Bearing health monitoring from rotating frame; tot bearing race of bearing vibration; temperature Technique for in-service blade vibration monitor especially high pressure turbine CO Real time turbine blade resonance measurement Sensor to measure tip clearance and tip temperature at same time 	 Mercury sensor HCN sensor Ammonia sensor Oevelop sensors to measure composition of combustion products, in basket, at full temperature An in situ system that can identify combustibles in a selection catalatic reduction (SCR) Develop high temperature high pressure in situ gas analysis system Sensors for fuel hydrogen equivalence ratio On line fuel characterization (coal, etc.) 	 Power plant owners that allow sensor development on their turbines Clear definition of cost/performance targets Low-cost test facilities Low-cost test facilities Pilot to full scale testing of sensors Better access to existing pilot scale and larger systems Measurable, well- defined performance objectives Independent and confidential test facility; incubator for sensors for harsh environments

Condition Monitoring TABLE 4.3-2. WHAT ARE THE R&D OPPORTUNITIES TO OVERCOME THE BARRIERS? (CONTINUED)

MISCELLANEOUS CONDITION MONITORING	THERMAL BARRIER COATINGS	VISIONARY OPPORTUNITIES
 Accurate, low cost flow sensor O B the content sensor for fuel stream Solid flux radial monitor Solid flux radial monitor Single fiber high temperature optical sensor for mass and velocity O O Instruments that can withstand high moisture environment, e.g., wet electrostatic precipitation (ESP) On line corrosion monitoring/measurement O Unccooled dynamic pressure sensor for high temperature use O High temperature eddy current probe A "tri-corder" for turbines; external measurement; non-intrusive O Flame monitoring and characterization method O O High temperature, stable, temperature sensors O High temperature pressure sensor for carbon particles High temperature pressure sensor Develop reliable point level measurement for hot fluffy char O 	 Pyrometer for measuring and monitoring thermal barrier coating 00000 Develop sensors to sens, on line, "blistered" thermal barrier coating at 2700°F Method for monitoring TBCs 	 Data/Sensor fusion: sensors with multiple uses Life estimation of rotating parts based on multiple sensor input Data mining opportunity (we may have to learn how to use the data) Predicting maintenance systems – combine sensors with lifing models Integrated physical models for advanced control systems Life cycle model component and system Predictive/adaptive control Fault detection diagnostics Need a better "big picture" view; better definition of entire system Smart burner system; burner sensor(s) send signal to control system, automatic adjustments are made Failed or marginal sensor detection system with calculated value substitution Control systems for solid fuel flow Process monitoring to optimize plant operation (includes sensor data validation) not a control system Systems Designet (anticipate) actuator needs and requirements Develop dynamic models of Vision 21 plants Learning based plant controls Develop integrated control/sensor/diagnostic suite – give owner \$ weighted options for operation at max revenue Intercommunication between multiple sensors (quorum sensing) Intelligent sensors with decision rules embedded Purge ports for transport reactor that self clean and use very little purge gas Index to measure effectiveness of diagnostic or efficiency improvements

Торіс	APPLICATIONS: WHAT/WHERE (THINK CROSSCUTTING MULTIPLE APPLICATIONS)	R&D PRODUCTS AND CHARACTERIS- TICS	CRITICAL STEPS	INTEGRATION: LOGIC ALGORITHMS ACTUATORS, NETWORKS (THINK SYSTEMS)	Resources: People, Labs, Tools, Information	TEAMING: LEAD AND COLLABORATION	Dollars and Sense
wethod	 Application – pulverized coil boilers Application – gas turbines Location – place where the flame should be Location – place where the fame should NOT be 	 Measure combustion efficiency/stability Measure fuel/air equivalence ratio Special analysis of flame Temperature/com bustion efficiency characteristics Needs to last for service interval of engine/plant Chemical species, emissions 	 Buy the commercially available systems, see if they work Identify high temperature material necessary 	Measurement and control of fuel and air streams (sticking point)	 Laboratory combustion facility needed Independent testing organization to validate Siemens Westinghouse burner test facility 	 OEM National Labs Vendors Universities for basic research End users Technology developers 	
Materials for Sensor Development and	 Pulverized coal Thermal well Turbine Combustor Coal gasifier 	 TW for coal gasifier 1850-2100°F corrosion reducing atmosphere 350 psi – 900 psi Corrosion and erosion resistant Point level probe suitable for 350-900 psi 450°F dielectric ≈1.2-1.6 hot fluffy char 9 lb/ft³ Primary stage for slagging gasifier 2600°F Gas turbine combustor probes need 4000°F and 600 psi 	 Independent verification that probe/sensor works as claimed 	 Material database Combustion neural net with RPMBC for allowing extrapolation, quick training and user feedback Characterization is an issue must be able to model it 	 National labs Materials prep (Ames Lab) Universities Sandia DOE labs, LANL Sensor materials research labs Materials test facility University materials labs and staff Solid state labs thin film technology 	 Universities do fundamental research then license National labs 	 \$100 M 5-8 years

Condition Monitoring TABLE 4.3-3. ACTION PLANS

Τορις	Applications: What/Where (think crosscutting multiple applications)	R&D PRODUCTS AND CHARACTERIS- TICS	CRITICAL STEPS	INTEGRATION: LOGIC ALGORITHMS ACTUATORS, NETWORKS (THINK SYSTEMS)	Resources: People, Labs, Tools, Information	TEAMING: LEAD AND COLLABORATION	Dollars and Sense
Low Cost Test Facilities	 Combustion Component test facilities Pilot or large scale system testing Large scale combustion 	 Large scale combustion test facility ~40 MW thermal PSFD for gasification and turbine combustion testing "Consumer Report" independent center for technology testing 	 Survey existing test facilities Specify lab requirements Data base of test facilities and capabilities 	 Coordination of tests – lots of experiments on one "test build" 	 Program instrumentation working group for piggyback (PIWG) testing of new sensor technologies Southern Company for pulverized coal system testing via vision 21 Kingston via DOE/EPRI for pulverized coal ALSTOM Power for industrial scale test facility University AL at Birmingham/ Southern Research Institute, Pilot coal combustion University of Utah PC test facility 		 \$10 M to build pilot \$200 M for PC

CONDITION MONITORING TABLE 4.3-3. ACTION PLANS (CONTINUED)

Τορις	APPLICATIONS: WHAT/WHERE (THINK CROSSCUTTING MULTIPLE APPLICATIONS)	R&D PRODUCTS AND CHARACTERIS- TICS	CRITICAL STEPS	INTEGRATION: LOGIC ALGORITHMS ACTUATORS, NETWORKS (THINK SYSTEMS)	Resources: People, Labs, Tools, Information	TEAMING: LEAD AND COLLABORATION	Dollars and Sense
<i>Pyrometer Measuring and Monitoring for TBC</i>	 Location – turbine blades; as many as you can; 1st and 2nd coated Turbine blade coating monitor Combustor tiles and liners 	 Pyrometry of TBC enables both surface temperature measurements and TBC integrity monitoring in real time 8-10 microns fiber optics stop at 2 Life in service 1500°C 15% Oxygen 3% Steam Need optical access, 1/4" 	 Develop reliable solid-state detector Develop mid-IR optical fibers 	• I-D vs. 2-D data?	 Can test at a power plant on a newly installed guinea pig turbine Component testing PIWG Westinghouse Plasma can do testing PWIG and government advisors (NASA, AFRI, DOE, ORNL) ranked surface temperature and TBC health monitoring as high, priority needs 	Air force DOD are interested and involved aero turbine	 \$½ million over 3- 4 years

CONDITION MONITORING TABLE 4.3-3. ACTION PLANS (CONTINUED)



Sheikh A. Akbar Ohio State University (CISM) CISM, 295 Watts Hall 2041 College Road Columbus, OH 43210 Phone: 614/292-6725 Fax: 614/688-4949 E-mail: akbar.1@osu.edu

Steve Allison Oak Ridge National Lab 2360 Cherahala Blvd. Mail Stop 6472 Knoxville, TN 37932 Phone: 865/946-1287 Fax: 865/946-1292 E-mail: allisonsw@ornl.gov

Charles T. Alsup National Energy Technology Laboratory U.S. Department of Energy 3610 Collins Ferry Road P.O. Box 880 Morgantown, WV 26507-0880 Phone: 304/285-5432 Fax: 304/285-4403 E-mail: calsup@netl.doe.gov

Tim R. Armstrong Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2008 Mailstop 6084 Oak Ridge, TN 37831 Phone: 865/574-7996 Fax: 865/574-4357 E-mail: armstrongt@ornl.gov

Bill Atkinson Pratt & Whitney 400 Main Street MS 121-02 East Hartford, CT 06108 Phone: 860/565-2456 Fax: 860/557-8571 E-mail: atkinswh@pweh.com Gregory Baker Michigan State University Dept. of Chemistry & Ctr. for Sensor Materials East Lansing, MI 48824 Phone: 517/355-9715 x 160 Fax: 517/353-1793 E-mail: bakerg@msu.edu

Heng Ban University of Alabama at Birmingham 1150 10th Avenue, South MS 3560BEC Birmingham, AL 35294 Phone: 205/934-0011 Fax: 205/975-7217 E-mail: hban@uab.edu

Robert C. Bedick National Energy Technology Laboratory U.S. Department of Energy 3610 Collins Ferry Road P.O. Box 880, MS E06 Morgantown, WV 26507-0880 Phone: 304/285-4505 Fax: 304/285-4403 E-mail: robert.bedick@netl.doe.gov

Kelly J. Benson Woodward Governor Company 1000 East Drake Road Fort Collins, CO 80525 Phone: 970/498-3565 Fax: 970/498-3077 E-mail: kbenso@woodward.com

Tom Bonsett Rolls-Royce P.O. Box 420 Speed Code W03A Indianapolis, IN 46206-0420 Phone: 317/230-3448 Fax: 317/230-4246 E-mail: tom.c.bonsett@rolls-royce.com Tony Campbell GE Hybrid Power Generation Systems 19310 Pacific Gateway Drive Torrance, CA 90502-1031 Phone: 310/538-7221 Fax: 310/538-7209 E-mail: tony.campbell@ps.ge.com

Jim Carey Energetics, Inc. 7164 Columbia Gateway Drive Columbia, MD 21046 Phone: 410/290-0370 Fax: 410/290-0377 E-mail: jcarey@energetics.com

Christopher C. Carter Sensor Research & Development Corp. 17 Godfrey Drive Orono, ME 04473 Phone: 207/866-0100 Fax: 207/866-2055 E-mail: ccarter@srdcorp.com

Zhong-Ying Chen SAIC 1710 SAIC Drive MS 2-3-1 McLean, VA 22102 Phone: 703/676-7328 Fax: 703/676-5509 E-mail: zhong-ying.chen@saic.com

James Ciesar Siemens Westinghouse Power Corp. 1310 Beulah Road Pittsburgh, PA 15235 Phone: 412/256-2564 Fax: 412/256-2012 E-mail: james.ciesar@siemens.com

William W. Clark University of Pittsburgh 648 Benedum Hall Pittsburgh, PA 15261 Phone: 412/624-9794 Fax: 412/624-4846 E-mail: bluetick@pitt.edu

Tim Collins GE Power Systems PO Box 648 Greenville, SC 29650 Phone: 864/254-2210 Fax: 864/254-3810 E-mail: timothycollins@ps.ge.com Chris Condon REM Engineering Services, PLLC 3566 Collins Ferry Road Morgantown, WV 26505 Phone: 304/285-5461 Fax: E-mail: ccondon@remengineering.com

Marc Cremer Reaction Engineering International 77 West 200 South Suite 210 Salt Lake City, UT 84101 Phone: 801/364-6925 Fax: 801/364-6977 E-mail: cremer@reaction-eng.com

John Cumings Nanomix, Inc. 1295A 67th Street Emeryville, CA 94608 Phone: 510/428-5304 Fax: 510/658-0425 E-mail: jcumings@nano.com

Alicia R. Dalton Energetics, Inc. 2414 Cranberry Square Morgantown, WV 26508 Phone: 304/594-1450 ext.14 Fax: 304/594-1485 E-mail: alicia.dalton@en.netl.doe.gov

Robert DeSaro Energy Research Company 2571-A Arthur Kill Road Staten Island, NY 10309 Phone: 718/608-8788 Fax: 718/608-0933 E-mail: rdesaro@er-co.com

Mike Drumm Hood Technology 964 Autumn Oak Circle Concord, CA 94521 Phone: 925/685-0227 Fax: 925/685-0224 E-mail: mdrumm@hoodtech.com

Richard Dunst National Energy Technology Laboratory U.S. Department of Energy 626 Cochrans Mill Road P.O. Box 10940 Pittsburgh, PA 15236-0940 Phone: 412/386-6694 Fax: 412/386-6685 E-mail: richard.dunst@netl.doe.gov Prabir K. Dutta The Ohio State University 120 West 18th Avenue Columbus, OH 43210 Phone: 614/292-4532 Fax: 614/688-5402 E-mail: dutta.1@osu.edu

William E. Farthing Southern Research Institute 2000 9th Avenue South Birmingham, AL 35205 Phone: 205/581-2536 Fax: 205/581-2448 E-mail: farthing@sri.org

Don Gardner Sverdrup Technology, Inc./AEDC Group 690 Second Street Arnold Air Force Base, TN 37389-4300 Phone: 931/454-3497 Fax: 931/454-4913 E-mail: donald.gardner@arnold.af.mil

Ruby N. Ghosh Michigan State University 2167 Biomedical Physical Sciences Physics & Astronomy Bldg. East Lansing, MI 48824-1116 Phone: 517/432-5547 Fax: 517/432-5501 E-mail: ghosh@pa.msu.edu

Fred Glaser U.S. Department of Energy 19901 Germantown Road FE-25 Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301/903-2676 Fax: 301/903-0243 E-mail: fred.glaser@hq.doe.gov

Robert Glass Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory P.O. Box 808 L-644 Livermore, CA 94550 Phone: 925/423-7140 Fax: 925/423-7914 E-mail: glass3@llnl.gov Fred Glazer DOE - Germantown 19901 Germantown Road Bldg. GTN, Room C-002 Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301/903-2676 Fax: 301/903-2676 E-mail:

William A. Goddard, III California Institute of Technology 139-74 Caltech Pasadena, CA 91125 Phone: 626/395-2731 Fax: 626/585-0918 E-mail: wag@wag.caltech.edu

Lori Hollidge Energetics, Inc. 901 D Street, S.W. Suite 100 Washington, DC 20024 Phone: 202/406-4131 Fax: 202/479-0154 E-mail: lhollidge@energeticsinc.com

Christopher T. Holt NexTech Materials, Ltd. 720 Lakeview Plaza Boulevard Worthington, OH 43085 Phone: 614/842-6606 Fax: 614/842-6607 E-mail: holt@nextechmaterials.com

Jimmy L. Horton Southern Company Services P.O. Box 1069 Highway 25 North Wilsonville, AL 35186 Phone: 205/670-5868 Fax: 205/670-5843 E-mail: jlhorton@southernco.com

Paul A. Jalbert Jacobs Sverdrup - AEDC Group 690 Second Street Arnold Airforce Base Arnold AFB, TN 37389-4300 Phone: 931/454-5938 Fax: 931-454-4913 E-mail: paul.jalbert@arnold.af.mil Dot K. Johnson McDermott Technology, Inc. 1562 Beeson Street Alliance, OH 44601 Phone: 330/829-7395 Fax: 330/829-7801 E-mail: dot.k.johnson@mcdermott.com

Stephen O. Kimble Southern Company Services, Inc. Highway 25 North P.O. Box 1069 Wilsonville, AL 35242 Phone: 205/670-5882 Fax: 205/670-5843 E-mail: sokimble@southernco.com

Robert P. Lucht Purdue University School of Mechanical Engineering 585 Purdue Mall West Lafayette, IN 47907-2040 Phone: 765/494-5623 Fax: 765/494-0539 E-mail: lucht@purdue.edu

Susan Maley U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory P.O. Box 880, MS C04 Morgantown, WV 26507-0880 Phone: 304/285-1321 Fax: 304/285-1321 Fax: 304/285-4403 E-mail: susan.maley@netl.doe.gov

Ronald Manginell Sandia National Laboratory Microsensors Research & Development Dept. PO Box 5800, MS 1425 Albuquerque, NM 87185 Phone: 505/845-8223 Fax: 505/844-8985 E-mail: rpmangi@sandia.gov

Brent Marquis Sensor Research & Development Corp. 17 Godfrey Drive Orono, ME 04473 Phone: 207/866-0100 x215 Fax: 207/866-2055 E-mail: bmarquis@srdcorp.com Russell May Prime Photonics, Inc. 1872 Pratt Drive Suite 1620 Blacksburg, VA 24060 Phone: 540/961-2200 x 450 Fax: 540/961-2300 E-mail: rmay@primephotonics.com

Kathleen Meehan Virginia Tech Mail Code 0111 Blacksburg, VA 24060 Phone: 540/231-4442 Fax: 540/231-6636 E-mail: kameehan@vt.edu

Walter Merrill Glennan Microsystems Initiative 20445 Emerald Parkway SW Suite 200 Cleveland, OH 44135 Phone: 216/898-6401 Fax: 216/898-6500 E-mail: merrill@glennan.org

John C. Miller U.S. Department of Energy 19901 Germantown Road Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301/903-5806 Fax: 301/903-4110 E-mail: john.miller@science.doe.gov

Esmail R. Monazam REM Engineering Services, PLLC 3566 Collins Ferry Road Morgantown, WV 26505 Phone: 304/285-4076 Fax: 304/285-4058 E-mail: president@remengineering.com

Kevin M. Moore Energetics, Incorporated 2414 Cranberry Square Morgantown, WV 26508 Phone: 304/594-1450 Fax: 304/594-1485 E-mail: kevin.moore@en.netl.doe.gov

Peter Muchunas U.S. Department of Energy 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, DC 20585-002 Phone: 301/903-2603 Fax: 301/903-8350 E-mail: peter.muchunas@hq.doe.gov Robert Murphy ALSTOM Power 2000 Day Hill Road Windsor, CT 06095 Phone: 860/285-2202 Fax: 860/285-4033 E-mail: robert.f.murphy@power.alstom.com

Joseph Paladino National Energy Technology Laboratory U.S. Department of Energy 3610 Collins Ferry Road P.O. Box 880 Morgantown, WV 26507-0880 Phone: Fax: E-mail:

Carl Palmer GE Reuter-Stokes Combustion Sensors and Softwares 8499 Darrow Road Twinsburg, OH 44087 Phone: 330/963-2413 Fax: 413/473-3321 E-mail: carl.palmer@ps.ge.com

Gary R. Pickrell Virginia Tech 460 Turner Street Suite 303 Blacksburg, VA 24061 Phone: 540/231-4677 Fax: 540/231-2158 E-mail: pickrell@vt.edu

Joseph Quinn Alstom Power 2000 Day Hill Road Windsor, CT 06095 Phone: 860/285-2235 Fax: 860/285-4033 E-mail: joseph.w.quinn@power.alstom.com

A. C. (Paul) Raptis Argonne National Laboratory 9700 South Cass Avenue Building 308 Argonne, IL 60439 Phone: 630/252-5930 Fax: 630/252-3250 E-mail: raptis@anl.gov James P. Roberts Rolls-Royce PLC SinA-37 P.O. Box 31 Derby, Derbyshire, UK DE24 8BJ Phone: 44(0)133-224-7227 Fax: 44(0)133-224-7928 E-mail: james.p.roberts@rolls-royce.com

Robert Romanosky U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory P.O. Box 880, MS E02 Morgantown, WV 26507-0880 Phone: 304/285-4721 Fax: 304/285-4403 E-mail: robert.romanosky@netl.doe.gov

Larry Ross Siemens Westinghouse Power Corp. Science & Technology Center 1310 Beulah Road Pittsburgh, PA 15235 Phone: 412/256-1301 Fax: 412/256-2121 E-mail: larry.l.ross@siemens.com

Mike Ross Alstom Power Technology Centre Cambridge Road, Whetstone Leicester, Leicestershire, UK LE8 6LH Phone: 44(0)116-201-5665 Fax: 44(0)116-201-5463 E-mail: mike.ross@power.alstom.com

Nenad Sarunac Energy Research Center Lehigh University 117 ATLSS Drive Bethlehem, PA 18015 Phone: 610/758-5780 Fax: 610/758-5959 E-mail: ns01@lehigh.edu

Eugene Smeltzer Siemens Westinghouse Power Corp. 1310 Beulah Road Pittsburgh, PA 15235 Phone: 412/256-2240 Fax: 412/256-2121 E-mail: eugene.smeltzer@siemens.com John Steichen Dupont Company P.O. Box 80357 Wilmington, DE 19880-0357 Phone: 302/695-1040 Fax: 302/695-1286 E-mail: john.steichen@usa.dupont.com

Charter D. Stinespring West Virginia University Department of Chemical Engineering Morgantown, WV 26506-6102 Phone: 304/293-2111 x 2425 Fax: 304/293-4139 E-mail: cstinesp@wvu.edu

Andy Suby CSET Iowa State University 284 Metals Development Ames, IA 50011-3020 Phone: 515/382-9006 Fax: 515/382-3763 E-mail: asuby@iastate.edu

Yongchun Tang California Institute of Technology 20970 Currier Road Walnut, CA 91789 Phone: 909/468-9310 Fax: 909/468-4716 E-mail: tang@peer.caltech.edu

John Telford Los Alamos National Laboratory MS E 539 Los Alamos, NM 87545 Phone: 505/667-3437 Fax: 505/665-8514 E-mail: wtelford@lanl.gov

Jenny Tennant U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory 3610 Collins Ferry Road Morgantown, WV 26507-0880 Phone: 304/285-4830 Fax: 304/285-4469 E-mail: jtenna@netl.doe.gov Jimmy Thornton National Energy Technology Laboratory U.S. Department of Energy 3610 Collins Ferry Road P.O. Box 880, MS NO4 Morgantown, WV 26507-0880 Phone: 304/285-4427 Fax: 304/285-4469 E-mail: jthorn@netl.doe.gov

Jeffrey Vipperman University of Pittsburgh Dept. of Mechanical Engineering 531 Benedum Hall Pittsburgh, PA 15261 Phone: 412/624-1643 Fax: 412/624-4846 E-mail: jsv.pitt.edu

Eric Wachsman Materials Science & Engineering University of Florida 207 MAE P.O. Box 116400 Gainesville, FL 32611-6400 Phone: 352/846-2991 Fax: 352/392-3771 E-mail: ewach@mse.ufl.edu

Bruce Warmack Oak Ridge National Laboratory PO Box 2008 MS 6123 Oak Ridge, TN 37831 Phone: 865/574-6202 Fax: 865/574-6210 E-mail: warmackrj@ornl.gov

Robert J. Weber Iowa State University 301 Durham Center Ames, IA 50011 Phone: 515/294-8723 Fax: 515/294-3091 E-mail: weber@iastate.edu

James R. Whetstone National Institute of Standards and Technology 100 Bureau Drive MS 8360 Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8360 Phone: 301/975-2600 Fax: 301/975-8288 E-mail: james.whetstone@nist.gov Vincent Wnuk HPI 100 Park Street Ayer, MA 01432 Phone: 978/772-6963 Fax: 978/772-6966 E-mail: vincew@hitecprod.com

Paul Wolff EPRI I&C Center 714 Swan Pond Road Harrimon, TN 37748 Phone: 865/717-2006 Fax: 865/717-2020 E-mail: pjwolff@tva.gov

Steven D. Woodruff National Energy Technology Laboratory U.S. Department of Energy 3610 Collins Ferry Road PO Box 880 Morgantown, WV 26507-0880 Phone: 304/285-4175 Fax: 304/285-4403 E-mail: steven.woodruff@netl.doe.gov

Andrew Woodworth WVU Chemical Engineering Department Morgantown, WV 26506 Phone: 304/2932111 x 2426 Fax: E-mail: awoodwor@wvu.edu

Wen-Ching Yang Science and Technology Center Siemens Westinghouse Power Corp. 1310 Beulah Road Science & Technology Center Pittsburgh, PA 15235-5098 Phone: 412/256-2207 Fax: 412/256-2121 E-mail: wen.yang@swpc.siemens.com