Arsenic Mobilization in Shallow Aquifers due to CO₂ P THE UNIVERSITY and Brine Intrusion from Storage Reservoirs OF UTAH

Ting Xiao, Brian McPherson^{*}, Zhenxue Dai, Hari Viswanathan, Wei Jia, Rich Esser

Abstract

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) or brine leakage from deep sequestration reservoirs to overlying underground sources of drinking water (USDW) is a major concern for geologic CO₂ sequestration (GCS). In this study, we developed an integrated framework of combined batch experiments and reactive transport simulations to quantify water-rock-CO₂ interactions and arsenic (As) mobilization responses to CO₂ and/or saline water leakage into USDWs. Both experimental and simulation results suggest that when CO₂ is introduced, pH drops immediately that initiates release of As from clay minerals. The buffering effect of calcite during dissolution can increase pH slightly and cause As to readsorb onto clay minerals. Thus, the mineralogy of the USDW is ultimately a determining factor of As and other such risks. A genetic field-scale reactive transport model was also created with the kinetic parameters obtained from the integrated framework to forecast As leakage pathways. Salient results suggest that: (1) As desorption/adsorption from/onto clay minerals is the major reaction controlling its mobilization, and clay minerals could mitigate As mobilization with surface complexation reactions; (2) dissolution of available calcite plays a critical role in buffering pH; (3) high salinity in general hinders As release from minerals; (4) the magnitude and quantitative uncertainty of As mobilization are predicated on the values of reaction rates and surface area of calcite, adsorption surface areas and equilibrium constants of clay minerals, and cation exchange capacity; and (5) within 1,000-year simulation time, the aquifer sediments stabilize almost 100% leaked As. Results of this study are intended to improve ability to quantify risks associated with potential leakage of reservoir fluids into shallow aquifers, in particular the possible environmental impacts of As mobilization at carbon sequestration sites.

Methods

Results and Discussion

D Batch experiments

Two sets of experiments were conducted, with "background" (BG, TDS ~ 200 mg/ L) and "saline" (S, TDS ~ 4000 mg/L) synthetic groundwater, to represent the conditions with/without saline water intrusion. Before CO₂ injection, the sediment samples were exposed to the synthetic groundwater for ~3 days to reach a steady state. Water samples were then collected over a 26-day experimental period: 14 days for CO₂ injection and 12 days for post injection.

| Background | Background | Saline, | Saline, |
|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| , no CO ₂ | , with CO ₂ | no CO ₂ | with CO ₂ |
| | | | |

| Concentration | ns for majo | or ions (mg/ |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|
| L)Element | Background | Saline |
| Ca | 5.2 | 222.1 |
| Κ | 0.7 | 38.6 |
| Mg | 2.8 | 220.4 |
| Na | 73.9 | 783.9 |
| Cl | 28.0 | 2050.0 |
| SO4 | 56.0 | 333.0 |
| As | < DT | 1.5×10^{-4} |
| pH (unitless) | 8.5 | 6.4 |

□ Estimations of uncertainty parameters

Uncertainty parameters were estimated via inversion by minimizing the objective function *J* with PEST program:

$$J = \min \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i(p) \; ; \; E_i(p) = \sum_{l=1}^{L_i} w_{li}^2 \left(u_l^i(p) - \widetilde{u}_l^i \right)^2$$

where $E_i(p)$ is the sub-objective function from chemical species *i*, N is the number of chemical species, w_{ii} is the weighting coefficient for the lth measurement of the i^{th} species, which is computed with the inverse of the standard deviation of the experimental data, and u_i^i and \tilde{u}_i^i are the simulated and observed concentrations.

Arsenic mobilization mechanisms



- Simulation results match with the experimental measurements.
- Without CO₂ intrusion, As concentrations increase.
- With CO₂ intrusion, As concentrations increase at the initial time and decrease slowly afterwards.

Measured (symbols) and calculated (lines) water chemistry of the batch experiments

Adsorption/desorption onto/from the surfaces of clays controls As mobilization with water-rock-CO₂ interactions. The surface complexation reactions of the clay minerals (S represents mineral sites) could be written as:

$$OH_{(s)} + H_{(aq)}^{+} \rightleftharpoons SOH_{2(s)}^{+}$$
$$OH_{(s)} + H_{3}AsO_{4(aq)} \rightleftharpoons SAsO_{4(s)}^{2-} + 2H_{(aq)}^{+} + H_{2}O$$

Arsenic and H ions compete for mineral sites. With calcite dissolution, it consumes H⁺ for gradual As adsorption onto clay minerals.

K-SKI

رون

D Sensitivity of key parameters

S



□ Reactive transport simulations

TOUGHREACT models were conducted considering aqueous species complexation, mineral dissolution/precipitation, adsorption/desorption, and cation exchanges.

The initial mineralogy: 26% quartz, 3.6% K-feldspar, 2.4% albite, 2.4% anorthite, 0.4% calcite, 0.4% hematite, 29.5% illite, 1.5% kaolinite, and 20.2% smectite. Hydrogeologic parameters for the 2-D field scale model

| | $(0.000 \times 100 \times 10^{-3})$ | | | | | . . | | • • | a | |
|------------------------------|--|--------------|-------|--------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|-------------|---|
| Aquifer domain size | $6,000 \times 100 \times 10 \text{ m}^3$ | | | | | Poin | CB RE | egional | <u>tlow</u> | |
| Permeability | 10 ⁻¹³ m ² (aquifer), 10 ⁻¹² m ² (fault) | -20 - | | | | | | | | |
| Porosity | 0.3 (aquifer), 0.8 (fault) | E -40 | | _ | | | | | | |
| Temperature | 25 °C | N -60 | | _ | | Fault | | | | _ |
| Pressure | 1 atm at the top boundary | -80 - | 1000 | 2000 | | | <u> </u> 4000 | 5000 | | |
| CO ₂ leakage rate | $1.14 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg/s}$ | Ŭ | 1000 | | l ∕, and/ | l 'or Salin | e water | X (m | | |
| Brine leakage rate | $4.28 \times 10^{-2} \text{ kg/s}$ | | | in | jection | (Point A | N) | | | |
| Simulation time | 1,000 years | l | Model | set-up | and | l nui | neric | cal mes | sh | |
| | | | | 1 | | | | | | |

c.21.7481 - 81 IKR CS / 1 Me Ht Sensitivity analysis of the geochemical reactive parameters

D 2-D simulation results



Acknowledgement

Funding for this project is provided by the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) through the Southwest Regional Partnership on Carbon Sequestration (SWP) under Award No. DE-FC26-05NT42591. We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Alexandra Hakala, George Guthrie, Martha Cather, and Robert Balch for providing guidance and constructive comments on our work.

Environmental Implications

- \checkmark Arsenic may be considered an insignificant long-term concern in a CO₂ rich USDW environment because of clay adsorption.
- \checkmark Increased salinity of USDW via the leaked saline water may likely be a larger concern than associated released trace metals.
- ✓ The integrated framework of this study provides an example for risk assessments of potential reservoir fluids leakage into USDWs with site-specific information.











* Author information:

The University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84112, USA

Email: b.j.mcpherson@utah.edu