Pulse Detonation Engine for Power Extraction from Oxy-Combustion of Coal-Based Fuels



PI: David L. Blunck, Welty Faculty Fellow Oregon State University Co-PI: Kyle Niemeyer and Sourabh Apte

Funding from NETL (FE0025822), Oregon BEST, and NASA Space Grant Gratefully Acknowledged



Acknowledgements

Students: Matthew Zaiger, Derek Bean, Rachel Nelke, Ian Walters,

Air Force Research Laboratory: Drs. Fred Schauer and John Hoke at team

Daiki Ichinokiyama (University of Tsukuba)



Motivation

- Improvements in thermodynamic efficiency of power plants needed
- Pressure gain combustion using detonations can significantly improve efficiency
- Yet ...



Richardson, Blunck et al., Combustion and Flame 2016

Motivation



Advantages of detonation-fed MHD: $P \propto \sigma imes V^2 imes B^2$

- High velocities (Ma > 2)
- High temperatures (T > 3000 K) increase electrical conductivity



Prior Research



Illustration of detonation and MHD system [Litchford, NASA TP 2001]

Prior research

 Litchford et al. (NASA) & Cambier et al. (Air Force): MHD power extraction possible from propulsive PDE system [3,10,11]

• Matsumoto et al. [12]: hydrogen-air PDE-powered MHD system

Major limitations:

- Primarily propulsive systems; significant insight still needed into interactions between detonation and MHD field
- Coal and CH₄ significantly different than hydrogen



Use of Coal for Detonations

- Coal abundant resource in United States (and has funding)
- Prior (limited) research has considered detonations coupled with MHD, primarily for gaseous fuels
- Most research investigating coal detonations has focused on safety
- Physical and thermal properties of coal detonations need to be measured to understand coupling with MHD



Technical Objectives

Overall Goal

Develop and evaluate a pulse detonation engine system which can be coupled with a MHD system, and analyze MHD and detonation performance.

Specific Objectives:

- 1) Design, build, and operate a pulse detonation engine that operates on gaseous or solid fuels with oxygen as the oxidizer.
- 2) Evaluate the operational envelope and performance of the pulse detonation device with both seeded and unseeded flows.
- 3) Develop and use a numerical design tool to calculate the performance of pulse detonation and coupled detonation-MHD systems.



Overview of Tasks





Remainder of Talk

- 1) Development of Pulse Detonation Engine (Task 2)
- 2) Knowledge Gained (Task 2)
- 3) Development of Coal Seeder (Task 3)
- 4) Preliminary Calculations (Task 4)
- 5) Future Work



Pulse Detonation System



Valving to Cycle Engine



Operation of the PDE





Mechanical, Industrial & Manufacturing Engineering

Continuous Firing





Achieving Consistent Velocities: Purging



Achieving Consistent Velocities: Consistent DDT

 $L_{tube} = 14D$





13

Shchelkin spiral helpful in achieving consistent DDT



Achieving Consistent Velocities: Temperature Effects

- Little sensitivity of detonation velocities to initial temperatures
- Notable sensitivity of pulse detonator to initial temperature





Achieving Consistent Results



Knowledge to be Gained using Detonation Tube

- 1) Quantify sensitivity of detonation velocities to combustion products
- 2) Provide boundary conditions for parametric study of MHDdetonation system
- 3) Identify the influence of coal or gaseous fuels on the detonation characteristics (e.g., electrical conductivity, velocity)



Development of Coal Seeder





Governing Eq. for MHD/Detonation

Mass conservation equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iint_{S} \rho dS = -\int_{l} \rho \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} dl$$

u: Gas Velocity ρ : Density p: Pressure

B: Magnetic Flux Density **J**: Electric Current Density

<u>Momentum conservation equation</u>: E: Total Energy σ : Electrical Conductivity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iint_{S} \rho \boldsymbol{u} dS = -\int_{l} \{\rho \boldsymbol{u} (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}) + p\boldsymbol{n}\} dl + \int_{l} \bar{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} dl + \iint_{S} \boldsymbol{J} \times \boldsymbol{B} dS$$

Total energy conservation equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iint_{S} \rho E dS = -\int_{l} (\rho E \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} + p \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}) dl + \int_{l} (\bar{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) \cdot \boldsymbol{n} dl + \iint_{S} \left\{ \frac{\boldsymbol{J}^{2}}{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot (\boldsymbol{J} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \right\} dS$$

Here,

$$E = \sum_{s=1}^{N_{sp}} Y_s(h_{298}^{0} + \int_{T'=298 \text{ K}}^{T} c_p^{0} dT') - p/\rho + \frac{1}{2} |\boldsymbol{u}|^2$$

Mass conservation equation of Chemical Species:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iint_{S} \rho Y_{S} dS = -\int_{l} \rho Y_{S} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} dl + \iint_{S} \rho \dot{Y}_{S} dS$$

<u>Charge Neutrality Equation</u> $\frac{Y_e}{m_e} = \sum_i \frac{Y_{io}}{m_{ion}}$

h: Specific Enthalpy Y_s : Mass Concentration \dot{Y}_s : Mass Production Rate c_p : Specific Heat at Constant Pressure



Governing Equations in Electrodynamics

Generalized Ohm's Law $\boldsymbol{j} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B}) - \frac{\beta}{|\boldsymbol{B}|} \boldsymbol{j} \times \boldsymbol{B}$

j: Electric Current Density *E*: Electric Field *u*: Gas Velocity *B*: Magnetic Flux Density

Electrical Conductivity $\sigma = \frac{e^2 n_e}{m_e \sum_{i=1}^{N_{sp}} v_{ei}}$

Hall Parameter $\beta = \frac{e|\mathbf{B}|}{m_e \sum_{i=1}^{N_{sp}} v_{ei}}$

Collision Frequency of $v_{ei} = n_i Q_{ei} c_e$ **Electron with Species**

Steady Maxwell Equations

```
\nabla \times E = 0
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0
```

e: Elementary Charge n_e : Electron Number Density m_e : Electron Mass n_i : Species Number Density Q_{ei} : Electron Collision **Cross Section with** Species c_e : Electron Mean Thermal Sped



Solving Compressible Flow Equations

Initially applied 2nd-order Van Leer vector flux splitting scheme



20

3rd-order WENO-LF scheme then applied



Density Distribution using WENO-LF Scheme





Solving for Electric Field



Simultaneous Linear Equations

Solved by GMRES Method



Test Case for Electric Field

Distribution of Electric Potential using Manufactured Solution



Test Case for Electric Field

Error in Solution for different nodes





Summary

- 1) Pulse detonation engine has been developed
- 2) Significant evaluation to improve repeatability
- 3) Prototype seeder developed
- 4) MHD solver developed for non-reacting compressible flows



Future Work

Experimental

- 1) Transition PDE to operate using oxy-coal
- 2) Measure boundary conditions and velocities for calculations
- 3) Quantify changes in detonation characteristics between solid and gaseous fuels

Computational

- 1) Couple MHD solver with detonation code
- 2) Develop detonation code
- 3) Parametric study of MHD performance for detonations (long-term)





CE/SE Method: 2D Detonation Example



 $\beta = 2$

|B| = 3 T



 $u_y = \cos 2\pi y \sin 2\pi x$ 29



References (1)

- [1] Petrick M, Shumyatsky BY. *Open-cycle magnetohydrodynamic electrical power generation*. Argonne, IL, USA: Argonne National Laboratory; 1978.
- [2]

<u>https://engineering.purdue.edu/AAE/Research/ResearchFacilities/PropulsionFacilities/pics/hpl/pde_firing.jpg</u>

- [3] Litchford RJ. Integrated Pulse Detonation Propulsion and Magnetohydrodynamic Power. NASA/TP-2001-210801, 2001.
- [4] <u>https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Det_front_structure.jpg</u>
- [5] Roy GD, Frolov SM, Borisov AA, Netzer DW. Prog Energy Combust Sci 30(6):545-672, 2004.
- [6] Ciccarelli G and Dorofeev S, Prog Combust Sci, 34(4): 499-550, 2008.
- [7] http://arc.uta.edu/research/pde.htm
- [8] Bykovskiĭ F, Zhdan S, Vedernikov E, Zholobov Y, Dokl Phys, 55(3):142-144, 2010.
- [9] Kayukawa N. Energy Convers Manage 2000;41:1953–74.
- [10] Cambier J-L, Roth T, Zeineh CF, Karagozian AR. The Pulse Detonation Rocket Induced MHD Ejector (PDRIME) Concept. 44th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit, 2008.

[11] Cambier J-L, Lofftus D. MHD Power Generation From a Pulse Detonation Rocket Engine. 33rd AIAA Plasmadynamics & Lasers Conference, 2002, pp. 49-

[12] Matsumoto M, Murakami T, Okuno Y. ISS Trans 2010;5:422-7.



References (2)

- [13] Chang S-C, Wang X-Y, Chow C-Y. J Comput Phys 1999;156:89–136.
- [14] Wu Y, Ma F, Yang V. Int J Comput Fluid Dyn 2004;18:277-87.
- [15] Franklach, M., Wang, H., Goldenburg, M., Smith, G.P., Golden, D.M., Bowman, C.T., Hanson, R.K., Gardiner, W.C. and Lissianski, V. (1995) GRI-Mech – An Optimized Detailed Chemical Reaction Mechanism for Methane Combustion (Gas Research Institute), Technical Report GRI-95/0058.
- [16] Ma F, Choi J-Y, Yang V. J Propul Power 2005;21:512–26.
- [17] Ma F, Choi J-Y, Yang V. J Propul Power 2006;22:1188–203.

[18] Ma F, Choi J-Y, Yang V. J Propul Power 2008;24:479–90.

- [19] Frassoldati A, Cuoci A, Faravelli T, Ranzi E, Candusso C, Tolazzi D. Simplified kinetic schemes for oxy-fuel combustion. 1st International Conference on Sustainable Fossil Fuels for Future Energy, 2009.
- [20] Zhang M, John Yu ST, Henry Lin SC, Chang S-C, Blankson I. J Comput Phys 2006;214:599–617.
- [21] Schulz JC, Gottiparthi KC, Menon S. Shock Waves 2012;22:579–90.

