Thermodynamic Prediction of Morphological Evolution and Chemical Stability of LSM and LSCF Cathodes in Chromium-Containing Air



Boxun Hu, Sridevi Krishnan, Chiying Liang, Ashish N. Aphale, Rampi Ramprasad, Prabhakar Singh University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269



Abstract: State-of-the art cathodes namely Lanthanum Strontium Manganite (LSM) and Lanthanum Strontium Cobalt Ferrite (LSCF), have been electrochemically tested in the presence of chromium vapor and humidified air (3% H₂O) using LSM/YSZ/Pt and LSCF/GDC/Pt half-cells at 750°C. For the 100-hour tests, the electrochemical performance of the LSM/YSZ/Pt half-cell exhibited a rapid decrease with time in an I-t curve while the LSCF/GDC/Pt half-cell only exhibited a slight decrease of electrode performance. Posttest electrode morphologies indicated that Cr species deposited predominantly at LSM/YSZ interface whereas Cr deposited mainly at LSCF surface. Raman spectra show the SrCrO₄ formation on the posttest LSCF cathode but not on the posttest LSM cathode. We perform first principles calculations on representative LSM and LSC, to support our experimental findings. First principles thermodynamics coupled with a linear programming approach was used to identify the reaction energetics and thermodynamically favorable decomposition pathway of LSM and LSC compounds in presence of Cr vapor. The bulk reaction energetics suggests that the stoichiometric LSM remains unreacted for the whole range of experimental pCrO₃ and temperatures (T) while the formation of SrCrO₄ was observed to be energetically favorable on LSC cathode for the experimental pCrO₃-T range. Thus the calculations show excellent agreement with experimental results and provide the $pCrO_3$ -T range to avoid Cr poisoning.

Background

LSM and LSCF cathodes in solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) stacks are exposed to inlet air containing intrinsic impurities such as H_2O (~3%), CO_2 (~400 ppm), and SO_2 (~0.3 ppm). Inlet air also contains chromium vapor species from balance of plant components (BoP) and metallic interconnects. Impurities namely H_2O , CO_2 , SO_2 , and CrO_x present in air, poison LSM and LSCF cathodes. Unlike the degradation due to water vapor, the degradation due to chromium cannot be

Morphologies & Compositions of Cathode/Electrolyte Interface

LSM YSZ		Element (%)	LSM cathode surface*	LSM cathode/ YSZ electrolyte	LSCF cathode surface	LSCF cathode/ GDC electrolyte #
	LSM	CrK (atom%)	2.7 ± 0.2 (1.7 ± 0.1)	10.8 ± 0.5	13.1 ± 0.7	3.8 ± 0.2 (2.2 ± 0.1)
500 nm	500 nm	LaL (atom%)	37.0 ± 1.8 (37.9 ± 1.9)	23.9 ± 1.2	23.3 ± 1.2	27.6 ± 1.4 (28.0 ± 1.4)
ACAF		SrK (atom%)	10.8 ± 0.5 (11.3 ± 0.6)	23.4 ± 1.2	23.6 ± 1.2	19.5 ± 1.0 (20.6 ± 1.0)
	ISCE	Mok		110 ± 21	NLΔ	ΝΙΔ

regenerated by increase in operating temperature.

Density functional theory (DFT) offers a robust tool to study materials at the atomic level. Here we use DFT calculations to predict the stability of (La, Sr)MnO_{3- δ} and (La, Sr)CoO₃ cathode materials in chromium vapor. Combined approaches of theoretical and experimental methods reach agreement in this study. This helps understanding of chromium poisoning mechanisms for the development of robust cathodes to improve the long term stability of SOFC power systems.

Objective

- \succ To identify the processes for LSM and LSCF cathode interaction with chromium species in humidified air.
- To determine the mechanisms for LSM and LSCF cathode degradation due to interaction with chromium originated from BoP materials and Interconnect.

Experimental & Theoretical Approaches



Figure 2. Work flow for determination of

reaction energetics through linear

programming approach



In 3% H₂O/air

In 3% H₂O/air and Cr vapor

(atom%)

Figure 5. SEM images and compositions of the cathode/electrolyte of post test LSM and LSCF cathodes exposed to Cr vapor for 100 hrs at 750°C in 3%H₂O-air with 0.5 V bias

Comparisons of Reaction Energetics of LSM and LSC with CrO₃



Figure 6. Reaction energetics of stoichiometric of (a) La_{0.9}Sr_{0.1}CoO₃ (LSC) and (b) $L_{0.75}Sr_{0.25}MnO_3$ (LSM) with CrO₃. The blue dashed rectangle shows the experimentally relevant range of $PCrO_3$ and T.

LSM decompsotion Energy: $E_d = \min\{\sum_i c_i E_i\} - E_{(La,Sr)MnO_{3-\delta}} - \alpha E_{CrO_3} - \alpha \Delta \mu_{CrO_3}\}$ (1)

 $La_{0.9}Sr_{0.1}CoO_3(s) + CrO_3(g) \rightarrow La_2O_3(s) + SrO(s) + Co_3O_4(s) + SrCrO_4(s) + O_2(g)(2)$

 $La_{0.9}Sr_{0.1}CoO_3(s) + CrO_3(g) \rightarrow La_2O_3(s) + Co_3O_4(s) + SrCrO_4(s) + LaCrO_3(s) + O_2(g)$ (3)

 \succ The bulk reaction energetic suggests that the stoichiometric LSM and CrO₃ (without O vacancy, $\delta = 0$) remains unreacted.

Figure 1. Configuration of a LSM/YSZ/Pt cell for electrochemical testing

Results and Discussion

Electrochemical performance



Figure 3. I-t and EIS plots of LSM/YSZ/Pt and LSCF/GDC/Pt half cells at 750°C and 0.5 V bias in 3% H₂O/air containing Cr

Surface Morphologies & SrCrO₄ Formation



 \succ Formation of SrCrO₄ and Co₃O₄ between LSC and CrO₃ are thermodynamically favorable at 750°C and low Cr vapor pressure.

Degradation mechanisms



- \succ Cr₂O₃ (Eq. 4) forms at LSM/YSZ interface in humidified air in presence of Cr. $CrO_3.CrO_2(OH)_2(g) + 6 e^- = Cr_2O_3(s) + 3O^{2-1}$ $(ion) + H_2O(g)$
- \succ Formation of Cr₂O₃ at triple phase boundaries blocks oxygen reduction sites .
- Poor oxygen ion conductivity of LSM limits the oxygen reduction site near TPBs at interface.
- LSCF with excellent mixed conductivity extends oxygen reduction sites and improves cathode stability.

Figure 7. Schematic of the degradation mechanisms of LSM and LSCF cathodes in air containing H₂O and chromium vapor.

Conclusions

- LSM/YSZ/Pt half-cell exhibited a rapid decrease with time in an I-t curve while the LSCF/GDC/Pt half-cell only exhibited a slight decrease.
- Cr species deposited predominantly at LSM/YSZ interface whereas Cr deposited mainly at LSCF surface.
- Formation of SrCrO₄ as favored products for LSC whereas the LSM remains unreacted for a wide range of experimental CrO₃ partial pressures.

References

- B. Hu, M. K. Mahapatra, M. Keane, H. Zhang, P. Singh, J. Power Sources 268 (2014) 404-413.
- B. Hu, M. K. Mahapatra, M. Keane, P. Singh, J. Power Sources 248 (2014) 196-204.
- Acknowledgements



