Long-Term Degradation of LSM-Based SOFC Cathodes: Use of a Proven Accelerated Test Regimen

Mark R. De Guire, Naima Hilli, Hsiang-Jen Wang, Celeste Cooper, Ryan Zienert, and Arthur H. Heuer

Case Western Reserve University

16th Annual Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Workshop Pittsburgh, PA • 14–16 July 2015



Outline

- Project objectives
- Background findings under normal and accelerated operation
- Procedures
- Microstructure analysis after accelerated cell testing
 - Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) with energydispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDXS) — 0 & 493 h
 - 3-dimensional reconstruction (3DR) 0 & 72 h
- Performance under accelerated testing 0, 72, & 493 h
 - V–I, P-I area specific resistance (ASR) vs. time
- Conclusions & summary



Project Objectives

- Overall objectives:
 - Understand *microstructural basis of longterm performance loss* in SOFC cathodes based on lanthanum strontium manganite (LSM)
 - Develop strategies for *optimizing LSM-based cathodes* that achieve improved long-term performance while exhibiting microstructural and chemical stability *for commercial fuel cell systems*



Project Objectives

- Implement an accelerated testing protocol that ...
 - ... subjects SOFCs to *performance-limiting conditions* more quickly
 - ... replicates *long-term microstructural changes* in much shorter times
- Benefits:
 - Testing/characterization cycles taking months, not years
 - Design rules to guide refinements in cathode formulations

Prior work, normal conditions: TEM/EDXS

cells tested at 800 °C



At cathode-electrolyte interface* after extended testing:

- Reduced porosity Accumulation of MnO_x
- *) Left edge of each image

•

Ref.: H.-J. Wang et al., 14th SECA Workshop, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, July 2013



Prior work, normal conditions: 3DR



- 3D reconstruction (3DR) allows direct determination of:
 - Phase fractions and profiles
- Tortuosity
- Triple-phase boundary density (active and inactive)



Prior work, normal conditions: 3DR



- Cathode densification near cathode-electrolyte interface
- Evident after 16 kh/860 °C, but not after 8 kh/860 °C

Ref.: H.-J. Wang et al., 14th SECA Workshop, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, July 2013



Prior work, accelerated conditions: SEM



Thickness of densified cathode layer vs. duration of accelerated testing





Technical Approach

- Button cells fabricated
- Cell testing at CWRU using LGFCS's accelerated cathode test protocol
- Microstructural characterization at CWRU
- Collaborative analysis and development of design rules
- 4 cycles of cathode formulation, testing, analysis, and refinement in 3 years





Procedures: button cell specifications

- Cell materials:
 - 8YSZ electrolyte (NexTech)
 - NiO-8YSZ anode (60:40 wt%)
 - Cathode:
 - A-site deficient LSM
 - LSM:8YSZ (50:50 wt%)
- Cell structure and processing
 - Electrolyte: 32 mm dia., 200 µm thick
 - Electrodes: screen printed, 9.5 mm dia., fired separately







Results: TEM w/EDXS mapping

- As reduced (0 h)
 - MnO_x observed sparingly across entire cathode



- 493 h accelerated testing
 - MnO_x near cathode/e'lyte interface & in LSM cathode current collector (CCC)
 - No obvious densification layer (3DR in progress)





As-reduced cell: LSM profiles (EDXS)



Uniform LSM composition across cathode, pre-testing



Accelerated testing, 493 h: LSM profiles (EDXS)



distance from electrolyte interface (μ m)

 Bulk LSM composition is quite homogeneous across cathode and CCC





- Uniform YSZ composition across cathodes
- Little change after 493 h 4–5 cat% Mn in YSZ

	Y	Mn	Ni	Sr-K	Zr-K	La-L
0 h (cat%)	13.5	5.15	0.2	0.02	79	1.5
493 h (cat%)	14.1	4.4	0.3	0.1	77.0	0.7

3DR: 0 vs. 72 h of accelerated testing



Phase profiles: 0 vs. 72 h accelerated testing



As reduced					
porosity	17 vol%				
YSZ	42 vol%				
LSM	41 vol%				
Tested for 72 hrs					

<u>Tested for 72 hrs</u>				
porosity	18 vol%			
YSZ	41 vol%			
LSM	41 vol%			

No significant changes in phase fractions or profiles after 72 h testing



Summary of 3DR data: 0 vs 72 h testing

		Button cell, as reduced	Button cell, tested for 72 h
sample volume (µm ³)		≈ 4350	≈ 5670
volume fraction	porosity	17	18
	YSZ	42	41
(70)	LSM	41	41
particle diameter (µm)	porosity	0.2	0.4
	YSZ	0.5	0.5
	LSM	0.6	0.7
tortuosity	porosity	2	1.8
	YSZ	1.45	1.5
	LSM 1.3	1.3	1.4
normalized surface	porosity	26	15
area	YSZ	12	11.8
(µm ⁻¹)	LSM	10	8.6
Total TPB (μm ⁻²)		17.11	12.53
Active TPB (μm ⁻²)		10.25	11.45



case school of engineering CaseWestern Reserve

Accelerated testing, 72 h: V-I and P-I plots





Accelerated testing, 493 h: V-I and P-I plots





Ongoing and future work

- 3DR of 500-h specimen look for changes in pore size and distribution
- TEM/EDS of 72-h specimen early changes in MnO_x?
- Additional testing of 1st cathode composition
 - 200-h accelerated test
 - 500-h test, *normal* conditions
- Analysis of EIS results (all tested cells)
- 2nd cathode composition selected; cells fabricated
 - Testing to begin later this month



Conclusions

Accelerated testing protocol \rightarrow much higher rise in ASR (50–100% per kh) than normal operation (goal: $\leq 1\%/kh$).

- 72 h:
 - coarsening of pores
 - lower total TPB density
 - no obvious changes in phase fractions or profiles
- 493 h:
 - MnO_x at cathode-electrolyte interface and in CCC
 - No obvious densification layer (3DR in progress)



Conclusions: prior vs. current results

Similarities:

- Microstructural stability of the YSZ phase
- Solubility of Mn in 8YSZ
- MnO_x at cathode-e'lyte interface and CCC at long times

Differences:

- No obvious densification layer after 493 h (3DR in progress)
- High uniformity of LSM composition across cathode

Pending:

- 3DR of 493-h specimen: trends in ...
 - TPB density
 densification layer
- Testing button cells under normal conditions



Summary

- Microstructural changes in LSM cathodes: culprits, or coincidence?
 - Densification layer MnO_x formation, distribution
 - TPB density Compositional changes in LSM, YSZ
- Unique opportunity to generate several cycles of cathode optimization in relatively short times:
 - Accelerated testing
 - Microstructural analysis
 - Integrated analysis and design rules
 - relevant to long-term, commercial SOFC application



Acknowledgments

- Funding: DoE SECA Core Technology Program (DE-FE0023476)
- NETL program manager: Patcharin Burke
- LG Fuel Cell Systems: Zhien Liu, Min-Jae Jung, Rich Goettler
- Mirko Antloga, Craig Virnelson (CWRU)

<u>Disclaimer</u>: This research is based in part upon work supported by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

