

# Contents



## CONTENTS

<b>1 PURPOSE AND NEED.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
<b>1.1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
<b>1.2 Project Background.....</b>	<b>1-3</b>
1.2.1 Clean Coal Power Initiative .....	1-3
1.2.2 Summit’s Proposed Project: TCEP Overview .....	1-5
<b>1.3 Purpose and Need for Action .....</b>	<b>1-6</b>
1.3.1 DOE’s Purpose and Need .....	1-6
1.3.2 Summit’s Purpose and Need .....	1-6
<b>1.4 Regulatory Framework.....</b>	<b>1-8</b>
1.4.1 National Environmental Policy Act .....	1-8
1.4.2 State Requirements.....	1-8
1.4.2.1 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality .....	1-8
1.4.2.2 Texas Parks and Wildlife Department.....	1-9
1.4.2.3 Texas Department of Transportation .....	1-9
1.4.2.4 Public Utility Commission of Texas .....	1-9
<b>1.5 DOE Scoping Process.....</b>	<b>1-9</b>
1.5.1 Notice of Intent.....	1-9
1.5.2 Public Scoping Meeting .....	1-9
1.5.3 Issues Identified during Scoping .....	1-10
1.5.3.1 Process Issues.....	1-10
1.5.3.2 Purpose and Need .....	1-10
1.5.3.3 Proposed Action.....	1-10
1.5.3.4 Alternative Technologies Issues .....	1-10
1.5.3.5 Resource and Analysis Issues.....	1-11
1.5.3.6 Out-of-scope Comments .....	1-11
<b>1.6 Comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement .....</b>	<b>1-12</b>
<b>1.6.1 Public Hearing and Opportunities to Comment .....</b>	<b>1-12</b>
<b>1.6.2 Responding to Comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement .....</b>	<b>1-14</b>
<b>1.6.3 Consultation and Coordination .....</b>	<b>1-16</b>
<b>1.6.3.1 Coordination with Federal and State Agencies.....</b>	<b>1-16</b>
<b>1.6.3.2 Consultation with Native American Tribes .....</b>	<b>1-16</b>
<b>1.6.3.3 Scope of DOE’s Alternatives Considered .....</b>	<b>1-17</b>
<b>1.6.3.4 Region of Influence and Area of Review .....</b>	<b>1-18</b>
<b>1.7 Summary of Changes in the Environmental Impact Statement .....</b>	<b>1-18</b>
<b>1.7.1 Urea Production .....</b>	<b>1-18</b>
<b>1.7.2 Linear Facility Calculations .....</b>	<b>1-18</b>
<b>1.7.3 Water Supply .....</b>	<b>1-19</b>
<b>1.7.4 On-site Water Treatment.....</b>	<b>1-19</b>
<b>1.7.5 Waste Disposal Systems.....</b>	<b>1-19</b>
<b>1.7.6 Flare Systems.....</b>	<b>1-19</b>
<b>1.7.7 Carbon Dioxide Pipeline Networks .....</b>	<b>1-20</b>

<b>1.7.8 Natural Gas Pipelines</b> .....	<b>1-20</b>
<b>1.7.9 Transportation</b> .....	<b>1-20</b>
<b>1.7.10 Water Resources</b> .....	<b>1-20</b>
<b>1.7.11 Cumulative Impacts</b> .....	<b>1-20</b>
<b>1.7.12 Public Hearing Summary</b> .....	<b>1-20</b>
<b>1.7.13 Comments and Responses on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement</b> .....	<b>1-20</b>
<b>2 PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES</b> .....	<b>2-1</b>
<b>2.1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>2-1</b>
<b>2.2 DOE's Proposed Action</b> .....	<b>2-3</b>
<b>2.3 Development of Summit's Proposed Project</b> .....	<b>2-4</b>
2.3.1 Technology Selection .....	2-4
2.3.2 Alternative Sites .....	2-5
2.3.3 Linear Facility Options .....	2-5
<b>2.4 Summit's Proposed Project</b> .....	<b>2-6</b>
2.4.1 Process Description .....	2-6
2.4.1.1 Coal Gasification, Syngas Processing, and Carbon Dioxide Capture .....	2-6
2.4.1.2 Power Generation .....	2-8
2.4.1.3 Fertilizer Production .....	2-8
2.4.2 Process Components and Major Equipment .....	2-8
2.4.2.1 Coal Receiving, Storage, and Handling System .....	2-8
2.4.2.2 Coal Drying and Grinding System .....	2-13
2.4.2.3 Air Separation Unit .....	2-13
2.4.2.4 Gasification Island .....	2-13
2.4.2.5 Water-gas Shift, Low-temperature Gas Cooling, and Mercury Removal Units .....	2-16
2.4.2.6 Acid Gas Removal .....	2-17
2.4.2.7 Sour Water Treatment .....	2-17
2.4.2.8 Sulfuric Acid Plant .....	2-18
2.4.2.9 Carbon Dioxide Compression and Drying .....	2-18
2.4.2.10 Liquid Nitrogen Wash .....	2-19
2.4.2.11 Ammonia Synthesis Unit .....	2-19
2.4.2.12 Urea Synthesis Unit .....	2-19
2.4.2.13 Urea Handling .....	2-20
2.4.2.14 Combined-cycle Power Block .....	2-20
2.4.3 Plant Utility Systems .....	2-21
<b>2.4.3.1 Water Treatment Systems</b> .....	<b>2-21</b>
2.4.3.2 Cooling System .....	2-23
2.4.3.3 Flare Systems .....	2-23
2.4.3.4 Auxiliary Boiler .....	2-24
<b>2.4.3.5 Waste Disposal Systems</b> .....	<b>2-24</b>
2.4.3.6 Emergency Diesel Engines .....	2-28
2.4.3.7 Storm Water Management .....	2-28
2.4.3.8 Control Systems .....	2-28
2.4.4 Disposition of Carbon Dioxide .....	2-28

2.4.4.1 Pipeline Networks.....	2-28
2.4.4.2 Carbon Dioxide Markets.....	2-29
2.4.4.3 Monitoring, Verification, and Accounting.....	2-30
2.4.5 Resource Requirements.....	2-33
2.4.5.1 Coal.....	2-36
2.4.5.2 Natural Gas.....	2-36
2.4.5.3 Process Water.....	2-37
2.4.5.4 Potable Water.....	2-42
2.4.5.5 Electric Transmission.....	2-43
2.4.5.6 Carbon Dioxide Pipeline.....	2-46
2.4.5.7 Transportation.....	2-46
2.4.5.8 Land Area.....	2-50
2.4.5.9 Toxic and Hazardous Materials.....	2-50
2.4.6 Emissions, Discharges, and Wastes.....	2-53
2.4.6.1 Air Emissions from Plant Operations.....	2-53
2.4.6.2 Waste Water Effluents.....	2-54
2.4.6.3 Solid Wastes.....	2-55
<b>2.4.6.4 Toxic and Hazardous Materials.....</b>	<b>2-56</b>
2.4.6.5 Pollution Prevention, Recycling, and Reuse.....	2-57
2.4.7 Marketable Products.....	2-58
2.4.7.1 Electricity.....	2-58
2.4.7.2 Carbon Dioxide.....	2-58
2.4.7.3 Urea.....	2-58
2.4.7.4 Argon.....	2-58
2.4.7.5 Sulfuric Acid.....	2-58
2.4.7.6 Slag.....	2-59
2.4.8 Construction Plans.....	2-59
2.4.8.1 Construction Staging and Schedule.....	2-59
2.4.8.2 Construction Materials.....	2-60
2.4.8.3 Construction Wastes.....	2-60
2.4.8.4 Construction Labor.....	2-61
2.4.8.5 Construction Safety Policies and Programs.....	2-62
2.4.9 Operation Plans.....	2-63
2.4.9.1 Plant Operations.....	2-63
2.4.9.2 Operational Labor.....	2-63
2.4.9.3 Health and Safety Policies and Programs.....	2-63
2.4.9.4 Closure and Decommissioning.....	2-64
<b>2.5 Avoidance and Mitigation Measures.....</b>	<b>2-64</b>
<b>2.6 DOE's No Action Alternative.....</b>	<b>2-69</b>
<b>3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>3.1 Introduction and Project Setting.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1.1 Polygen Plant Site.....	3-3
3.1.2 Linear Facilities.....	3-3

3.1.3 Polygen Plant Site Access .....	3-4
<b>3.2 Impacts Assessment Background and Definitions.....</b>	<b>3-5</b>
3.2.1 Region of Influence .....	3-5
3.2.2 Types of Impacts .....	3-6
3.2.3 No Action Alternative.....	3-6
3.2.4 General Assumptions .....	3-6
<b>3.3 Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....</b>	<b>3-7</b>
3.3.1 Background .....	3-7
3.3.2 Region of Influence .....	3-7
3.3.3 Methodology and Indicators .....	3-7
3.3.3.1 Modeling Approach .....	3-8
3.3.3.2 Effects Screening Limits .....	3-9
3.3.4 Affected Environment.....	3-10
3.3.4.1 Wind.....	3-10
3.3.4.2 Local and Regional Air Quality.....	3-10
3.3.4.3 Hazardous Air Pollutants .....	3-15
3.3.4.4 Greenhouse Gases .....	3-15
3.3.4.5 Proximity to Class I And II Areas .....	3-16
3.3.4.6 Air Quality Management Plans .....	3-16
3.3.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit's Proposed Project.....	3-16
3.3.5.1 Sensitive Receptors .....	3-17
3.3.5.2 Project Emissions .....	3-17
3.3.5.3 Other Air Quality Impacts .....	3-24
3.3.6 Mitigation.....	3-25
<b>3.4 Climate .....</b>	<b>3-26</b>
3.4.1 Background .....	3-26
3.4.2 Region of Influence .....	3-26
3.4.3 Methodology and Indicators .....	3-26
3.4.4 Affected Environment.....	3-27
3.4.4.1 Existing Climate .....	3-27
3.4.4.2 Severe Weather Events .....	3-27
3.4.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit's Proposed Project.....	3-28
3.4.5.1 Construction Impacts .....	3-28
3.4.5.2 Operational Impacts.....	3-28
3.4.6 Mitigation.....	3-29
<b>3.5 Soils, Geology, and Mineral Resources .....</b>	<b>3-30</b>
3.5.1 Background .....	3-30
3.5.2 Region of Influence .....	3-30
3.5.3 Methodology and Indicators .....	3-32
3.5.4 Affected Environment.....	3-32
3.5.4.1 Soils.....	3-32
3.5.4.2 Geology .....	3-33
3.5.4.3 Mineral Resources .....	3-36

3.5.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit’s Proposed Project.....	3-39
3.5.5.1 Soils.....	3-39
3.5.5.2 Geology.....	3-40
3.5.5.3 Mineral Resources.....	3-41
3.5.6 Mitigation.....	3-42
<b>3.6 Ground Water Resources .....</b>	<b>3-43</b>
3.6.1 Background.....	3-43
3.6.2 Region of Influence .....	3-43
3.6.3 Methodology and Indicators .....	3-46
3.6.3.1 Impact Indicators.....	3-46
3.6.3.2 Regulatory Requirements.....	3-46
3.6.4 Affected Environment.....	3-47
3.6.4.1 Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) Aquifer.....	3-47
3.6.4.2 Ogallala Aquifer.....	3-48
3.6.4.3 Pecos Valley Aquifer.....	3-48
3.6.4.4 Capitan Reef Complex Aquifer .....	3-49
3.6.4.5 Dockum Aquifer .....	3-49
3.6.4.6 Edwards-Trinity (High Plains) Aquifer .....	3-49
3.6.4.7 Rustler Aquifer .....	3-49
3.6.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit’s Proposed Project.....	3-50
3.6.5.1 Ground Water Quantity .....	3-50
3.6.5.2 Ground Water Quality .....	3-51
3.6.6 Mitigation.....	3-54
<b>3.7 Surface Water Resources .....</b>	<b>3-55</b>
3.7.1 Background.....	3-55
3.7.2 Region of Influence .....	3-55
3.7.3 Methodology and Indicators .....	3-55
3.7.4 Affected Environment.....	3-56
3.7.4.1 Wetlands, Waterways, Water Bodies, and Water Quality .....	3-58
3.7.4.2 Floodplains.....	3-65
3.7.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit’s Proposed Project.....	3-66
3.7.5.1 Wetlands, Water Bodies, Waterways, and Water Quality .....	3-66
3.7.5.2 Floodplains.....	3-70
3.7.6 Mitigation.....	3-71
<b>3.8 Biological Resources .....</b>	<b>3-72</b>
3.8.1 Background.....	3-72
3.8.2 Region of Influence .....	3-72
3.8.3 Methodology and Indicators .....	3-72
3.8.3.1 Methods of Analysis .....	3-72
3.8.3.2 Assessment Indicators .....	3-73
3.8.4 Affected Environment.....	3-74
3.8.4.1 Terrestrial Species.....	3-74
3.8.4.2 Aquatic Species.....	3-76

3.8.4.3 Migratory Birds.....	3-78
3.8.4.4 Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species.....	3-78
3.8.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit's Proposed Project.....	3-81
3.8.5.1 Terrestrial Species.....	3-81
3.8.5.2 Aquatic Species.....	3-85
3.8.5.3 Migratory Birds.....	3-85
3.8.5.4 Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species.....	3-86
3.8.6 Mitigation.....	3-87
<b>3.9 Aesthetics.....</b>	<b>3-89</b>
3.9.1 Background.....	3-89
3.9.2 Region of Influence.....	3-89
3.9.3 Methodology and Indicators.....	3-91
3.9.4 Affected Environment.....	3-93
3.9.4.1 Scenic Resources.....	3-93
3.9.4.2 Scenic Viewsheds.....	3-94
3.9.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit's Proposed Project.....	3-99
3.9.5.1 Impacts to Key Observation Points 1, 3, 4, and 6.....	3-100
3.9.5.2 Impacts to Key Observation Point 2.....	3-101
3.9.5.3 Impacts to Key Observation Point 5.....	3-101
3.9.5.4 Impacts to Night Sky Conditions.....	3-102
3.9.6 Mitigation.....	3-102
<b>3.10 Cultural Resources.....</b>	<b>3-104</b>
3.10.1 Background.....	3-104
3.10.2 Region of Influence.....	3-104
3.10.3 Methodology and Indicators.....	3-104
3.10.4 Affected Environment.....	3-106
3.10.4.1 Polygen Plant Site.....	3-106
3.10.4.2 Linear Facilities.....	3-110
3.10.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit's Proposed Project.....	3-111
3.10.5.1 Polygen Plant Site.....	3-111
3.10.5.2 Linear Facilities.....	3-112
3.10.5.3 Native American Resources.....	3-112
3.10.6 Mitigation.....	3-113
<b>3.11 Land Use.....</b>	<b>3-114</b>
3.11.1 Background.....	3-114
3.11.2 Region of Influence.....	3-114
3.11.3 Methodology and Indicators.....	3-114
3.11.4 Affected Environment.....	3-115
3.11.4.1 Polygen Plant Site.....	3-115
3.11.4.2 Linear Facilities.....	3-118
3.11.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit's Proposed Project.....	3-123
3.11.5.1 Polygen Plant Site.....	3-123
3.11.5.2 Linear Facilities.....	3-124



3.11.6 Mitigation .....	3-127
<b>3.12 Socioeconomics .....</b>	<b>3-128</b>
3.12.1 Background .....	3-128
3.12.2 Region of Influence .....	3-128
3.12.3 Methodology and Indicators .....	3-128
3.12.4 Affected Environment .....	3-129
3.12.4.1 Demographics .....	3-129
3.12.4.2 Housing .....	3-131
3.12.4.3 Economics .....	3-132
3.12.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit's Proposed Project .....	3-136
3.12.5.1 Demographics .....	3-136
3.12.5.2 Housing .....	3-136
3.12.5.3 Economics .....	3-136
3.12.6 Mitigation .....	3-140
<b>3.13 Environmental Justice .....</b>	<b>3-141</b>
3.13.1 Background .....	3-141
3.13.2 Region of Influence .....	3-141
3.13.3 Methodology and Indicators .....	3-141
3.13.4 Affected Environment .....	3-144
3.13.4.1 Minority and/or Low-income Populations in the Region of Influence .....	3-144
3.13.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit's Proposed Project .....	3-145
3.13.6 Mitigation .....	3-145
<b>3.14 Community Services .....</b>	<b>3-146</b>
3.14.1 Background .....	3-146
3.14.2 Region of Influence .....	3-146
3.14.3 Methodology and Indicators .....	3-146
3.14.4 Affected Environment .....	3-147
3.14.4.1 Law Enforcement .....	3-147
3.14.4.2 Emergency Response Services .....	3-147
3.14.4.3 Fire Protection .....	3-147
3.14.4.4 Hazardous Materials Emergency Response .....	3-148
3.14.4.5 Health Services .....	3-148
3.14.4.6 Schools .....	3-148
3.14.4.7 Recreation .....	3-149
3.14.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit's Proposed Project .....	3-149
3.14.5.1 Law Enforcement .....	3-149
3.14.5.2 Emergency Response Services .....	3-150
3.14.5.3 Fire Protection .....	3-150
3.14.5.4 Hazardous Materials Emergency Response .....	3-150
3.14.5.5 Health Services .....	3-150
3.14.5.6 Schools .....	3-150
3.14.5.7 Recreation .....	3-151
3.14.6 Mitigation .....	3-151

<b>3.15 Utility Systems</b> .....	<b>3-152</b>
3.15.1 Background .....	3-152
3.15.2 Region of Influence.....	3-152
3.15.3 Methodology and Indicators .....	3-152
3.15.4 Affected Environment .....	3-153
3.15.4.1 Process Water .....	3-153
3.15.4.2 Transmission Lines.....	3-155
3.15.4.3 Natural Gas Pipeline .....	3-156
3.15.4.4 Carbon Dioxide Pipeline.....	3-156
3.15.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit’s Proposed Project .....	3-156
3.15.5.1 Construction .....	3-156
3.15.5.2 Operations .....	3-158
3.15.6 Mitigation .....	3-161
<b>3.16 Transportation</b> .....	<b>3-162</b>
3.16.1 Background .....	3-162
3.16.2 Region of Influence.....	3-162
3.16.3 Methodology and Indicators .....	3-162
3.16.4 Affected Environment .....	3-163
3.16.4.1 Roadway System.....	3-163
3.16.4.2 Rail System .....	3-166
3.16.5 Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Project.....	3-168
3.16.5.1 Impacts to Roadway Transportation.....	3-168
3.16.5.2 Impacts from Linear Facilities.....	3-172
3.16.5.3 Impacts to Rail Transportation.....	3-172
3.16.6 Mitigation .....	3-173
<b>3.17 Materials and Waste Management</b> .....	<b>3-175</b>
3.17.1 Background .....	3-175
3.17.2 Region of Influence.....	3-175
3.17.3 Methodology and Indicators .....	3-175
3.17.4 Affected Environment .....	3-176
3.17.4.1 Construction Materials.....	3-176
3.17.4.2 Process-related Materials .....	3-177
3.17.4.3 Wastes .....	3-177
3.17.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit’s Proposed Project .....	3-178
3.17.5.1 Construction Impacts.....	3-178
3.17.5.2 Operational Impacts .....	3-181
3.17.6 Mitigation .....	3-182
<b>3.18 Human Health, Safety, and Accidents</b> .....	<b>3-183</b>
3.18.1 Background .....	3-183
3.18.2 Region of Influence.....	3-183
3.18.3 Methodology and Indicators .....	3-183
3.18.4 Affected Environment .....	3-185
3.18.4.1 Occupational Safety and Health.....	3-185

3.18.4.2 Transportation Safety .....	3-186
3.18.4.3 Carbon Dioxide and Natural Gas Pipeline Safety .....	3-188
3.18.4.4 Exposure to Contaminated Sites .....	3-189
3.18.5 Environmental Impacts of Summit's Proposed Project .....	3-189
3.18.5.1 Occupational Health and Safety .....	3-189
3.18.5.2 Transportation Safety .....	3-191
3.18.5.3 Carbon Dioxide and Natural Gas Pipeline Safety .....	3-194
3.18.5.4 Exposure to Contaminated Sites .....	3-194
3.18.5.5 Polygen Plant Risk Analyses .....	3-195
3.18.5.6 Post-injection Risk Analyses .....	3-200
3.18.5.7 Hazardous Air Pollutants .....	3-202
3.18.5.8 Transmission Lines and Electromagnetic Fields .....	3-202
3.18.6 Mitigation .....	3-203
<b>3.19 Noise and Vibration .....</b>	<b>3-204</b>
3.19.1 Background .....	3-204
3.19.1.1 Noise .....	3-204
3.19.1.2 Vibration.....	3-205
3.19.2 Region of Influence.....	3-206
3.19.3 Methodology and Indicators .....	3-206
3.19.3.1 Noise .....	3-207
3.19.3.2 Vibration.....	3-208
3.19.4 Affected Environment .....	3-208
3.19.4.1 Noise .....	3-208
3.19.4.2 Vibration.....	3-209
3.19.5 Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Project.....	3-209
3.19.5.1 Construction .....	3-209
3.19.5.2 Operations .....	3-214
3.19.6 Mitigation .....	3-216
<b>4 SUMMARY COMPARISON OF IMPACTS FOR ALTERNATIVES .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
<b>5 POTENTIAL CUMULATIVE EFFECTS.....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
<b>5.1 Approach and Analytical Perspective.....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1.1 Background .....	5-1
5.1.2 Project Impact Zones and Areas of Analysis.....	5-1
5.1.3 Reasonably Foreseeable Future Development: Specific Actions and Trends.....	5-3
5.1.3.1 La Entrada al Pacifico Rail Corridor .....	5-3
5.1.3.2 Moss 138-kV Transmission Line Project.....	5-3
<b>5.1.3.3 Interstate 20 Roadway Resurfacing from Pyote to Monahans .....</b>	<b>5-4</b>
<b>5.1.3.4 Loop 338 Roadway Repair from State Highway 302 to Yukon Road .....</b>	<b>5-4</b>
<b>5.1.3.5 Interstate 20 Roadway Repair from State Highway 349 to Farm-to-market Road 1788.....</b>	<b>5-4</b>
<b>5.1.3.6 Notrees Power Storage Project .....</b>	<b>5-4</b>
<b>5.1.3.7 City of Midland Satellite WWTP Plant.....</b>	<b>5-5</b>
5.1.4 Analysis Methodology.....	5-5

<b>5.2 Cumulative Effects .....</b>	<b>5-8</b>
5.2.1 Cumulative Effects of Intermediate Importance.....	5-8
5.2.2 Cumulative Effects of High Importance.....	5-17
5.2.2.1 Climate Change.....	5-17
5.2.2.2 Water Resources.....	5-21
<b>6 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES AND LOCAL SHORT-TERM USES AND LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT.....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
<b>6.1 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
<b>6.2 Relationship between Short-term Uses of the Environment and Long-term Productivity.....</b>	<b>6-2</b>
<b>7 PERMITTING AND LICENSING REQUIREMENTS .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
<b>8 AGENCIES AND TRIBES CONTACTED .....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
<b>9 DISTRIBUTION LIST .....</b>	<b>9-1</b>
<b>10 REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>10-1</b>
<b>11 LIST OF PREPARERS/CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND DISCLOSURE FORMS.....</b>	<b>11-1</b>
<b>11.1 List of Preparers .....</b>	<b>11-1</b>
11.1.1 U.S. Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory.....	11-1
11.1.2 Lucinda Low Swartz, Esq. ....	11-1
11.1.3 SWCA Environmental Consultants .....	11-1
<b>11.2 Conflict of Interest and Disclosure Forms .....</b>	<b>11-5</b>
<b>12 INDEX .....</b>	<b>12-1</b>
<b>13 GLOSSARY .....</b>	<b>13-1</b>

## APPENDICES

**Appendix A.** Agency and Tribal Consultation

**Appendix B.** Environmental Synopsis CCPI Round 3

**Appendix C.** Preliminary Quantitative Risk Analysis of the Texas Clean Energy Project

## FIGURES

<b>Figure 1.1.</b> General location map.....	1-2
<b>Figure 2.1.</b> Polygen plant site and associated linear facilities.....	2-2
<b>Figure 2.2.</b> TCEP gasification, power generation, and urea production.....	2-7
<b>Figure 2.3.</b> Polygen plant layout.....	2-9
<b>Figure 2.4.</b> TCEP process flow diagram (Summit 2011b).....	2-11
<b>Figure 2.5.</b> Siemens gasification island (Siemens 2010).....	2-15
<b>Figure 2.6.</b> <i>TCEP water treatment system and waste disposal system flow diagram.</i> .....	<b>2-22</b>
<b>Figure 2.7.</b> Proposed natural gas pipeline interconnection options (NG1-NG3).....	2-38
<b>Figure 2.8.</b> Proposed routes for the process water pipeline options (WL1-WL6).....	2-39
<b>Figure 2.9.</b> Proposed routes for the transmission line interconnection options (TL1-TL6).....	2-45
<b>Figure 2.10.</b> Proposed carbon dioxide pipeline route (CO <sub>2</sub> ).....	2-48
<b>Figure 2.11.</b> Proposed routes for TCEP access roads (AR1-AR4) and the rail spur (RR1).....	2-49
<b>Figure 3.1.</b> Location of the TCEP in the Permian Basin.....	3-2
<b>Figure 3.2.</b> Distribution of winds (percent) at the Midland Airport.....	3-11
<b>Figure 3.3.</b> Distribution of carbon dioxide pipelines in the Permian Basin.....	3-31
<b>Figure 3.4.</b> Carbon dioxide enhanced oil recovery process (NETL 2009).....	3-38
<b>Figure 3.5.</b> Major aquifers in the ground water regions of influence.....	3-44
<b>Figure 3.6.</b> Minor aquifers in the ground water regions of influence.....	3-45
<b>Figure 3.7.</b> Subwatersheds in the project area.....	3-57
<b>Figure 3.8.</b> Proximity of major surface waters to the polygen plant site and linear facilities.....	3-59
<b>Figure 3.9.</b> Existing surface water conditions along the TCEP linear facility options.....	3-60
<b>Figure 3.10.</b> Monahans Draw Impoundment (dominated with broadleaf cattail), as viewed facing northwest toward the proposed waterline crossing.....	3-62
<b>Figure 3.11.</b> Effluent discharge from Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority Odessa South Facility into Monahans Draw Impoundment.....	3-62
<b>Figure 3.12.</b> Changes in Monahans Draw stream flow (above, as viewed from South Dixie Boulevard) and stages of wetland conditions (below, as viewed near the proposed WL1 crossing).....	3-63
<b>Figure 3.13.</b> <i>Monahans Draw vegetated with seepwillow and saltcedar, as viewed facing northwest upstream of County Road 1213.</i> .....	<b>3-64</b>
<b>Figure 3.14.</b> Borrow pit with ephemeral water (PEM1Cxs Water Body, Inset C on Figure 3.9).....	3-65
<b>Figure 3.15.</b> Ecoregions and Texas Parks and Wildlife Department Natural Diversity Database locations near the TCEP.....	3-75
<b>Figure 3.16.</b> Key observation point locations.....	3-90
<b>Figure 3.17.</b> Monahans Sandhills State Park, view facing northeast.....	3-93
<b>Figure 3.18.</b> Westbound viewshed along Interstate 20 near the proposed polygen plant site.....	3-95
<b>Figure 3.19.</b> Eastbound viewshed along Interstate 20 at the junction with Farm-to-Market Road 1053.....	3-96

<b>Figure 3.20.</b> Farm-to-Market Road 866 and SH 302 intersection viewshed.....	3-97
<b>Figure 3.21.</b> Farm-to-Market Road 1601 viewshed.....	3-98
<b>Figure 3.22.</b> Odessa viewshed.....	3-99
<b>Figure 3.23.</b> Polygen plant site simulation viewed from Key Observation Point 2. The Interstate 20 shoulder and the community of Penwell are visible at the far left side of the panorama.....	3-100
<b>Figure 3.24.</b> <i>Arrow pointing to</i> polygen plant site simulation as viewed from Key Observation Point 5. This view is a north-facing continuation of the view shown in Figure 3.21.....	3-100
<b>Figure 3.25.</b> Location of historical structures documented in the region of influence. ....	3-109
<b>Figure 3.26.</b> Existing wells and pipelines in the polygen plant site. ....	3-116
<b>Figure 3.27.</b> Large parcels in the polygen plant site region of influence. ....	3-117
<b>Figure 3.28.</b> Residential areas along the linear facilities.....	3-119
<b>Figure 3.29.</b> Census tracts in the region of influence. ....	3-142
<b>Figure 3.30.</b> Interstate 20 exit, frontage roads, and intersection with Farm-to-Market 1601. ....	3-164
<b>Figure 3.31.</b> Interstate 20 exit, frontage roads, and intersection with Farm-to-Market 866.....	3-167
<b>Figure 3.32.</b> TCEP quantitative risk analysis risk contours (Quest 2010). ....	3-198
<b>Figure 3.33.</b> Risk transect for TCEP carbon dioxide and natural gas pipelines (Quest 2010).....	3-199
<b>Figure 3.34.</b> Noise receptor locations.....	3-210
<b>Figure 5.1.</b> Cumulative regions of influence. ....	5-2
<b>Figure 11.1.</b> Disclosure statement from Lucinda Low Swartz, Esq.....	11-7
<b>Figure 11.2.</b> Disclosure statement from SWCA Environmental Consultants.....	11-9

## TABLES

<b>Table 1.1. General Comments Received During the Draft EIS Public Comment Period.....</b>	<b>1-14</b>
<b>Table 2.1. Summit's Proposed Monitoring, Verification, and Accounting for Carbon Dioxide Enhanced Oil Recovery Sequestration.....</b>	<b>2-32</b>
<b>Table 2.2. TCEP Resource Requirements.....</b>	<b>2-33</b>
<b>Table 2.3. Toxic and Hazardous Materials and Estimated Storage at the Polygen Plant Site .....</b>	<b>2-51</b>
<b>Table 2.4. TCEP Permitted Air Pollutant Emissions .....</b>	<b>2-53</b>
<b>Table 2.5. Comparison of Power Plant Emissions Per Megawatt Hour.....</b>	<b>2-54</b>
<b>Table 2.6. Solid Wastes from the Polygen Plant.....</b>	<b>2-56</b>
<b>Table 2.7. Possible Pollution Prevention, Recycling, and Reuse Features of the TCEP .....</b>	<b>2-57</b>
<b>Table 2.8. TCEP Incorporated Mitigation Measures.....</b>	<b>2-65</b>
<b>Table 3.1. Indicators of Potential Air Quality Impacts.....</b>	<b>3-7</b>
<b>Table 3.2. National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....</b>	<b>3-12</b>
<b>Table 3.3. Air Monitoring Data for Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Monitoring Sites (2009).....</b>	<b>3-13</b>
<b>Table 3.4. Annual, Maximum Operation Emissions by Air Contaminant.....</b>	<b>3-18</b>
<b>Table 3.5. TCEP Sources Modeling Results by Air Contaminant .....</b>	<b>3-21</b>
<b>Table 3.6. National Ambient Air Quality Standards and Prevention of Significant Deterioration Modeling Results by Air Contaminant .....</b>	<b>3-22</b>
<b>Table 3.7. Effects Screening Limits Modeling Results by Hazardous Air Pollutant.....</b>	<b>3-23</b>
<b>Table 3.8. Indicators of Potential Climate and Meteorology Impacts .....</b>	<b>3-26</b>
<b>Table 3.9. Indicators of Potential Soils, Geology, and Mineral Resource Impacts.....</b>	<b>3-32</b>
<b>Table 3.10. Wind and Water Erosion Potential of Soils as Total Land Area and Percentage of Area Potentially Affected in the Soils Region of Influence .....</b>	<b>3-32</b>
<b>Table 3.11. Near-surface Geology Units in the Geology Region of Influence .....</b>	<b>3-33</b>
<b>Table 3.12. Generalized Stratigraphy of the Permian Basin .....</b>	<b>3-34</b>
<b>Table 3.13. Indicators of Potential Impacts to Ground Water Resources .....</b>	<b>3-46</b>
<b>Table 3.14. Indicators of Potential Impacts to Surface Water Resources .....</b>	<b>3-56</b>
<b>Table 3.15. Summary of Existing Wetland/Water Body Conditions for Specific Linear Facility Options.....</b>	<b>3-58</b>
<b>Table 3.16. Environmental Impacts to Surface Water Resources from Construction and Operation of Linear Facilities .....</b>	<b>3-68</b>
<b>Table 3.17. Indicators of Potential Impacts to Biological Resources .....</b>	<b>3-73</b>
<b>Table 3.18. Aquatic Habitat Characteristics .....</b>	<b>3-77</b>
<b>Table 3.19. State-listed Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species with Potential to Occur in the Region of Influence .....</b>	<b>3-79</b>
<b>Table 3.20. Impacts to Terrestrial Habitat from the Linear Facility Options .....</b>	<b>3-83</b>
<b>Table 3.21. Impacts to Aquatic Habitat from the Linear Facility Options.....</b>	<b>3-85</b>
<b>Table 3.22. Indicators of Potential Impacts to Aesthetic Resources .....</b>	<b>3-91</b>
<b>Table 3.23. Key Observation Points Analyzed .....</b>	<b>3-91</b>
<b>Table 3.24. Indicators of Potential Impacts to Cultural Resources.....</b>	<b>3-105</b>

<b>Table 3.25.</b> Built Environment Inventory in the Region of Influence .....	3-107
<b>Table 3.26.</b> Indicators of Potential Impacts on Land Uses.....	3-114
<b>Table 3.27.</b> Existing Land Uses, other than Oil and Gas Activity, along TCEP’s Linear Facilities .....	3-120
<b>Table 3.28.</b> Linear Facility Rights-of-way Acreage Requirements .....	3-125
<b>Table 3.29.</b> Waterline Option 1, <i>City of Midland</i> Zoning District Crossings, and Acreage Required for Right-of-way.....	3-126
<b>Table 3.30.</b> Indicators of Potential Impacts to Social and Economic Conditions .....	3-129
<b>Table 3.31.</b> Historical and Projected Population in the Region of Influence.....	3-130
<b>Table 3.32.</b> Total Housing Units and Occupancy Rate, 2005–2009 .....	3-131
<b>Table 3.33.</b> Gross Domestic Product by County for the Region of Influence: Top Ten Industries.....	3-133
<b>Table 3.34.</b> Region of Influence Employment, By Industry (number of jobs) .....	3-134
<b>Table 3.35.</b> 2009 County Property Tax Rates and Revenues.....	3-135
<b>Table 3.36.</b> <b>2009</b> County General Fund, Farm-to-Market Roads and Flood, and Bridge Revenues.....	3-135
<b>Table 3.37.</b> <b>Total TCEP Gross Domestic Product per Year</b> .....	<b>3-137</b>
<b>Table 3.38.</b> Total Employment per Year.....	3-138
<b>Table 3.39.</b> <b>TCEP Property Tax Payments during Construction</b> .....	<b>3-139</b>
<b>Table 3.40.</b> State and Local <i>Direct and</i> Indirect Business Taxes.....	3-139
<b>Table 3.41.</b> Indicators of Potential Environmental Justice Impacts.....	3-144
<b>Table 3.42.</b> Indicators of Potential Impacts to Community Services.....	3-146
<b>Table 3.43.</b> 2009–2010 School Enrollment.....	3-148
<b>Table 3.44.</b> Indicators of Potential Impacts to Utility Systems.....	3-153
<b>Table 3.45.</b> Proposed TCEP Linear Facilities Intersections to Existing Utility Systems.....	3-157
<b>Table 3.46.</b> Indicators of Potential Impacts to Transportation .....	3-162
<b>Table 3.47.</b> Annual Average Daily Traffic Volumes and Level of Service .....	3-166
<b>Table 3.48.</b> Potential Traffic Increases During TCEP Construction .....	3-169
<b>Table 3.49.</b> Potential Traffic Increases during TCEP Peak Operation.....	3-171
<b>Table 3.50.</b> Potential Level of Service Changes during TCEP Construction and Operation.....	3-172
<b>Table 3.51.</b> Indicators of Potential Materials and Waste Impacts .....	3-175
<b>Table 3.52.</b> Municipal, Industrial, and Hazardous Waste Landfills in the Region of Influence.....	3-178
<b>Table 3.53.</b> Indicators of Potential Impacts to Human Health and Safety.....	3-184
<b>Table 3.54.</b> National Statistics for Workplace Hazards.....	3-185
<b>Table 3.55.</b> Texas Department of Transportation Fatality Rate 2003–2009 and Estimated Fatality Rate 2010–2014.....	3-186
<b>Table 3.56.</b> Estimated Workplace Hazard Statistics for the Three-year TCEP Construction Period .....	3-190
<b>Table 3.57.</b> Estimated Annual and Total (30 years) Workplace Hazard Statistics for the TCEP .....	3-191
<b>Table 3.58.</b> TCEP Linear Facilities .....	3-194
<b>Table 3.59.</b> Risk Level Terminology and Numerical Values.....	3-197



<b>Table 3.60.</b> Typical A-weighted Sound Levels .....	3-204
<b>Table 3.61.</b> Indicators of Potential Noise and Vibration Impacts .....	3-206
<b>Table 3.62.</b> Federal Transit Administration Construction Noise Thresholds.....	3-207
<b>Table 3.63.</b> Noise Levels from Common Construction Equipment .....	3-211
<b>Table 3.64.</b> Noise Levels That Would Result from the TCEP at SL-1 and SL-6.....	3-211
<b>Table 3.65.</b> Noise Levels That Would Be Caused by Steam Venting at SL-1 and SL-6.....	3-212
<b>Table 3.66.</b> Projected Traffic Conditions and Noise Increases during TCEP Peak Construction .....	3-213
<b>Table 3.67.</b> Projected Traffic Conditions and Noise Increases during TCEP Peak Operation .....	3-215
<b>Table 4.1.</b> Summary of Impacts from Summit’s Proposed TCEP and the No Action Alternative.....	4-1
<b>Table 4.2. Summary Comparison of Impacts from Summit’s Proposed Waterline Options for the TCEP .....</b>	<b>4-15</b>
<b>Table 4.3. Summary Comparison of Impacts from Summit’s Proposed Transmission Line Options for the TCEP.....</b>	<b>4-20</b>
<b>Table 4.4. Summary Comparison of Impacts from Summit’s Proposed Access Road Options for the TCEP .....</b>	<b>4-23</b>
<b>Table 4.5. Summary Comparison of Impacts from Summit’s Proposed Natural Gas Pipeline Options for the TCEP.....</b>	<b>4-26</b>
<b>Table 5.1.</b> Indicators of Importance for Cumulative Effects Issues.....	5-5
<b>Table 5.2.</b> Potential Cumulative Effects Issues for Each Resource.....	5-6
<b>Table 5.3.</b> Evaluation Summary of Cumulative Effects for Issues of Intermediate Importance.....	5-9
<b>Table 7.1.</b> Summary of Federal, State, and Local Laws, Regulations, Policies, and Plans Potentially Applicable to the TCEP.....	7-1
<b>Table 8.1.</b> Agencies and Tribes Contacted .....	8-1
<b>Table 9.1.</b> U.S. Senate and House of Representatives Committees.....	9-1
<b>Table 9.2.</b> United States Senate .....	9-2
<b>Table 9.3.</b> United States House of Representatives .....	9-2
<b>Table 9.4.</b> Native American Tribal Leaders.....	9-2
<b>Table 9.5.</b> Federal Agencies .....	9-3
<b>Table 9.6.</b> National Nongovernmental Organizations.....	9-4
<b>Table 9.7.</b> State Elected Officials.....	9-5
<b>Table 9.8.</b> State Agencies.....	9-5
<b>Table 9.9.</b> Regional and Local Officials.....	9-6
<b>Table 9.10.</b> Native American Tribal Organizations.....	9-6
<b>Table 9.11.</b> Regional Nongovernmental Organizations and Governmental Associations .....	9-7
<b>Table 9.12.</b> Interested Parties.....	9-7

## ABBREVIATIONS

$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ - microgram per cubic meter	GCA - Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority
AADT - annual average daily traffic	GDP - gross domestic product
ac - acre	GHG - greenhouse gas
ac-ft - acre-feet	GIS - geographic information systems
A.D. - Anno Domini	H <sub>2</sub> - hydrogen gas
AERMOD - EPA regulatory model	H <sub>2</sub> S - hydrogen sulfide
AR - access road option (e.g., AR1)	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> - sulfuric acid
ARRA - American Recovery and Reinvestment Act	ha - hectare
A.F. - associates' degree (forestry)	HAP - hazardous air pollutant
A.S. - associates' degree (science)	Hg - mercury
B.A. - bachelor of arts	HRSG - heat recovery steam generator
B.C. - Before Christ	I - Interstate Highway (e.g., I-20)
BLS - Bureau of Labor Statistics	IGCC - integrated gasification combined-cycle
B.S. - bachelor of science	IMPLAN - impact analysis for planning
Btu - British thermal unit	in - inch
BLM - Bureau of Land Management	in <sup>2</sup> - square inch
C.F.R. - Code of Federal Regulations	IPCC - Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
CCPI - Clean Coal Power Initiative	ISD - Independent School District
CO - carbon monoxide	km - kilometer
CO <sub>2</sub> - carbon dioxide	km <sup>2</sup> - square kilometer
COS - carbonyl sulfide	KOP - key observation point
CR - County Road (e.g., CR 1216)	kV - kilovolt
dB - decibels	L - liter
dba - A-weighted dB scale	lbs - pounds
DOE - U.S. Department of Energy	Ldn - day-night level
EIS - environmental impact statement	LEAP - La Entrada al Pacifico
EOR - enhanced oil recovery	Leq - equivalent sound level
EPA - U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	LOS - level of service
EPACT - Energy Policy Act	m - meter
ERCOT - Electric Reliability Council of Texas	m <sup>3</sup> - cubic meter
ESL - effects screening limits	M.A. - master's degree (arts)
FAA - Federal Aviation Administration	mi - miles
FG Alliance - FutureGen Alliance	M.E.M. - master's of environmental management
FM - Farm-to-Market Road (e.g., FM 1601)	M.P.P. - master's of public policy
FOA - Funding Opportunity Announcement	M.S. - master's degree (science)
FSH - Fort Stockton Holdings	M.U.E.P. - masters of urban and environmental planning
ft - foot	MVA - monitoring, verification, and accounting
ft <sup>3</sup> - cubic foot	MW - megawatt
FTA - Federal Transit Administration	
gal - gallon	

n/a - not available	R5AB3K - riverine, unknown perennial, aquatic bed, rooted vascular, artificially flooded
N <sub>2</sub> - nitrogen gas	ROI - region of influence
NAAQS - National Ambient Air Quality Standards	ROW - right-of-way
NEPA - National Environmental Policy Act	RPS - Renewable Portfolio Standard
NETL - National Energy Technology Laboratory	RRC - Railroad Commission of Texas
NG - natural gas pipeline option (i.e., NG1)	<b>SH - State Highway (e.g., SH 349)</b>
NH <sub>3</sub> - ammonia	SHPO - State Historic Preservation Officer
NHD - National Hydrography Dataset	SIL - significant impact level
NO <sub>2</sub> - nitrogen dioxide	SL - sensitive receptor locations (e.g., SL-1)
NO <sub>x</sub> - nitrogen oxides	SO <sub>2</sub> - sulfur dioxide
NOI - Notice of Intent	SPCC - spill prevention, control, and countermeasures
NRHP - National Register of Historic Places	SPP - Southwest Power Pool
O <sub>2</sub> - oxygen	SWCA - SWCA Environmental Consultants
O <sub>3</sub> - ozone	SWPPP - Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
<b>OEPP - Odessa-Ector Power Partners LP</b>	t - metric tonne
OSHA - Occupational Safety and Health Administration	TBD - to be determined
Oxy Permian - Oxy USA-W Texas Water Supply	TCEP - Texas Clean Energy Project
PCE - passenger car equivalent	TCEQ - Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
PEM1Cxs - palustrine emergent, persistent, seasonally flooded, excavated, spoil	<b>Texland - Texland Great Plains Water Company</b>
PEM2C - palustrine emergent, nonpersistent, seasonally flooded	TEX. ADMIN. CODE - Texas Administrative Code
PM - particulate matter	TL - transmission line option (e.g., TL1)
PM <sub>10</sub> - particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter equal to or less than 0.00039 inch (10 micrometers)	tn - ton
PM <sub>2.5</sub> - particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter equal to or less than 0.000098 inch (2.5 micrometers)	TPDES - Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
polygen - polygeneration	TPWD - Texas Parks and Wildlife Department
ppm - parts per million	TWDB - Texas Water Development Board
ppmv - parts per million by volume	TxDOT - Texas Department of Transportation
PSD - Prevention of Significant Deterioration	U.S. - United States
PSS1K - palustrine scrub-shrub, persistent, artificially flooded	U.S.C. - United States Code
QRA - quantitative risk analysis	UPRR - Union Pacific Railroad
	USFWS - U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
	vpd - vehicles per day
	WL - waterline option (e.g., WL1)

*This page intentionally blank*